

# GRADED $p$ -POLAR RINGS AND THEIR ABELIAN-GROUP VALUED FUNCTORS

TILMAN BAUER

ABSTRACT. As an extension of previous ungraded work, we define a graded  $p$ -polar ring to be an analog of a graded commutative ring where multiplication is only allowed on  $p$ -tuples (instead of pairs) of elements of equal degree. We show that the free affine  $p$ -adic group scheme functor, as well as the free formal group functor, defined on  $k$ -algebras for a perfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ , factors through  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebras. It follows that the same is true for any affine  $p$ -adic or formal group functor, in particular for the functor of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors. As an application, we show that the latter is free on the  $p$ -polar affine line.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [Bau20], I introduced the notion of a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra, which, roughly speaking, is a  $k$ -module with a  $p$ -fold associative and commutative multiplication defined on it. Here  $p$  is a prime and  $k$  is any commutative ring. If  $k$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ , I showed that the free affine abelian  $p$ -adic group functor on  $\text{Spec } R$  for a  $k$ -algebra  $R$  factors through the category of  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebras, and as a result, so does the functor of points for *every*  $p$ -adic group defined over  $k$ .

In this sequel, I prove the corresponding results for graded commutative  $k$ -algebras, where  $k$  is a graded commutative field. Both the definition of a graded  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra and the proofs are quite distinct, but not independent, from the ungraded case, and the results are more striking in the presence of a grading. This is my excuse for writing a separate paper.

**Definition.** Let  $k$  be an graded commutative ring with degree-0 part  $k_0$  and  $A$  a graded  $k$ -module. Let  $M_k$  denote the category of  $k$ -modules  $A$  together with a graded symmetric  $k_0$ -multilinear map  $\mu: A_j^{\otimes k_0 p} \rightarrow A_{jp}$ , and let  $\text{pol}_p: \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow M_k$  denote the forgetful functor from graded commutative  $k$ -algebras to  $M_k$ , where  $\mu$  is given by  $p$ -fold multiplication.

A *graded  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra* is an object  $A \in M_k$  which is a subobject of  $\text{pol}_p(B)$  for some algebra  $B \in \text{Alg}_k$ .

This definition agrees with the one given in [Bau20] when both  $k$  and  $A$  are concentrated in degree 0 (Lemma 2.7).

We denote the category of graded  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra by  $\text{Pol}_p(k)$  and its full subcategory of objects that are finite-dimensional as  $k$ -vector spaces by  $\text{pol}_p(k)$ .

---

*Date:* June 10, 2021.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L05,14L15,14L17,13A99,13A35,16T05.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p$ -polar ring, formal group, affine group scheme, Witt vectors, Dieudonné theory.

The restriction functors  $\text{pol}_p: \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Pol}_p(k)$  and  $\text{pol}_p: \text{alg}_k \rightarrow \text{pol}_p(k)$  defined on graded commutative  $k$ -algebras (resp. graded commutative  $k$ -algebras that are finite dimensional as  $k$ -modules) are called *polarization*.

**Definition.** A *graded field*  $k$  is a graded commutative ring over which every graded module is free. This implies that either  $k = k_0$  is an ungraded field concentrated in degree 0 or  $k = k_0[u, u^{-1}]$  for an ungraded field  $k_0$  and an element  $u$  of positive degree  $d$ , even unless  $k_0$  is of characteristic 2. We say that  $k$  is *perfect* if  $k_0$  is of characteristic 0 or if  $k_0$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p$  and  $p \nmid d$ .

Our main results parallel those in [Bau20]. Let  $\text{AbSch}_k$  denote the category of representable, abelian-group-valued functors on  $\text{Alg}_k$ , and let  $\text{AbSch}_k^p$  denote the full subcategory of functors taking values in abelian pro- $p$ -groups. Let  $\text{Fgps}_k$  be the category of ind-representable functors on  $\text{alg}_k$  taking values in abelian groups. We will refer to objects of  $\text{AbSch}_k$  and  $\text{Fgps}_k$  as affine and formal groups, respectively.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p$  and denote by  $\text{Fr}(R)$  the left adjoint of the forgetful functors  $\text{AbSch}_k^p \rightarrow \text{Alg}_k^{\text{op}}$  resp.  $\text{Fgps}_k \rightarrow \text{alg}_k^{\text{op}}$ . Then  $\text{Fr}$  factors through  $\text{pol}$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_k^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}} & \text{AbSch}_k^p; \\ & \searrow \text{pol} & \uparrow \bar{\text{Fr}} \\ & & \text{Pol}_p(k)^{\text{op}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{alg}_k^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}} & \text{Fgps}_k \\ & \searrow \text{pol} & \uparrow \bar{\text{Fr}} \\ & & \text{pol}_p(k). \end{array}$$

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p$  and  $M \in \text{AbSch}_k^p$  or  $M \in \text{Fgps}_k$ . Then  $M$  factors uniquely through  $\text{pol}$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_k & \xrightarrow{M} & \{\text{abelian pro-}p\text{-groups}\}; \\ & \searrow \text{pol} & \uparrow \bar{M} \\ & & \text{Pol}_p(k) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{alg}_k & \xrightarrow{M} & \text{Ab} \\ & \searrow \text{pol} & \uparrow \bar{M} \\ & & \text{pol}_p(k). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Given any  $M \in \text{AbSch}_k$  or  $M \in \text{Fgps}_k$ , we have that

$$M(R) = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec } R, M) = \text{Hom}(\text{Fr}(R), M),$$

where the last  $\text{Hom}$  group is of objects of  $\text{AbSch}_k^p$  or  $\text{Fgps}_k$ , respectively. The Corollary follows from Thm. 1.1.  $\square$

To prove Thm. 1.1, we define graded  $p$ -typical Witt vectors  $W(R)$  and co-Witt vectors  $CW(R)$  for *evenly graded*  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebras  $R$  and Dieudonné equivalences

$$D: \text{AbSch}_k^p \rightarrow \text{Dmod}_k^p$$

and

$$\mathbb{D}^f: \text{Fgps}_k^p \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \text{mod}_k^F$$

with certain categories of  $W(k)$ -modules with Frobenius and Verschiebung operations. Here  $\text{Fgps}_k^p$  denotes the full subcategory of  $\text{Fgps}_k$  of functors taking values in abelian  $p$ -groups.

We prove:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $R \in \text{Alg}_k$  be an evenly graded commutative  $k$ -algebra when  $p > 2$ , or a graded, commutative  $k$ -algebra when  $p = 2$ .*

*There are natural isomorphisms*

$$\mathbb{D}^f(\text{Fr}(R)) \cong CW(R) \quad \text{for finite-dimensional } R$$

and

$$D(\text{Fr}(R)) \cong CW^u(R) \oplus (\mu_{p^\infty}(R \otimes_k \bar{k}) \otimes W(\bar{k}))^{\text{Gal}(k)} \quad \text{for } R \in \text{Alg}_k,$$

where in the last factor,  $\mu_{p^\infty}(A)$  denotes the  $p$ -power torsion in  $A^\times$ , invariants of the absolute Galois group  $\text{Gal}(k)$  acting diagonally on  $\bar{k}$  and  $W(\bar{k})$  are taken, and  $CW^u$  denotes the unipotent part of  $CW$ .

Because the right-hand side is defined on  $p$ -polar algebras, so is the left hand side and hence, since  $D$  is an equivalence, also  $\text{Fr}(R)$ . This is the main ingredient in proving Thm. 1.1.

As an application, we show:

**Theorem 1.4.** *The affine group scheme of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors is the free unipotent abelian group scheme on the  $p$ -polar affine line, i.e. on the free  $p$ -polar algebra on a single generator.*

This is an analog of the fact that the Hopf algebra representing the big Witt vectors, the algebra  $\Lambda$  of symmetric functions, is cofree on a polynomial ring in one generator [Haz03]. The corresponding Hopf algebra  $\Lambda_p$  for  $p$ -typical Witt vectors is definitely not cofree on a (non-polar) algebra.

## 2. GRADED $p$ -POLAR ALGEBRAS

We begin the study of  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebras with some observations and examples.

**Remark 2.1.** A graded  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra  $A$  does not supply a map  $A^{\otimes_{k^p}} \rightarrow A$  – only elements of the same degree can be multiplied together. In particular, a graded  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra is not a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra when one forgets the grading.

**Remark 2.2.** The embeddability  $i: A \rightarrow B$  into a graded commutative algebra can be thought of as saying that for any elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  and scalars  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in k$ , there is at most one way of multiplying them together using  $\mu$  (up to sign); namely, the element  $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_k i(x_1) \cdots i(x_n) \in B$ , which is either in the image of  $i$  or it isn't.

**Example 2.3.** If  $k = k_0$ , the submodule  $k\langle x^{p^i} \mid i \geq 0 \rangle \subset k[x]$  is a sub- $p$ -polar algebra of  $\text{pol}(k[x])$ , where  $|x| > 0$ . It is the free  $p$ -polar algebra on a generator  $x$ . This shows that in contrast to the ungraded case, even for  $p = 2$ ,  $p$ -polar algebras are much weaker structure than actual algebras.

**Remark 2.4.** If  $k = k_0$ , it is apparent that for any  $p$ -polar algebra  $A$ , the submodule  $A_{(j)} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_{jp^i}$  is a polar subalgebra and direct factor, and that

$$A \cong A_0 \times \prod_{p \nmid j} A_{(j)}.$$

If  $k = k_0[u, u^{-1}]$  with  $|u| = d > 0$  and  $p \nmid d$ , we see instead that

$$A_{(j)} = \sum_{i \geq 0, l \in \mathbf{Z}} A_{jp^i + dl}$$

is a polar subalgebra and direct factor, and that

$$A \cong \prod_j A_{(j)},$$

where  $j$  runs through the residue classes of  $\{jp^i \mid i \geq 0\}$  in  $\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$ .

We call a  $p$ -polar algebra of this form  $A_{(j)}$  a *p-typical* polar algebra of degree  $j$ . The inclusion of such  $p$ -polar algebras into all  $p$ -polar algebras is biadjoint to the functor  $A \mapsto A_{(j)}$ . We write  $\text{pol}_{(j)}(A) := (\text{pol}(A))_{(j)}$ . This is a sub- $p$ -polar algebra, but not a subalgebra of  $A$ .

In particular, if  $p > 2$ , we see that every graded  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra splits as a product  $A = A_{\text{odd}} \times A_{\text{ev}}$ , where  $A_{\text{odd}} = \bigoplus_n A_{2n+1}$  and  $A_{\text{ev}}$  the obvious complement.

**Example 2.5.** Consider the stable splitting  $P = \Sigma^\infty(\mathbf{C}P^\infty)_p \simeq P_1 \vee \cdots \vee P_{p-1}$  of the  $p$ -completion of complex projective space [McG81] with

$$H^*(P_i) = \langle x^j \mid j \equiv i \pmod{p-1} \rangle < \mathbf{F}_p[x] = H^*(\mathbf{C}P^\infty, \mathbf{F}_p).$$

By [Sul74],  $P_{p-1}$  is the suspension spectrum of a space (the classifying space of the Sullivan sphere), but all other  $P_i$  are not. However, the maps

$$P_i \hookrightarrow P = \Sigma^\infty(\mathbf{C}P^\infty)_p \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty \Delta} \Sigma^\infty((\mathbf{C}P^\infty)_p)^p \simeq P \wedge \cdots \wedge P \rightarrow P_i \wedge \cdots \wedge P_i$$

induces a  $p$ -polar algebra structure on  $\tilde{H}^*(P_i)$ , and the splitting  $P \simeq P_1 \vee \cdots \vee P_{p-1}$  induces a splitting of  $p$ -polar algebras in cohomology. In fact,

$$H^*(P_i; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{j \equiv i \pmod{p-1} \\ p \nmid j}} \text{pol}_{(j)} H^*(P; \mathbf{F}_p)$$

So while the  $P_i$  are not spaces for  $i \neq p-1$ , they do retain some likeness to spaces in that their cohomologies are  $p$ -polar algebras. This raises the question whether there is a reasonable notion of a “ $p$ -polar space” somewhere between connective spectra and spaces.

In a way, the definition of a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra is wrong in the same way the definition of a manifold as a submanifold of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is wrong; it mentions an enveloping object which is not part of the data. The following proposition remedies this to a certain extent:

**Proposition 2.6.** *The functor  $\text{pol}: \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow M_k$  has a left adjoint given for  $A \in M_k$  by*

$$A \mapsto \text{hull}(A) = \text{Sym}(A)/(x_1 \cdots x_p - \mu(x_1, \dots, x_p) \mid x_1, \dots, x_p \in A_i).$$

*An object  $A \in M_k$  is a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra iff the unit map of this adjunction,  $u: A \rightarrow \text{pol}(\text{hull}(A))$ , is injective.*

*Proof.* The existence and structure of the left adjoint,  $\text{hull}$ , is obvious.

If  $u$  is injective,  $A$  is a  $p$ -polar algebra by definition. Conversely, if  $A$  is  $p$ -polar, say  $i: A \hookrightarrow \text{pol}(B)$  for some  $B \in \text{Alg}_k$ , then by the universal property of the left adjoint, there is a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & \text{pol}(\text{hull}(A)) \\ & \searrow i & \downarrow \text{---} \\ & & \text{pol}(B). \end{array}$$

Since  $i$  is injective, so is  $u$ . □

It is possible to give a list of axioms for objects of  $M_k$  to be a  $p$ -polar algebra, but that list becomes quite unwieldy in the general case. We will only do this in important special cases.

**Lemma 2.7.** *If  $k = k_0$  and  $A = A_0$  (i.e. in the ungraded case), the definition of a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra agrees with the one given in [Bau20]; i.e.,  $A \in M_k$  is  $p$ -polar iff*

**(ASSOC):** *For the symmetric group  $\Sigma_{2p-1}$  permuting the elements  $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_2, \dots, y_p \in A$ ,*

$$\mu(\mu(x_1, \dots, x_p), y_2, \dots, y_p)$$

*is  $\Sigma_{2p-1}$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* Clearly, axiom (ASSOC) holds if  $A$  is  $p$ -polar. Conversely, suppose that (ASSOC) holds, and let  $i: A \rightarrow \text{hull}(A)$  be the adjunction unit. In [Bau20], it was shown that (ASSOC) implies that for any  $i \geq 0$  and any set of  $1 + i(p - 1)$  elements  $x_1, \dots, x_{1+i(p-1)}$ , there is exactly one way of multiplying the  $x_i$  together using  $\mu$ , and any other number of elements cannot be multiplied together. Write  $\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{1+i(p-1)})$  for this unique product. Let  $j: \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Sym}^{1+i(p-1)}(A) \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}(A)$  be the inclusion and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hull}(A) \supseteq B &= \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Sym}^{1+i(p-1)}(A) / j^{-1}((x_1 \cdots x_p - \mu(x_1, \dots, x_p))) \right) \\ &\cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Sym}^{1+i(p-1)}(A) \right) / \langle x_1 \cdots x_{1+i(p-1)} - \mu(x_1, \dots, x_{1+i(p-1)}) \rangle \\ &\cong A \end{aligned}$$

We have thus exhibited  $A$  as a subobject of  $\text{hull}(A)$ .  $\square$

Next, we consider the important case of a graded  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra over an ungraded ring  $k = k_0$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $A$  be an object in  $M_k$ , where  $k = k_0$  is ungraded. Then  $A$  is a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra iff*

- (1)  $A_0$  is a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra, and
- (2) For the symmetric group  $\Sigma_{2p}$  permuting the elements  $x_1, \dots, x_{2p} \in A_j$  and elements  $y_3, \dots, y_p \in A_{pj}$ ,

$$\mu(\mu(x_1, \dots, x_p), \mu(x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{2p}), y_3, \dots, y_p)$$

*is  $\Sigma_{2p}$ -invariant (up to multiplication with the sign of the permutation if  $j$  is odd).*

*Proof.* Again, the implication  $A$   $p$ -polar  $\Rightarrow$  (1), (2) is straightforward. For the converse, we may assume by Remark 2.4 without loss of generality that either  $A = A_0$  or  $A = A_{(j)}$  is  $p$ -typical. Lemma 2.7 takes care of the first case, so assume  $A$  is  $p$ -typical and (2) holds.

For any graded  $p$ -typical  $k$ -module  $M = M_{(j)}$ , the free object  $T_M$  in  $M_k$  on  $M$  is given inductively by

$$(T_M)_n = M_n \oplus \text{Sym}^p((T_M)_{\frac{n}{p}}),$$

where  $(T_M)_n = 0$  if  $n \notin \mathbf{Z}$ . We call an element of  $(T_M)_n$  a monomial if it is either an element of  $M_n$  or a monomial  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\} \in \text{Sym}^p$  on monomial elements in  $x_i \in (T_M)_{\frac{n}{p}}$ , using curly braces for equivalence classes of tensors in  $\text{Sym}^p$ . Clearly,

by linearity, any element of  $T_M$  is a linear combination of monomials. One could describe these monomial elements as some kinds of labelled trees. While this is a good picture to have in mind, I will not use that language.

Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on monomials in  $T_M$  (and hence, by linear extension, on all of  $T_M$ ) generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}, \{x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{2p}\}, y_3, \dots, y_p\} \\ & \sim (-1)^{|x_1|} \{\{x'_1, \dots, x'_{p-1}, x'_{p+1}\}, \{x'_p, x'_{p+2}, \dots, x'_{2p}\}, y'_3, \dots, y'_p\} \end{aligned}$$

iff  $y_j \sim y'_j$  for  $3 \leq h \leq p$ ,  $x_i \sim x'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2p$ . Then  $T_M / \sim$  is the free object in  $M_k$  satisfying (2). (Obviously, the  $\Sigma_{2p}$ -equivariance is equivalent to the equivariance under interchanging  $x_p$  and  $x_{p+1}$ , given the guaranteed  $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_p$ -equivariance.)

There is a linear map  $f: T_M \rightarrow \text{Sym}(M)_{(j)}$  given on monomials by  $f(m) = m$  for  $m \in M$  and  $f(\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}) = \{f(x_1), \dots, f(x_p)\}$  for  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\} \in \text{Sym}^p(T_M)$ . We claim that this map induces an injective map on  $T_M / \sim$  with image  $\text{Sym}(A)_{(j)}$ .

To see this is the image, let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \text{Sym}(M)_{jp^N}$ . If  $n = 1$ ,  $\{x_1\} = f(x_1)$  and we are done. Otherwise, because  $M$  is  $p$ -typical, there has to be a partition of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into  $p$  parts  $I_1, \dots, I_p$  such that for  $X_i = \pm\{x_j \mid j \in I_i\}$ ,  $|X_i| = jp^{N-1}$ . Inductively, all  $X_i$  are in the image of  $f$ , hence so is  $X = f(X_1, \dots, X_p)$ .

We proceed to show injectivity.

Let  $x \in T_M$  be a monomial. We say that  $y \in T_M$  occurs at depth  $d$  in  $x$  if either  $d = 0$  and  $y = x$  or  $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  and  $y$  occurs at depth  $d - 1$  in  $x_i$  for some  $i$ .

Now suppose that  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  occur at a common depth  $d \geq 1$  in  $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ , and let  $x' \in T_M$  be the element obtained by interchanging  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . Then I claim that  $x \sim \pm x'$ . To see this, we proceed by induction. If  $d = 1$  then the claim is true by symmetry. Suppose that  $d > 1$ . Then  $y_1$  occurs at depth  $d - 1$  in some  $x_i$  and  $y_2$  occurs at depth  $d - 1$  in some  $x_j$ . If  $i = j$ , we are done by induction. Otherwise, suppose without loss of generality that  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . Let  $x_1 = \{x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}\}$  and  $x_2 = \{x_{21}, \dots, x_{2p}\}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $y_i$  occurs at depth  $d - 2$  in  $x_{i1}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$x \sim \pm x^{(1)} = \{x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_p^{(1)}\} = \{\{x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{13}, \dots, x_{1p}\}, \{x_{12}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2p}\}, x_3, \dots, x_p\}.$$

Then  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  occur at depth  $d - 1$  in  $x_1^{(1)}$  and by induction,  $x_1^{(1)} \sim (x_1^{(1)})'_1 = \{x'_{11}, x'_{21}, x_{13}, \dots, x_{1p}\}$ , the element obtained from  $x_1^{(1)}$  by interchanging  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} x & \sim \pm \{\{x'_{11}, x'_{21}, x_{13}, \dots, x_{1p}\}, \{x_{12}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2p}\}, x_3, \dots, x_p\} \\ & \sim \{\{x'_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1p}\}, \{x'_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2p}\}, x_3, \dots, x_p\} = x'. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that if  $x, x' \in T_M$  with  $f(x) = f(x')$  (i.e. they contain the same leaf elements at any given level), then  $x \sim x'$ .

Now let  $A = A_{(j)}$  be an object of  $M_k$  such that (2) holds and  $F_A$  the quotient of  $(T_A / \sim) \cong \text{Sym}(A)_{(j)}$  by the intersection of the ideal  $(x_1 \dots x_p - \mu(x_1, \dots, x_p)) \triangleleft \text{hull}(A)$  with  $\text{Sym}(A)_{(j)}$ . Then the map  $A \mapsto F_A$  is an isomorphism, showing that  $A \hookrightarrow \text{hull}(A)$ .  $\square$

We finish the section with a characterization of the image of  $A \rightarrow \text{hull}(A)$ , i.e. a determination of which elements can be multiplied together.

**Lemma 2.9.** For  $p \nmid d$ , denote by  $k(d)$  the field  $k = k_0[u^{\pm 1}]$ , where  $|u| = d$  and  $k_0$  is a fixed field of characteristic  $p$ . For  $p \nmid j$ , let  $h(j, d)$  be the smallest  $h$  such that  $d \mid (p^h - 1)j$ . Denote by  $\text{Mod}_{(j)}(d)$  the category of graded  $k(d)$ -modules  $M$  which are  $p$ -typical in the sense that  $M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{h(j,d)} M_{jp^i}$ . Then the abelian categories  $\text{Mod}_{(j)}(d)$  and  $\text{Mod}_{(j')}(d')$  are equivalent iff  $h(j, d) = h(j', d')$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $h(j, d) = h(j', d')$ . For  $M \in \text{Mod}_{(j)}(d)$ ,

$$M = \prod_{i=0}^{h(j,d)} M_{jp^i}.$$

Hence the desired equivalence of categories is given by a regrading

$$\text{Pol}_{(j)}(d) \rightarrow \text{Pol}_{(j')}(d'), \quad M \rightarrow M',$$

where

$$(M')_{j'p^i} = M_{jp^i}.$$

Conversely, observe that any projective generator of  $\text{Mod}_{(j)}(d)$  must have  $k_0$ -dimension at least  $h(j, d)$ . Since any equivalence  $\Psi: \text{Mod}_{(j)}(d) \simeq \text{Mod}_{(j')}(d')$  sends projective generators to projective generators, it follows that  $h(j, d) = h(j', d')$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** Denote by  $\text{Pol}_{(j)}(d)$  the category of  $p$ -typical,  $p$ -polar  $k(d)$ -algebras of degree  $j$ . Then  $\text{Pol}_{(j)}(d) \simeq \text{Pol}_{(j')}(d')$  iff  $h(j, d) = h(j', d')$ .

*Proof.* One direction follows directly from Lemma 2.9 because the equivalence of categories  $\text{Mod}_{(j)}(d) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{(j')}(d')$  extends to the desired equivalence of  $p$ -polar algebras. Counting the minimal dimension of a generator for  $\text{Pol}_{(j)}(d)$  gives the reverse conclusion.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** For any  $p \nmid d$  and  $d \nmid j$ , there exists  $h \geq 0$  such that

$$\text{Pol}_{(j)}(d) \simeq \text{Pol}_{(1)}(p^h - 1).$$

*Proof.*  $h(1, p^h - 1) = h$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.12.** Let  $A = A_{(j)}$  be a  $\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$ -graded,  $p$ -typical polar  $k$ -algebra, where  $p \nmid d$ . Denote by  $h$  the smallest positive integer satisfying  $p^h j \equiv j \pmod{d}$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be elements in  $A$ , of which exactly  $n_i$  have degree  $jp^i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, h-1$ , and hence  $\sum n_i = n$ . Then  $x_1 \cdots x_n \in A_{jp^m}$  is in  $\text{im}(\iota: A \rightarrow \text{hull}(A))$  iff

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{h-1} n_\alpha p^\alpha \equiv p^m \pmod{p^h - 1}.$$

In this proposition, the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded case is included with  $d = 0$  and  $h = \infty$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $S$  the set of sequences  $\underline{n} = (n_0, \dots, n_{h-1})$  of nonnegative integers, and call  $\underline{n}$  *multipliable* if for some, and hence any, set  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of elements of  $A$  with degrees prescribed by  $\underline{n}$ ,  $x_1 \cdots x_n \in \text{im}(\iota)$ . Define an equivalence relation on  $S$  by  $\underline{n} \sim \underline{n}'$  iff either both or none of  $\underline{n}$  and  $\underline{n}'$  are multipliable.

We have that

$$(n_0, \dots, n_i + p, \dots, n_{h-1}) \sim (n_0, \dots, n_i, n_{i+1} + 1, \dots, n_{h-1})$$

for  $0 \leq i < h-1$  because if in the sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , the elements  $x_1, \dots, x_p$  have degree  $jp^i$ ,  $x_1 \cdots x_n \in \text{im}(\iota)$  iff  $\mu(x_1, \dots, x_p)x_{p+1} \cdots x_n \in \text{im}(\iota)$ . Thus, inductively,

$$(n_0, \dots, n_{h-1}) \sim (n_0 + n_1 p + \cdots + n_{h-1} p^{h-1}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

The question is thus reduced to the question of when for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A_j$ ,  $x_1 \dots x_n \in \text{hull}(A)_{jp^m}$  is in the image of  $\iota$ . If  $k \neq p^m \pmod{p^j - 1}$  for any  $k$ ,  $A_{jn} = 0$  and hence this is a necessary condition. If  $k = p^m$  for some  $m$ ,  $x_1 \dots x_n \in \text{im}(\iota)$ . Furthermore, since  $p^h j \equiv j \pmod{d}$ ,  $\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{p^h}) \in A_j$ , so the product of any  $n = p^m + l(p^h - 1)$  elements of degree  $j$  is in  $\text{im}(\iota)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.13.** *Let  $k$  be a perfect graded field with  $|u| = d$  and  $A = A_{(j)}$  be a  $p$ -typical polar  $k$ -algebra with  $d \nmid j$ . Let  $\phi$  be the regrading isomorphism*

$$\phi: \text{Pol}_{(j)}(d) \rightarrow \text{Pol}_{(1)}(p^{h(j,d)} - 1).$$

*Then  $A \rightarrow \phi^{-1}(\text{hull}(\phi(A))_{(1)})$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* When  $j = 1$  and  $d = p^h - 1$ ,  $\phi$  is the identity and the claim follows from Prop. 2.12. The general case follows because  $\phi$  is an equivalence.  $\square$

### 3. GRADED WITT VECTORS

In this section, we will consider commutative graded rings  $A$  instead of graded-commutative rings, i.e. graded rings  $A$  whose underlying ungraded ring is commutative. We will apply the results of this section to evenly graded, graded-commutative rings or graded, commutative rings over fields of characteristic 2. There does not seem to be an adequate (for our purposes) definition of graded-commutative Witt vectors for graded-commutative rings, nor will it be necessary, in light of Prop. 4.1 below.

Throughout, let  $p$  be a fixed prime. For a graded abelian group  $M$  and an integer  $i \geq 0$ , we write  $M(i)$  for the graded abelian group with  $M(i)_n = M_{p^i n}$ .

We assume the reader is familiar with the ungraded theory of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors, cf. [Wit37, Haz09, Hes08].

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be a commutative graded ring. As a graded set, the  $p$ -typical Witt vectors of  $A$  of length  $0 \leq n \leq \infty$  are defined as

$$W_n(A) = \prod_{i=0}^n A(i), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad W(A)_j = \prod_{i=0}^n A_{jp^i}.$$

Just as in the classical case, there is a ghost map

$$w: W_n(A) \rightarrow \prod_{i=0}^n A(i)$$

given by

$$w(a_0, a_1, \dots) = (a_0, a_0^p + pa_1, a_0^{p^2} + pa_1^p + p^2a_2, \dots).$$

There is a unique functorial ring structure on  $W_n(A)$  making  $w$  a homomorphism of graded rings.

If  $A^u$  denotes  $A$  as an ungraded ring then  $W(A^u)$  and  $W(A)^u$  are in general distinct:

**Example 3.1.**

- $W(k_0[u]) \cong W(k_0)[u]$  if  $k_0$  is a ring concentrated in degree 0 and  $|u| = d > 0$ . This is false if  $d = 0$ : the (ungraded)  $p$ -typical Witt vectors of  $W(\mathbf{F}_p[x])$  are more complicated (cf. [Bor16, Exercise 10]).
- $W(k_0[u^{\pm 1}]) \cong W(k_0)[u^{\pm 1}]$  in the same situation.

The Verschiebung  $V: W(A)(1) \rightarrow W(A)$  is the map given by  $V(x_0, x_1, \dots) = (0, x_0, x_1, \dots)$ ; the Teichmüller map is the multiplicative map  $A \rightarrow W(A)$ ,  $x \mapsto \underline{x} = (x, 0, 0, \dots)$ . Furthermore, the Frobenius map is characterized as the unique natural map  $F: W(A) \rightarrow W(A)(1)$  with the property that if  $w(x) = (w_0, w_1, \dots) \in \prod_i A(i)$  then

$$w(F(x)) = (w_1, w_2, \dots) \in \prod_i A(i+1)$$

The maps  $V$  and  $F$  restrict to maps  $V: W_n(A)(1) \rightarrow W_{n+1}(A)$  and  $F: W_n(A) \rightarrow W_{n-1}(A)(1)$ , and we have that  $F \circ V = p$  and  $F(\underline{a}) = \underline{a^p}$ .

Now let  $k = k_0[u^{\pm 1}]$  be a graded field. Given a graded  $W(k)$ -module  $M$  and  $j \geq 0$ , the abelian group  $M(j)$  obtains a  $W(k)$ -linear structure  $\alpha \cdot m = \text{frob}(\alpha)m$ , where  $\text{frob}$  is the Frobenius map on  $W(k)$ , the unique lift of the  $p$ th power map on  $k$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $k = k_0[u^{\pm 1}]$  is perfect with  $|u| = d > 0$  then the functor (1):  $M \mapsto M(1)$  is an equivalence, and (l) is a naturally isomorphic with the identity for some  $l \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M(j_1)(j_2) = M(j_1 + j_2)$ , it suffices to show the second claim. Since  $k$  is perfect,  $p$  is a unit in  $\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$  and hence there is a smallest  $l \geq 1$  such that  $p^l \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ , say  $p^l - 1 = nd$ . Then the map

$$M_j \rightarrow M(l)_j = M_{jp^l}; \quad m \rightarrow u^{nj}m$$

is a  $W(k)$ -linear isomorphism.  $\square$

Note that  $M$  in fact obtains a natural  $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -grading by setting  $M_{\frac{n}{p^k}} = M(-k)_n$ .

If  $k = k_0$ , the functor (1) is not an equivalence, but it has a right inverse  $(-1)$  given by

$$M(-1)_n = \begin{cases} 0; & p \nmid n \\ M_{\frac{n}{p}}; & p \mid n \end{cases}$$

with the  $W(k)$ -linear structure given by  $\alpha \cdot m = \text{frob}^{-1}(\alpha)m$ . The Frobenius  $\text{frob}$  is invertible because  $k = k_0$  is perfect. Confusingly,  $(-1)$  being a right inverse means that  $M(-1)(1) \cong M$ .

In [Fon77, §II.1.5], the group of co-Witt vectors  $CW(A)$  is defined for an ungraded ring  $A$ , containing the subgroup of unipotent co-Witt vectors  $CW^u(A) = \text{colim}(W_0(A) \xrightarrow{V} W_1(A) \xrightarrow{V} \dots)$ . As a set,

$$CW(A) = \left\{ (a_i) \in \prod_{i \leq 0} A \mid (\dots, a_{-r-1}, a_{-r}) \text{ is a nilpotent ideal for some } r \geq 0 \right\},$$

and  $CW^u(A)$  consists of those  $(a_i)$  with almost all  $a_i = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *For a perfect graded field  $k$  and a commutative, graded  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , define the set*

$$CW(A)_j = \left( \prod_{i \leq 0} A(i) \right)_j \cap CW(A^u),$$

where, as before,  $X^u$  denotes the object  $X$  with the grading forgotten. Then  $CW(A)$  is a  $W(k)$ -module such that  $CW(A)^u$  is a subgroup of  $CW(A^u)$ . It is stable under

the Frobenius and Verschiebung operators, and contains as a submodule

$$CW^u(A) = \operatorname{colim}(W_0(A) \xrightarrow{V} W_1(A(-1)) \xrightarrow{V} W_2(A(-2)) \xrightarrow{V} \dots),$$

where  $V: W_n(A) = W_n(A(-1))(1) \rightarrow W_{n+1}(A(-1))$  is induced by the Verschiebung  $V: W_n(A)(1) \rightarrow W_{n+1}(A)$ .

Note that  $W_n(A)(-1) \hookrightarrow W_n(A(-1))$  is an isomorphism iff  $k = k_0[u^{\pm 1}]$  or  $A = A_0$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that the addition in  $CW(A^u)$  preserves the grading. If  $S_m \in \mathbf{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_m, y_0, \dots, y_m]$  denotes the addition polynomial in  $W_n(A)$ , i.e. such that

$$\left( (a_0, \dots, a_m) + (b_0, \dots, b_m) \right)_m = S_m(a_0, \dots, a_m, b_0, \dots, b_m),$$

then the addition on  $CW(A^u)$  is defined in such a way that if  $(\dots, a_{-1}, a_0) + (\dots, b_{-1}, b_0) = (\dots, c_{-1}, c_0)$  then

$$c_{-n} = S_m(a_{-m-n}, \dots, a_{-n}, b_{-m-n}, \dots, b_{-m})$$

for  $m \gg 0$ , and it is shown in [Fon77, §II.1.5] that this gives a well-defined group structure. Since the polynomials  $S_m$  are homogeneous when the variables  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are given degree  $jp^i$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**3.1. Representability of Witt and co-Witt vectors.** Since  $W(A)_j \cong \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} A_{jp^i}$  as sets, this set-valued functors is represented by

$$(\Lambda_p)_j = k[\theta_{j,0}, \theta_{j,1}, \dots],$$

where  $|\theta_{j,i}| = jp^i$ , and  $W(A)$ , as a graded object, is represented by the bigraded  $k$ -algebra  $\Lambda_p = (\Lambda_p)_*$ . Each  $(\Lambda_p)_j$  obtains a Hopf algebra structure by the natural Witt vector addition on  $W(A)_j$ , and  $\Lambda_p$  becomes a Hopf ring (cf. [Wil00]) with a comultiplication

$$\Lambda_p(A)_j \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j_1+j_2=j} \Lambda_p(A)_{j_1} \otimes \Lambda_p(A)_{j_2}.$$

In other words,  $\Lambda_p$  represents a graded ring object, even a plethory, in affine schemes. This is a graded version of the  $p$ -typical symmetric functions of [BW05, II.13].

The co-Witt vectors are not representable, but their restriction to  $\operatorname{alg}_k$ , i.e. finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebras, is ind-representable, that is,  $CW_k$  is a formal group. In the ungraded case, this is described in [Fon77, §II.3–4]. In our graded case,  $CW(A)_j$  is represented by the profinite ring

$$(\mathcal{O}_{CW_k})_j = \lim_{m,n \geq 0} k[x_{j,0}, x_{j,-1}, \dots] / (x_{j,-n}, x_{j,-n-1}, \dots)^m$$

with  $|x_{j,i}| = jp^i$ , which in the case  $k = k_0$  and  $jp^i \notin \mathbf{Z}$  is to be understood as  $x_{j,i} = 0$ . By naturality of the co-Witt vector addition,  $CW_k$  thus becomes a (graded) formal group.

**3.2. Witt vectors of  $p$ -polar rings.** Observe that the definition of the abelian group of graded Witt vectors makes sense if  $A$  merely is a graded  $p$ -polar ring. Moreover, if  $A$  is a  $p$ -polar graded  $k$ -algebra, for a commutative graded ring  $k$ , then  $W(A)$  is a  $W(k)$ -module and in fact a  $p$ -polar graded  $W(k)$ -algebra.

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $k$  is a perfect graded field then the Witt vector functor restricts to*

$$W_n : \text{Pol}_{(j)}(k) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{(j)}(W(k)),$$

*and the Frobenius and Verschiebung operators restrict to  $\text{Mod}_{(j)}(W(k))$ .*

*Proof.* Obvious from the definition.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $k$  be a perfect graded field and  $A = A_{(j)}$  a  $p$ -typical polar  $k$ -algebra with  $d \nmid j$ . Denote the regrading equivalence  $\text{Mod}_{(j)}(d) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{(1)}(p^{h(j,d)} - 1)$  of Cor. 2.11 by  $\phi$ . Then*

$$W_n(A) = \phi^{-1}\left(W_n(\text{hull}(\phi A))_{(1)}\right).$$

*Proof.* By the previous lemma, it suffices to consider the case  $j = 1$  and  $d = p^h - 1$ . The composite  $A \rightarrow \text{hull}(A) \rightarrow \text{hull}(A)_{(1)}$  of  $p$ -polar algebras is an isomorphism by Cor. 2.13 and hence induces an isomorphism

$$W_n(A) \rightarrow W_n(\text{hull}(A)) \rightarrow W_n(\text{hull}(A)_{(1)}) = W_n(\text{hull}(A))_{(1)}.$$

$\square$

If  $A$  is a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra over a perfect graded field  $k$  (the possibility  $k = k_0$  is included), we can generalize the ungraded construction of the group of co-Witt vectors [Bau20, Fon77, BC19] to the graded context as follows.

**Definition.** Let  $k = k_0[u^{\pm 1}]$  be a perfect graded field of characteristic  $p$  and  $A = A_{(j)}$  a  $p$ -typical polar  $k$ -algebra with  $d = |u| \nmid j$ .

Define the graded  $W(k)$ -module of co-Witt vectors  $CW(A)$  by

$$CW(A) = \phi^{-1}\left(CW(\text{hull}(\phi A))_{(1)}\right),$$

where  $CW(\text{hull}(\phi A))$  is defined as in Prop. 3.3.

For  $A = A_{(j)}$  with  $d \mid j$ , we have that  $A \cong A_0 \otimes_{k_0} k$  and define

$$CW(A) = CW(A_0) \otimes_{W(k_0)} W(k),$$

where the ungraded  $CW(A_0)$  was defined in [Bau20].

For an arbitrary graded  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra  $A = \prod_j A_{(j)}$ , define

$$CW(A) = \bigoplus_j CW(A_{(j)}).$$

The submodule  $CW^u(A)$  is defined in the same way as in Prop. 3.3 and agrees, by Cor. 3.5, with the subset of  $(\dots, a_1, a_0) \in CW(A)$  almost all of whose elements are zero.

Note that the Frobenius and Verschiebung operations are well-defined on  $CW(A)$  and  $CW^u(A)$  for  $A$  a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra.

This construction agrees with the one given in [Bau20] when  $k = k_0$  and  $A = A_0$ . If  $k = k_0$  with arbitrary commutative graded  $A$ , note that for  $j \neq 0$  almost all factors of

$$\left( \prod_{i \leq 0} A(i) \right)_j = \prod_{i \leq 0} A_{jp^i}$$

are zero (namely those where  $jp^i \notin \mathbf{Z}$ ), hence  $CW(A)_j = CW^u(A)_j$  for  $j \neq 0$  and  $CW(A)_0 = CW(A_0)$ , in other words,  $CW(A) \cong CW^u(A) \oplus_{CW^u(A_0)} CW(A_0)$  as abelian groups. Having in mind the as yet unproven Theorem 1.3, this corresponds to the fact that a connected, graded abelian Hopf algebra must be conilpotent.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $k = k_0$  be perfect of characteristic  $p$  and  $A = k\langle x^{p^i} \mid i \geq 0 \rangle$  the free  $p$ -polar algebra on a single generator  $x$  in degree 2. Then

$$W_n(A)_{2p^i} = \{(a_0 x^{p^i}, a_1 x^{p^{i+1}}, \dots, a_n x^{p^{i+n}}) \mid a_i \in W(k)\} \cong W_n(k)$$

and  $W_n(A)_j = 0$  if  $j$  is not twice a power of  $p$ . The Verschiebung is given by

$$V: W_n(A)_{2p^i} \rightarrow W_{n+1}(A(-1))_{2p^i}, \quad (a_0, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (0, a_0, \dots, a_n).$$

Since  $A_0 = 0$ , we have that  $CW(A) = CW^u(A) = \operatorname{colim}(W_0(A) \xrightarrow{W} W_1(A(-1)) \xrightarrow{\dots})$  and thus

$$CW(A)_{2p^i} = \{(\dots, a_{-1}, a_0) \mid a_j \in A_{2p^{i+j}}\},$$

which is understood to mean  $a_j = 0$  if  $2p^{i+j} \notin \mathbf{Z}$ . Thus  $CW(A)_{2p^i} = W_i(k)$ , and the Frobenius and Verschiebung maps are given by

$$V: CW(A)_{2p^i} \rightarrow CW(A)_{2p^{i-1}} \text{ the restriction map } W_i(k) \rightarrow W_{i-1}(k)$$

and

$$F: CW(A)_{2p^i} \rightarrow CW(A)_{2p^{i+1}}, \text{ the multiplication-by-}p \text{ map } W_i(k) \rightarrow W_{i+1}(k).$$

#### 4. GRADED DIEUDONNÉ THEORY

Throughout, let  $k$  be a perfect graded field of characteristic  $p$ .

**Definition.** A *graded Dieudonné module* over  $k$  is a graded  $W(k)$ -module  $M$  together with maps of  $W(k)$ -modules

$$F: M \rightarrow M(1) \quad \text{and} \quad V: M(1) \rightarrow M$$

satisfying  $FV = p$  and  $VF = p$ . We denote the category of Dieudonné modules (with the obvious definition of morphism) by  $\operatorname{Dmod}_k$ .

We call a Dieudonné module  $M$   *$p$ -adic* if for every  $W(k)$ -submodule  $N < M$  of finite length, the submodule spanned by  $\{V^n(N(n)) \mid n \geq 0\}$  is also of finite length. In other words,  $M$  is  *$p$ -adic* if it is a colimit of finite-length  $W(k)$ -submodules  $N$  closed under  $V$  in the sense that  $V(N(1)) \subseteq N$ . We call  $M$  *unipotent* if for any finite-length  $W(k)$ -submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $V^n(N) = 0$  for  $n \gg 0$ . We denote the full subcategories of  $p$ -adic and unipotent Dieudonné modules by  $\operatorname{Dmod}_k^p$  and  $\operatorname{Dmod}_k^{V, \text{nil}}$ , respectively.

Moreover, a Dieudonné module  $M$  is called  *$F$ -profinite* if  $M$  is profinite as a  $W(k)$ -module and has a fundamental system of neighborhoods consisting of  $W(k)$ -modules  $N$  closed under  $F$ , i.e. such that  $F(N) < N(1)$ . The module  $M$  is called *connected* if the profinite completion of  $F^{-1}M$  is trivial and *étale* if  $M \rightarrow F^{-1}M$  is an isomorphism. Denote the category of  $F$ -profinite Dieudonné modules by

$\mathbb{D} \text{mod}_k^F$  and the full subcategories of connected (resp. étale) Dieudonné modules by  $\mathbb{D} \text{mod}_k^{F,c}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{D} \text{mod}_k^{F,et}$ ).

Now consider the categories  $\text{AbSch}_k^p$  resp.  $\text{Fgps}_k^p$ . The category  $\text{AbSch}_k^p$  is anti-equivalent to the full subcategory of bicommutative Hopf algebras  $H$  over  $k$  such that  $H = \text{colim } H[p^n]$ , where  $H[p^n]$  is the Hopf algebra kernel of the map  $[p^n]: H \rightarrow H$ . Similarly, the category  $\text{Fgps}_k^p$  is anti-equivalent to the full subcategory of the category of bicommutative complete Hopf algebras consisting of those  $H$  such that  $H = \text{lim coker}[p^n]$ . The categories  $\text{AbSch}_k$  and  $\text{Fgps}_k$  are anti-equivalent by Cartier duality, as are the categories  $\text{AbSch}_k^p$  and  $\text{Fgps}_k^p$ .

By [Bou96, Prop. A.4], any bicommutative Hopf algebra (and dually, every bicommutative complete Hopf algebra) over a field of characteristic  $p > 2$  splits naturally into an even part and an odd part:

$$H = H_e \otimes H_o,$$

where  $H_e$  is concentrated in even degrees and  $H_o$  is an exterior algebra on primitive generators in odd degrees. The functor of primitives gives an equivalence between odd formal groups and oddly graded  $k$ -modules inverse to the exterior algebra functor. The odd part carries therefore very little information.

An odd Hopf algebra (or odd formal Hopf algebra) is automatically  $p$ -adic because  $[p]$  is the trivial map on such Hopf algebras.

This leads us to a simple proof of the odd part of Thm. 1.1:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $k$  be a graded field of characteristic  $p > 2$ . For a graded commutative  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , denote by  $\text{Fr}_o(A)$  the odd part of  $\text{Fr}(A)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Fr}_o(A)} = (\mathcal{O}_{\text{Fr}(A)})_o$ . Then we have factorizations*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_k^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}_o} & \text{AbSch}_k^p \\ & \searrow (-)_o & \uparrow \tilde{\text{Fr}} \\ & & (\text{Mod}_k)_o^{\text{op}}, \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{alg}_k^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}_o} & \text{Fgps}_k^p \\ & \searrow (-)_o & \uparrow \tilde{\text{Fr}} \\ & & (\text{mod}_k)_o^{\text{op}}, \end{array}$$

where the diagonal map assigns to  $A$  the odd part of the underlying  $k$ -module  $A$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\text{Fr}}(M)} = \bigwedge(M)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H = \bigwedge(M)$  be an odd Hopf algebra, where  $M$  is some oddly graded  $k$ -vector space, and  $A \in \text{Alg}_k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_k}(M, A) &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}_k}(H, A) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Hopf}_k}(H, \text{Cof}_{\text{odd}}(A)) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_l}(M, P \text{Cof}_{\text{odd}}(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P \text{Cof}_{\text{odd}}(A) \cong A$  and thus  $\text{Cof}_{\text{odd}}(A) \cong \bigwedge(A)$ .  $\square$

We will thus from now on focus only on evenly graded, commutative Hopf algebras (and affine and formal groups). The arbitrarily graded situation in characteristic 2 is completely analogous.

A formal group  $G$  is called connected if  $\mathcal{O}_G$  is a pro-local  $k$ -algebra, and it is called étale if  $\mathcal{O}_G$  is pro-étale as a  $k$ -algebra.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Every formal group  $G$  splits naturally as  $G_0 \times G_c$ , where  $G_0$  is étale and  $G_c$  is connected. Dually, every bicommutative Hopf algebra  $H$  splits*

as  $H = H_m \otimes H_u$  where  $H_u$  is unipotent (conilpotent) and  $H_m$  is of multiplicative type.

We denote the full subcategory of connected formal groups by  $\text{Fgps}_k^c$  and the corresponding full subcategory of unipotent Hopf algebras by  $\text{Hopf}_k^u$ .

*Proof.* In the ungraded context, Fontaine proves this in [Fon77, §I.7]. We give an argument that works in the graded case for the reader's convenience, although no new ideas are needed.

Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. We say that  $A$  is étale if it is a (finite) product of (finite) field extensions of  $k$ , where a field extension of a graded field  $k$  is of course just an inclusion  $k < k'$  of graded fields. Clearly,  $A/\text{nil}(A)$  is étale for any finite  $k$ -algebra  $A$ .

Define  $G_0$  by  $G_0(A) = G(A/\text{nil}(A))$  and let  $G_c$  be the kernel of  $G \rightarrow G_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{G_c}$  is the pro-local ring having as maximal ideal the kernel of the counit  $\epsilon: \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow k$ .

We thus get a short exact sequence of formal groups

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow G_c \rightarrow G \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $A^e$  denotes the maximal étale subalgebra of  $A$ , we see that the map

$$A^e \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\text{nil}(A)$$

is an isomorphism and  $\mathcal{O}_{G_0} = \mathcal{O}_G/\text{nil}(\mathcal{O}_G)$ , so  $G_0$  is indeed étale, and the projection map  $\mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{G_0}$  splits (4.3).  $\square$

For a graded perfect field  $k = k_0[u^{\pm 1}]$ , the separable (=algebraic) closure is given by  $\bar{k} = \bar{k}_0[v^{\pm 1}]$ , where  $|v| = 2$  and  $u = v^{\frac{d}{2}}$ . (For  $p = 2$ ,  $|v| = 1$  and  $u = v^d$ . We will leave the necessary adjustments in this case to the reader.) We define the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(k' | k)$  of a field extension  $k < k'$  to be the group of automorphisms of  $k'$  fixing  $k$ . Since such a field extension is given by  $k_0[u^{\pm 1}] < k'_0[v^{\pm 1}]$  with  $u = v^e$ , we find that

$$\text{Gal}(k' | k) = \text{Gal}(k'_0 | k_0) \times \mu_e(k'_0),$$

where  $\mu_e(k'_0) = \{\zeta \in k'_0 \mid \zeta^e = 1\}$  acts by fixing  $k'_0$  and mapping  $v$  to  $\zeta v$ , and the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(k'_0 | k_0)$  acts in the natural way on  $\mu_e(k'_0)$ . We see that  $k < k'$  is Galois iff  $k_0 < k'_0$  is Galois and  $k'_0$  contains a primitive  $e$ th root of unity. In particular, the profinite absolute Galois group is

$$\Gamma = \text{Gal}(k) = \text{Gal}(\bar{k} | k) = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}_0 | k_0) \times \mathbf{Z}/\frac{d}{2}\mathbf{Z}.$$

To formulate Galois descent, we will consider 2-functors

$$\mathcal{C}: \text{Sep} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$$

from the category of graded fields of characteristic  $p$  and finite, separable field extensions to the 2-category of categories. In particular, for any extension  $k < k'$ , we obtain an action of  $\text{Gal}(k' | k)$  on  $\mathcal{C}(k')$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies Galois descent, and call it a Galois descent category, if the natural map

$$\mathcal{C}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(k')^{\text{Gal}(k' | k)}$$

is an equivalence of categories for every Galois extension  $k \rightarrow k'$  in  $\text{Sep}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}(k')^{\text{Gal}(k' | k)}$  denotes the 2-categorical (homotopy) fixed points. This encapsulates the usual definition, as an object in  $\mathcal{C}(k')^{\text{Gal}(k' | k)}$  is an object with a  $\text{Gal}(k' | k)$ -semilinear action.

**Lemma 4.4** (graded Galois descent). *The following functors are Galois descent categories, where in each case,  $i: k \rightarrow k'$  is a morphism in  $\text{Sep}$ :*

- (1) the functor  $\text{Alg}: k \mapsto \text{Alg}_k$  with  $\text{Alg}(i)(A) = A \otimes_k k'$ ;
- (2) the functor  $\text{AbSch}: k \mapsto \text{AbSch}_k^{\text{ev}}$  with  $\text{AbSch}(i)(G) = G \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } k'$ ;
- (3) the functor  $\text{Fgps}$  defined analogously;
- (4) the functor  $\text{Dmod}: k \mapsto \text{Dmod}_k$  with  $\text{Dmod}(i)(M) = M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k')$ .

Each of these categories has an ungraded analog, which we decorate with the letter  $u$ , e.g.  $\text{Alg}^u(k) = \{\text{ungraded algebras over } k_0\}$ . These are not Galois descent categories (over the category of graded fields  $\text{Sep}$ ), but the natural transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Alg}^u &\rightarrow \text{Alg}, & A &\mapsto A \otimes_{k_0} k \\ \text{AbSch}^u &\rightarrow \text{AbSch}, & G &\mapsto G \times_{\text{Spec } k_0} \text{Spec } k \\ \text{Fgps}^u &\rightarrow \text{Fgps}, & G &\mapsto G \times_{\text{Spec } k_0} \text{Spec } k \\ \text{Dmod}^u &\rightarrow \text{Dmod}, & M &\mapsto M \otimes_{W(k_0)} W(k), \end{aligned}$$

are equivalences on  $k = k_0[u^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|u| = 2$ .

*Proof.* For the first part, let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \times C_e$  with  $\Gamma_0 = \text{Gal}(k'_0 | k_0)$  and  $C_e = \mu_e(k'_0) \cong \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}$ . Note that any separable extension factors as separable extensions  $k < K < k'$ , where  $K = (k')^{C_e}$ . Explicitly, if  $k = k_0[u^{\pm e}]$  and  $k' = k'_0[u^{\pm 1}]$  then  $K = k'_0[u^{\pm e}]$ . For the extension  $k < K$ , we have that

$$A \mapsto A \otimes_k K = A \otimes_{k_0} K_0 = A \otimes_{k_0} k'_0$$

induces an equivalence by ungraded Galois descent. For the extension  $K < k'$ , note that a  $\mathbf{Z}/de\mathbf{Z}$ -grading is the same as a  $\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$ -grading with an action of the group  $C_e$ , where the degree- $di$  parts can be recovered as the eigenspaces of the  $C_e$ -action.

For the second part, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{C}^u(k_0) \simeq \mathcal{C}_k$ . Clearly, restriction to the degree-0 part induces an equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_k^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{k_0}^u$  with inverse  $- \otimes_{k_0} k$ , and this equivalence is compatible with the  $\Gamma$ -action.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\text{Mod}_{\text{Gal}(k)}$  be the category of discrete abelian groups with a continuous action of the absolute Galois group  $\text{Gal}(k)$ . Then  $\text{Mod}_{\text{Gal}}: k \mapsto \text{Mod}_{\text{Gal}(k)}$  is a Galois descent category equivalent to the category of étale formal groups.*

*Under this equivalence, formal  $p$ -groups correspond to discrete abelian  $p$ -groups with a continuous  $\Gamma$ -action.*

*Proof.* The assignment  $\text{Mod}_{\text{Gal}}$  becomes a functor by defining  $i_*: \text{Mod}_{\text{Gal}(k)} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\text{Gal}(k')}$  to be the identity map, restricting the action of  $\text{Gal}(k)$  to that of  $\text{Gal}(k')$ . This is clearly a Galois descent category since abelian groups with a  $\text{Gal}(k')$  and a  $\text{Gal}(k' | k)$ -action are the same as abelian groups with a  $\text{Gal}(k)$ -action.

As in the ungraded context, the equivalence is given by the functors (cf. [Fon77, §I.7])

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{étale formal groups}\} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{G \mapsto \text{colim}_{k \subseteq k' \subseteq \bar{k}} G(k')} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Spf}(\text{map}^\Gamma(M, \bar{k})) \leftarrow M} \end{array} & \text{Mod}_\Gamma. \end{array}$$

$\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *We have equivalences of Galois descent categories*

$$\text{Fgps}^{\text{ev}} \simeq \text{Mod}_{\text{Gal}} \times (\text{Fgps}^c)^{\text{ev}}$$

and

$$\text{Hopf}^{\text{ev}} \simeq \text{Mod}_{\text{Gal}} \times (\text{Hopf}^u)^{\text{ev}}$$

□

**Theorem 4.7.** *There is an exact natural equivalence  $\mathbb{D}^f$  between the following abelian Galois descent categories:*

- (1) *the category  $(\text{Fgps}^p)^{\text{ev}}$  of even formal  $p$ -groups; and*
- (2) *the category  $(\mathbb{D} \text{mod}^F)^{\text{ev}}$  of even  $F$ -profinite Dieudonné modules.*

*This natural equivalence is represented by the formal group  $CW_k$ .*

*Proof.* The statement unwinds to showing that the functor  $\mathbb{D}^f$  represented by  $CW_k$  from  $\text{Fgps}_k^p$  to  $\mathbb{D} \text{mod}_k^F$  is an equivalence, and that  $\mathbb{D}^f$  is compatible with base change in the sense that for any finite, separable extension  $k'$  of  $k$ ,

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbb{D}_{k'}^f(G \otimes_k k') \cong \mathbb{D}_k^f(G) \otimes_{W(k)} W(k').$$

This theorem is essentially well-known. An ungraded version appeared in [Fon77, §III Théorème 1], cf. also [Bau20, Theorem 4.2].

The base change property (4.8) was proved in the ungraded case in [Fon77, Prop. III.2.2]. For any Galois extension  $k < k'$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$  and finite  $k$ -algebra  $A$ ,

$$CW(A \otimes_k k')^\Gamma \cong CW(A)$$

by Galois descent for finite algebras and because  $\Gamma$  acts componentwise on  $CW(A \otimes_k k') \subseteq \prod_{i \leq 0} A \otimes_k k'$ . If  $G$  is a formal group over  $k$  then

$$\mathbb{D}_k^f(G) = \text{Fgps}_k(G, CW_k) = \{a \in CW_k(\mathcal{O}_G) \mid \psi(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a \in CW_k(\mathcal{O}_G \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_G)\}.$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{D}_{k'}^f(G \times_k k')^\Gamma = \{a \in CW_{k'}(\mathcal{O}_G \otimes_k k')^\Gamma \mid \psi(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a\}^\Gamma \cong \mathbb{D}_k^f(G).$$

Equation (4.8) follows from Galois descent for  $W(k)$ -modules (Lemma 4.4).

This shows that  $\mathbb{D}^f$  is a natural transformation of Galois descent categories. To show it is an equivalence, we consider two cases: if  $k = k_0$  is concentrated in degree 0 then so is  $W(k)$ , and the classical theorem applies and preserves the grading.

If on the other hand  $k = k_0[u, u^{-1}]$  with  $|u| = d$ , we cannot use the ungraded result directly. By the first part of Lemma 4.4, it suffices to show that  $\mathbb{D}^f$  is an equivalence after a finite Galois extension, so we may assume  $d = 2$ , and by the second part of the same Lemma, the claim is once again reduced to the ungraded case. □

*Proof of the formal case of Thm. 1.3.* For an evenly graded, finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $A$  (arbitrarily graded if  $p = 2$ ), we have that

$$\mathbb{D}^f(\text{Fr}(A)) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Fgps}_k}(\text{Fr}(A), CW_k) = \text{Hom}_{\text{FSch}_k}(\text{Spec } A, CW_k) = CW(A).$$

□

*Proof of the formal case of Thm. 1.1.* By Prop. 4.1, it suffices to consider the case where  $p = 2$  or  $\text{Fr}_{\text{ev}}$ , the even part of the free formal group functor.

The splitting of Prop. 4.2 gives a splitting of the functor  $\text{Fr}_{\text{ev}}$  as

$$\text{Fr}_{\text{ev}} = \text{Fr}_{\text{ev},0} \times \text{Fr}_{\text{ev},c}.$$

The Dieudonné functor restricts to an equivalence between connected formal groups and connected Dieudonné modules, so Thm. 1.3 gives an extension  $\hat{\text{Fr}}_{\text{ev},c}$  by

$$\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{\text{ev},c}(A) = ((\mathbb{D}^f)^{-1}CW(A))_c.$$

The same argument would work for étale,  $p$ -adic formal groups, but Theorem 1.1 does not require  $p$ -adicness. Instead, we observe as in [Bau20, Lemmas 4.3, 4.5] that  $\text{colim}_{k \subseteq k' \subseteq \bar{k}} \text{Fr}_{\text{ev},0}(A)(k') = \mathbf{Z}\langle \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}_k}(R, \bar{k}) \rangle$  is well-defined for  $p$ -polar algebras. By Lemma 4.5, this gives  $\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{\text{ev},0}$ .  $\square$

We will now turn to the affine case. The analog of Thm. 4.7 is the following:

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $k$  be a perfect graded field of characteristic  $p$ . Then there is an equivalence  $D$  between the following Galois descent categories:*

- (1) *The category  $(\text{AbSch}^p)^{\text{ev}}$  of  $p$ -adic, even group schemes; and*
- (2) *the category  $(\text{Dmod}^p)^{\text{ev}}$  of  $p$ -adic, even Dieudonné modules.*

*Proof.* The equivalence is given by the composition

$$\text{AbSch}_k^{p,\text{ev}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{Fgps}_k^p \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^f} (\mathbb{D} \text{mod}_k^F)^{\text{ev}} \xrightarrow{I} \text{Dmod}_k^{p,\text{ev}},$$

where  $d$  denotes Cartier duality and  $I$  denotes Matlis (Poincaré) duality

$$M \mapsto \text{Hom}_{W(k)}^c(M, CW(k)),$$

the group of continuous homomorphisms into  $CW(k)$ . Since both  $d$  and  $I$  are anti-equivalences and because of Thm. 4.7,  $D$  is an equivalence. To see this is an equivalence of Galois descent categories, we need to see that both  $d$  and  $I$  are. Cartier duality is given by taking linear duals on the level of representing objects, and thus

$$\text{Hom}_{k'}(H \otimes_k k', k') = \text{Hom}_k(H, k') \cong \text{Hom}_k(H, k) \otimes_k k'$$

for finite extension  $k \rightarrow k'$ . For Matlis duality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{W(k')}^c(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k'), CW(k')) &= \text{Hom}_{W(k)}^c(M, CW(k) \otimes_{W(k)} W(k')) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{W(k)}^c(M, CW(k) \otimes_{W(k)} W(k')) \end{aligned}$$

since  $W(k')$  has finite length as a  $W(k)$ -module.  $\square$

We next study how the free  $p$ -adic affine abelian group functors behave with respect to field extensions and Galois descent.

**Lemma 4.10.** *The natural transformation  $\text{Fr}: \text{Alg} \rightarrow \text{AbSch}$  is a natural transformation of Galois descent categories.*

*Proof.* We need to prove that for any finite separable extension  $k \rightarrow k'$  of graded fields,  $\text{Fr}(A \otimes_k k') \cong \text{Fr}(A) \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } k'$  for any graded  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Let  $\text{Fr}_u$  be the unipotent part and  $\text{Fr}_m$  be the part of multiplicative type, corresponding to the connected and the étale parts, respectively, of the Cartier dual formal group.

The unipotent part  $\text{Fr}_u(A)$  is represented by the cofree, conilpotent, cocommutative Hopf algebra on  $A$ ,

$$\text{Cof}^u(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (A^{\otimes_k n})^{\Sigma_n},$$

and since  $k'$  is flat over  $k$ , taking  $\Sigma_n$ -fixed points commutes with base change.

The multiplicative part  $\mathrm{Fr}_m(A)$  is represented by  $\bar{k}[(A \otimes_k \bar{k})^\times]^\Gamma$ . The argument is the same as in [BC19, proof of Thm. 1.3], using graded Galois descent:

Firstly, if  $k = \bar{k}$  and  $H = k[M]$  is a Hopf algebra of multiplicative type, then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Hopf}_k}(H, k[A^\times]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(M, A^\times) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}_k}(k[M], A),$$

so that the claim holds when  $k$  is algebraically closed. Now let  $k$  be arbitrary perfect, write  $\bar{A} = A \otimes_k \bar{k}$ , and let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra of multiplicative type with  $H \otimes_k \bar{k} \cong \bar{k}[M]$ . Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Hopf}_k}(H, \bar{k}[\bar{A}^\times]^\Gamma) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Hopf}_{\bar{k}, \Gamma}}(\bar{k}[M], k[\bar{A}^\times])$$

by Lemma 4.4, and the latter group is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\Gamma(M, \bar{A}^\times) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}_{\bar{k}, \Gamma}}(\bar{k}[M], \bar{A}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}_k}(H, A),$$

again using Lemma 4.4, this time for algebras.

Now, if  $k'$  is a Galois extension of  $k$ , we have

$$\bar{k}[\bar{A}^\times]^{\mathrm{Gal}(k)} \otimes_k k' = \left( \bar{k}[\bar{A}^\times]^{\mathrm{Gal}(k')} \right)^{\mathrm{Gal}(k'|k)} \otimes_k k' \cong \bar{k}[\bar{A}^\times]^{\mathrm{Gal}(k')},$$

using Lemma 4.4 once more.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.11.** *The free  $p$ -adic affine group functor  $\mathrm{Fr}^p: \mathrm{Alg} \rightarrow \mathrm{AbSch}^p$  induces a natural transformation of Galois descent categories.*

*Proof.* The functor  $\mathrm{Fr}^p$  is just  $\mathrm{Fr}$  followed by  $p$ -completion. By Cor. 4.6 and since unipotent groups are automatically  $p$ -complete, it suffices to show that  $p$ -completion commutes with separable base change in the opposite category of  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{Gal}}$ . Since  $p$ -completion is dual to taking the subgroup of  $p$ -power torsion elements in abelian groups, this claim boils down to the obvious statement that restricting group actions and taking  $p$ -power torsion elements commutes in abelian groups.  $\square$

*Proof of the affine part of Theorem 1.3.* Since for the ungraded Dieudonné functor  $D^u$ , of the same form as in the statement, this was proved in [Bau20], we will proceed by showing that both the left hand side  $L(A) = D(\mathrm{Fr}(A))$  and the right hand side  $R(A) = CW^u(A) \oplus (\mu_{p^\infty}(A \otimes_k \bar{k}) \otimes W(\bar{k}))^{\mathrm{Gal}(k)}$  are natural transformations between the Galois descent categories  $\mathrm{Alg}$  and  $\mathrm{Dmod}$ .

For  $L$ , this is guaranteed by Lemma 4.10 and Thm. 4.9. For  $R$ , it is true for  $CW^u$  as a subfunctor of  $CW$  since  $CW^u(A \otimes_k k')^\Gamma \cong CW^u(A)$  as in the proof of Thm. 4.7. For the second factor,

$$R_2(A) = \left( \mu_{p^\infty}(R \otimes_k \bar{k}) \otimes W(\bar{k}) \right)^{\mathrm{Gal}(k)},$$

it is almost tautological. Indeed, if  $k \rightarrow k'$  is a Galois extension with group  $\Gamma$ , without loss of generality assumed to be a subfield of  $\bar{k}$ , then

$$R_2(A \otimes_k k')^\Gamma = \left( \left( \mu_{p^\infty}(R \otimes_k k' \otimes_{k'} \bar{k}) \otimes W(\bar{k}) \right)^{\mathrm{Gal}(k')} \right)^\Gamma = R_2(A)$$

and hence  $R_2(A \otimes_k k') \cong R_2(A) \otimes_{W(k)} W(k')$  by Galois descent for  $W(k)$ -modules.

Now if  $k = k_0$  is an ungraded field, Theorem 1.3 follows from [Bau20] directly since the given isomorphism constructed there respects any gradings. If, on the other hand,  $k = k_0[u^{\pm 1}]$  then we can assume, by the above descent argument, that  $|u| = 2$ .

The result then follows by observing that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{AbSch}_{k_0[u^{\pm 1}]}^{p,\text{ev}} & \xrightarrow{D_{k_0[u^{\pm 1}]}} & \text{Dmod}_{k_0[u^{\pm 1}]} \\
 \simeq \uparrow & & \simeq \uparrow \\
 \text{AbSch}_{k_0}^{p,u} & \xrightarrow{D_{k_0}^u} & \text{Dmod}_{k_0}^u
 \end{array}$$

commutes.  $\square$

**Remark 4.12.** The reader might wonder if Theorem 1.1 cannot be directly derived from the ungraded case using Galois descent technology. The problem is that  $\text{Pol}_p$  is not a Galois descent category.

## 5. PROPERTIES AND APPLICATIONS

The factorization of the free formal group functor (Thm. 1.1) induces a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\text{Pro} - \text{alg}_k)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}} & \text{Fgps}_k \\
 & \searrow \text{pol} & \uparrow \tilde{\text{Fr}} \\
 & & (\text{Pro} - \text{pol}_p(k))^{\text{op}},
 \end{array}$$

where the functors denoted by  $\text{Fr}$  and  $\tilde{\text{Fr}}$  are the unique extension of the functors from Thm. 1.1 that commute with directed colimits. In this section, we will concentrate on the free unipotent, resp. connected, construction only.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The functor  $\hat{\text{Fr}}^c : (\text{Pro} - \text{pol}_p(k))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fgps}_k$  commutes with all colimits and has a right adjoint  $V$ .*

*Proof.* For the odd part, the functor  $\hat{\text{Fr}}_o$  factors as

$$\hat{\text{Fr}}_o : (\text{Pro} - \text{pol}_p(k))^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{U} (\text{Pro} - \text{mod}_k)_o^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\hat{\text{Fr}}} \text{AbSch}_k^p$$

(cf. Prop. 4.1), where  $U$  is the forgetful functor. Then an adjoint is given by the composition of the adjoint of  $\hat{\text{Fr}}$  (which is the functor of primitives) and an adjoint of  $U$ . The latter is the objectwise free  $p$ -polar algebra functor, which works because the free  $p$ -polar algebra on an odd finite-dimensional  $k$ -module is again finite dimensional (it is a sub- $p$ -polar algebra of the exterior algebra).

So we can restrict our attention to even formal groups. For the free connected formal group  $\text{Fr}_c$ , it suffices to show that its composition with the Dieudonné equivalence  $\mathbb{D}^f$  commutes with the stated colimits, and by Theorem 1.3, it is therefore enough to show that  $CW_k^c : \text{Pro} - \text{pol}_p(k) \rightarrow \text{Dmod}_k$  commutes with all limits. But  $CW_k^c$  is a formal group, i.e. representable.

The existence of an adjoint follows from Freyd's special adjoint functor theorem once we show that  $\text{Pro} - \text{pol}_p(k)$  is complete, well-powered, and possesses a cogenerating set. Any pro-category of a finitely complete category, such as  $\text{pol}_p(k)$ , is complete, and any pro-category has constant objects as a cogenerating class. Since  $\text{pol}_p(k)$  has a small skeleton, the condition on a cogenerating set is satisfied. To see that  $\text{Pro} - \text{pol}_p(k)$  is well-powered, observe that a subobject  $S < A$  for  $A \in \text{Pro} - \text{pol}_p(k)$  is in particular a sub-pro-vector space. By [AM69, Prop. 4.6], a

monomorphism in  $\text{Pro} - \text{Mod}_k$  can be represented by a levelwise monomorphism. Thus if  $A: I \rightarrow \text{Mod}_k$  represents a pro-finite  $k$ -module with  $\#\text{Sub}(A(i)) = \alpha_i$  for some (finite) cardinals  $\alpha_i$  then  $\#\text{Sub}(A) \leq \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i$ ; in particular, it is a set.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *The functor  $\hat{\text{Fr}}^u: \text{Pol}_p(k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{AbSch}_k^u$  commutes with all colimits and filtered limits, and has a right adjoint  $V$ .*

*Proof.* As in Lemma 5.1, the right adjoint on odd affine groups is given by the functor of primitives followed by the free  $p$ -polar algebra functor, so we will restrict our attention to even  $p$ -adic affine groups. By Theorem 1.3, it suffices to show that the functors  $CW^u: \text{Pol}_p(k) \rightarrow \text{Dmod}_k^{V, \text{nil}}$  commutes with all limits, which looks wrong until one realizes that limits in  $\text{Dmod}_k^{V, \text{nil}}$  are not the same as limits in  $\text{Dmod}_k$ .

The functor  $CW^u(A)$  commutes with finite limits (it is ind-representable), so it suffices to show it commutes with infinite products. Indeed, the natural map

$$CW^u\left(\prod_i A_i\right) \rightarrow \prod_i CW^u(A_i)$$

is an isomorphism; an element in the right hand side is a set of elements  $(x_i \in CW^u(A_i))$  such that there is an  $n \gg 0$  such that  $V^n(x_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ .

The commutation with filtered colimits is straightforward:  $CW^u$  commutes with them because it is a colimits of functors represented by small objects (polarizations of finitely presented algebras).

For the existence of an adjoint, we apply again the special adjoint functor theorem in the form of [AR94, Thm. 1.66]. The category  $\text{Pol}_p(k)$  is locally presentable and the functor  $D \circ \hat{\text{Fr}}^u$ , as just shown, is accessible (commutes with  $\omega$ -filtered colimits) and commutes with all limits.  $\square$

Note that this implies, by taking adjoint functors in Thm. 1.1, that the algebra underlying a unipotent Hopf algebra  $H$  is always of the form  $\text{hull}(V(H))$ , i.e. free over a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra, and the pro-finite algebra underlying a complete connected Hopf algebra  $H$  is always of the form  $\text{hull}(V(H))$ , i.e. free over a profinite  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra. Of course, this is also a direct corollary of Borel's work on the structure of algebras underlying Hopf algebras [Bor54, MM65].

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra and  $H = \text{Cof}^u(A)$  the unipotent Hopf algebra representing the  $p$ -adic affine group  $\hat{\text{Fr}}^u(A)$ . Then  $H$  is isomorphic, as a pointed coalgebra, to the symmetric tensor coalgebra on the  $k$ -vector space  $A$ .*

*Proof.* The symmetric tensor coalgebra on a vector space  $V$  is given by

$$S(V) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S^i(V) \quad \text{with} \quad S^i(V) = (V^{\otimes i})^{\Sigma_i},$$

and it is a pointed coalgebra by the inclusion  $k \cong S^0(V) \subset S(V)$ . A pointed coalgebra  $C$  is conilpotent if for each  $x \in C$ ,  $\psi^N(x) \in C^{\otimes(N+1)}$  maps to 0 in  $\bar{C}^{\otimes(N+1)}$ , where  $\bar{C}$  is the cokernel of the pointing. The coalgebra  $S(V)$  is conilpotent and, indeed, the right adjoint to the forgetful functor  $U$  from the category  $\text{Coalg}_k^u$  of pointed, conilpotent, cocommutative coalgebras to  $k$ -vector spaces, mapping a coalgebra  $C$  to  $\bar{C}$ .

Note that a Hopf algebra is unipotent if and only if its underlying pointed coalgebra is conilpotent. The claim is that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pol}_p(k) & \xrightarrow{\text{Cof}^u} & \text{Hopf}_k^u \\ \downarrow U_1 & & \downarrow U_2 \\ \text{Mod}_k & \xrightarrow{S} & \text{Coalg}_k^u \end{array}$$

2-commutes. By taking left adjoint functors, this is equivalent to the 2-commutativity of the square in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pol}_p(k) & \xleftarrow{V} & \text{Hopf}_k^u \\ \text{Fr} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{Fr} \\ \text{Mod}_k & \xleftarrow{U} & \text{Coalg}_k^u. \end{array}$$

The free commutative Hopf algebra on  $C \in \text{Coalg}_k^u$  is given by the symmetric algebra  $\text{Sym}(\bar{C})$ , and hence there is a natural map of  $k$ -modules  $C \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\bar{C})$  given in degree 0 by the augmentation and in degree one by the projection  $C \rightarrow \bar{C}$ . This map  $\phi: U(C) \rightarrow U_1(V(C))$  is adjoint to a map  $\phi: \text{Fr}(U(C)) \rightarrow V(\text{Fr}(C))$  in  $\text{Pol}_p(k)$ . To see that this map is an isomorphism, we consider its image under the conservative functor

$$\text{hull}: \text{Pol}_p(k) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_k.$$

Since  $\text{hull} \circ V: \text{Hopf}_k^u \rightarrow \text{Alg}_k$  is the forgetful functor and  $\text{hull} \circ \text{Fr}: \text{Mod}_k \rightarrow \text{Alg}_k$  is the symmetric algebra functor, we see that  $\text{hull}(\phi)$  is the identity on  $\text{Sym}(C)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $H$  be a unipotent Hopf algebra which is unipotent cofree on a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $A$  is isomorphic to the vector space of primitive elements  $PH$ .*

*Proof.* If  $H$  is as in the statement then  $U_2(H) \cong S(PH)$  as coalgebras, but by the preceding Lemma,  $U_2(H) \cong S(U_1(A))$ . Applying the functor  $P$  and noting that  $P(S(M)) \cong M$ , we find that  $PH \cong U_1(A)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.** It is not true that  $V(H) = P(H)$  in general, or that  $P(H)$  is a  $p$ -polar algebra. Also, if  $H$  is a unipotent Hopf algebra whose underlying pointed unipotent coalgebra is cofree,  $H$  is not necessarily cofree over a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra. For example, consider the graded Hopf algebra  $H$  dual to  $H^* = k[x, y]$  with  $|x| = j > 0$ ,  $|y| = p^2 j$ ,  $x$  primitive and  $\psi(y) = y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i!(p-i)!} x^{pi} \otimes x^{p(p-i)}$ . Then the primitives  $PH$  are dual to the indecomposables  $Q(H^*) = \langle x, y \rangle$ , i.e.  $PH = \langle a, b \rangle$  with  $|a| = j$ ,  $|b| = p^2 j$ . Suppose  $H$  was cofree, so that  $PH$  is a  $p$ -polar  $k$ -algebra by the corollary above. For degree reasons,  $PH$  cannot carry any but the trivial  $p$ -polar algebra structure,  $PH \cong \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ . As a right adjoint,  $\text{Cof}^u$  commutes with products and hence  $\text{Cof}^u(PH) = \text{Cof}(\langle a \rangle) \otimes \text{Cof}(\langle b \rangle) = (k[x] \otimes k[y])^* = H'$ . But  $H \not\cong H'$  as Hopf algebras since  $P(H^*) = \langle x, x^p, x^{p^2}, \dots \rangle$ , while  $P((H')^*) = \langle x, x^p, \dots, y, y^p, \dots \rangle$ , a contradiction.

*Proof of Thm. 1.4.* Let  $\Lambda_p = k[\theta_{j,0}, \theta_{j,1}, \dots]$  be the Hopf algebra representing the functor of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors. Denote by  $\eta: \Lambda_p \rightarrow \text{Cof}^u(V(\Lambda_p))$  the unit of the adjunction. Applying the functor of primitives, since  $\text{Cof}^u(V(\Lambda_p))$  is cofree as a coalgebra by Lemma 5.3, we obtain a map

$$P\eta: P(\Lambda_p) \rightarrow V(\Lambda_p).$$

Now  $V(\Lambda_p) = \text{pol}_{(j)}(\Lambda_p) = k\langle \theta_{j,i}^{p^k} \mid i, k \geq 0 \rangle$  and  $P(\Lambda_p) = k\langle \theta_{j,0}^{p^k} \mid k \geq 0 \rangle$ , and  $P\eta$  is the inclusion map. We see that  $P(\Lambda_p)$  is in fact a direct factor of  $V(\Lambda_p)$  as a  $p$ -polar algebra, with an retraction  $p: V(\Lambda_p) \rightarrow P(\Lambda_p)$  given by

$$p(\theta_{j,i}) \mapsto \begin{cases} \theta_{j,0}; & i = 0 \\ 0; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Taking adjoints, we obtain a map of Hopf algebras  $q: \Lambda_p \rightarrow \text{Cof}^u(P\Lambda_p)$ . A map of unipotent Hopf algebras is injective iff it is injective on primitives, and  $Pq: P\Lambda_p \rightarrow P(\text{Cof}^i(P\Lambda_p)) \cong P\Lambda_p$  is the identity, so  $q$  is injective. By dimension considerations, it must also be surjective.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AM69] M. Artin and B. Mazur. *Etale homotopy*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 100. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
- [AR94] Jiří Adámek and Jiří Rosický. *Locally presentable and accessible categories*, volume 189 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [Bau20] Tilman Bauer. Affine and formal abelian group schemes on  $p$ -polar rings. preprint, 2020.
- [BC19] Tilman Bauer and Magnus Carlson. Tensor products of abelian affine group schemes, formal groups, and  $p$ -divisible groups over perfect fields. *Documenta Mathematica*, 24:2525–2582, 2019.
- [Bor54] Armand Borel. Sur l’homologie et la cohomologie des groupes de Lie compacts connexes. *Amer. J. Math.*, 76:273–342, 1954.
- [Bor16] James Borger. Witt vectors, lambda-rings, and arithmetic jet spaces. Lecture notes and exercises, available at <https://maths-people.anu.edu.au/~borger/classes/copenhagen-2016/>, 2016.
- [Bou96] A. K. Bousfield. On  $p$ -adic  $\lambda$ -rings and the  $K$ -theory of  $H$ -spaces. *Math. Z.*, 223(3):483–519, 1996.
- [BW05] James Borger and Ben Wieland. Plethystic algebra. *Adv. Math.*, 194(2):246–283, 2005.
- [Fon77] Jean-Marc Fontaine. *Groupes  $p$ -divisibles sur les corps locaux*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1977. Astérisque, No. 47-48.
- [Haz03] Michiel Hazewinkel. Cofree coalgebras and multivariable recursiveness. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 183(1-3):61–103, 2003.
- [Haz09] Michiel Hazewinkel. Witt vectors. I. In *Handbook of algebra. Vol. 6*, volume 6 of *Handb. Algebr.*, pages 319–472. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2009.
- [Hes08] Lars Hesselholt. Lecture notes on Witt vectors. preprint at <http://web.math.ku.dk/~larsh/papers/s03/wittsurvey.pdf>, 2008.
- [McG81] C. A. McGibbon. Stable properties of rank 1 loop structures. *Topology*, 20(2):109–118, 1981.
- [MM65] John W. Milnor and John C. Moore. On the structure of Hopf algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 81:211–264, 1965.
- [Sul74] Dennis Sullivan. Genetics of homotopy theory and the Adams conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 100:1–79, 1974.
- [Wil00] W. Stephen Wilson. Hopf rings in algebraic topology. *Expo. Math.*, 18(5):369–388, 2000.
- [Wit37] Ernst Witt. Zyklische Körper und Algebren der Charakteristik  $p$  vom Grad  $p^n$ . Struktur diskret bewerteter perfekter Körper mit vollkommenem Restklassenkörper der Charakteristik  $p$ . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 176:126–140, 1937.