Infotheory for Statistics and Learning Lecture 12

- The method of types¹
 - Definition empirical distribution and type class
 - Connection between types and probability theory
 - Large deviation via types
 - Joint types, V-shell, typicality

¹based on material by I. Csiszar such as [CK, CS]. Tobias Oechtering

Empirical distribution and type class

Notation: Let

- $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots a_M\}$ denote a finite set with $|\mathcal{A}| = M$;
- $x^n = (x_1, x_2 \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ denote a sequence of length n;
- frequency and relative freq. of letter $a \in \mathcal{A}$ in sequence x^n :

$$N(a|x^{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}\{x_{i} = a\} \qquad \hat{P}_{x^{n}}(a) = \frac{1}{n}N(a|x^{n})$$

Empirical distribution of sequence x^n is the probability vector $(\hat{P}_{x^n}(a_1), \hat{P}_{x^n}(a_2), \dots, \hat{P}_{x^n}(a_M))$. Set of all empirical distributions of length n is denoted by $\mathbb{P}_n = \{\hat{P}_{x^n} : x^n \in \mathcal{A}^n\}$.

Type and type class is $P \in \mathbb{P}_n$ with $\mathcal{T}_P^n = \{x^n : \hat{P}_{x^n} = P\}.$

- Example: $x^n = (1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 1) \in \{1, 2, 3\}^6 \rightarrow \hat{P}_{x^n} = (\frac{3}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{6})$
- Example: For $P = (\frac{3}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{6})$ we have type class $\mathcal{T}_P^n = \{(1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3), (1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 3), (1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 3), \dots, (3, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1)\}$

• Note, the number of sequences grows exponentially M^n .

• Q: For sequence length *n*, how many types can we have? Lemma: (HW) For the number possible *n*-types we have²

$$|\mathbb{P}_n| = \binom{n+M-1}{M-1} \le (n+1)^{M-1} \le (n+1)^M.$$

- Key observation 1: We have only a sub-exponential growth of number of types!
- Key observation 2: For iid sequences, the probability of sequences of the same type are equal!
 - ⇒ For the computation of the probability of a set of sequences of the same type we need the cardinality of the set.
- Q: How many sequences are in each type class \mathcal{T}_P^n ?

²A type is described by a vector $(N(a_1|x^n), \ldots, N(a_M|x^n)) \in [0:n]^M$. Tobias Oechtering 3/16

• Q: How many sequences are in each type class \mathcal{T}_P^n ? Lemma:³ For any $P\in\mathbb{P}_n$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n+M-1}{M-1}} 2^{nH(P)} \le |\mathcal{T}_P^n| \le 2^{nH(P)}$$

Proof: Let $k_i = N(a_i|x^n)$ for $1 \le i \le M$. The number of sequences of length n with k_i times element a_i is given by the number of distinct ways to permute a multiset⁴ of n elements

$$|\mathcal{T}_P^n| = \frac{n!}{k_1!k_2!\cdots k_M!}$$

Next, find upper and lower bounds on RHS.

³Note that we write H(P) for entropy H(X) when RV X is distributed according to P. A stronger result can be obtained using the Stirling formula (HW). A weaker result can be obtained using $|\mathbb{P}_n| \leq (n+1)^M$ in the proof, which is often sufficient if we are interested in the asymptotic only.

⁴A multiset is a set where multiple instances of an element are allowed. Tobias Oechtering

4/16

Multinomial theorem gives

$$n^{n} = (k_{1} + k_{2} + \dots + k_{M})^{n} = \sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \binom{n+M-1}{M-1} \text{ terms}}} \frac{n!}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n \\ \frac{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}{\sum_{\substack{j_{1} + j_{2} + \dots + j_{M} = n}}}}}}}}}}}}}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} (*) \ \ \text{since for} \ k_i \leq j_i \ \text{we have} \ \frac{k_i!}{j_i!}k_i^{j_i-k_i} \leq 1 \ \text{and for} \ k_i > j_i \ \text{we have} \\ \frac{j_i!}{k_i!}k_i^{k_i-j_i} \geq 1 \ \text{it follows} \ \prod_{i:k_i \leq j_i} \frac{k_i!}{j_i!}k_i^{j_i-k_i} \leq \prod_{i:k_i > j_i} \frac{j_i!}{k_i!}k_i^{k_i-j_i} \\ \bullet \ \ \text{Divide (1) by} \ k_1^{k_1}k_2^{k_2} \cdots k_M^{k_M} \ \text{, then LHS gives} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{n^n}{k_1^{k_1}k_2^{k_2}\cdots k_M^{k_M}} = \prod_{i=1}^M \left(\frac{k_i}{n}\right)^{-k_i} = \prod_{i=1}^M P(a_i)^{-nP(a_i)} = 2^{\log\prod_{i=1}^M P(a_i)^{-nP(a_i)}} = 2^{nH(P)}$$

Recall n!/(k1!k2!…kM!) = |TP| so that bounds follow from (1) with
 Upper bound: RHS lower bounded by largest term in sum.
 Lower bound: RHS upper bounded by taking (n+M-1)/(M-1)-times largest term in sum.

Connection between types and probability theory

- Let P^n denote distribution of an iid sequence according to P , i.e., $P^n(x^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i)$
- Entropy $H(\hat{P}_{x^n})$ is called *empirical entropy* of x^n .

Lemma:⁵ For any $x^n \in \mathcal{A}^n$ and distribution P on \mathcal{A} we have

$$P^{n}(x^{n}) = 2^{-n[H(\hat{P}_{x^{n}}) + D(\hat{P}_{x^{n}}||P)]}.$$

Proof:

$$P^{n}(x^{n}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_{i}) = 2^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(x_{i})} = 2^{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}}^{N(a|x^{n}) \log P(a)}$$
$$= 2^{-n[\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{N(a|x^{n})}{n} \log \frac{1}{P(a)}]} = 2^{-n[\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \hat{P}_{x^{n}}(a) \log \frac{1}{P(a)} \frac{\hat{P}_{x^{n}}(a)}{\hat{P}_{x^{n}}(a)}]}$$
$$= 2^{-n[H(\hat{P}_{x^{n}}) + D(\hat{P}_{x^{n}}||P)]}$$

$${}^{5}H(\hat{P}_{x^{n}}) + D(\hat{P}_{x^{n}}||P) = -\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \hat{P}_{x^{n}}(a) \log P(a) \text{ is called } inaccuracy.$$
Tobias Oschtering
$${}^{6/16}$$

• A slight reformulation gives us the following lemma.

Lemma: For any distribution P on \mathcal{A} and any n-type Q we have

$$\frac{P^n(x^n)}{Q^n(x^n)} = 2^{-nD(Q||P)}, \qquad x^n \in \mathcal{T}_Q^n.$$

Proof: For $x^n \in \mathcal{T}_Q^n$ we have $H(\hat{P}_{x^n}) = H(Q)$ and $D(\hat{P}_{x^n}||P) = D(Q||P)$ so that the previous lemma gives $Q^n(x^n) = 2^{-n[H(\hat{P}_{x^n}) + D(\hat{P}_{x^n}||Q)]} = 2^{-n[H(Q) + D(Q||Q)]} = 2^{-nH(Q)}$

and therewith

$$P^{n}(x^{n}) = 2^{-n[H(\hat{P}_{x^{n}}) + D(\hat{P}_{x^{n}}||P)]} = \underbrace{2^{-n[H(Q) + D(Q||P)]}}_{=Q^{n}(x^{n})2^{-nD(Q||P)}}$$

• In particular, the lemma implies $P^n(\mathcal{T}_Q^n) = \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}_Q^n} P^n(x^n) = 2^{-nD(Q||P)} \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}_Q^n} Q^n(x^n) = 2^{-nD(Q||P)}Q(\mathcal{T}_Q^n).$

• The next lemma combines the previous results.

Lemma: For any distribution P on A and any n-type Q we have

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n+M-1}{M-1}} 2^{-nD(Q||P)} \le P^n(\mathcal{T}_Q^n) \le 2^{-nD(Q||P)}.$$

Proof:

$$P^{n}(\mathcal{T}_{Q}^{n}) = \sum_{x^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{Q}^{n}} P^{n}(x^{n}) = \sum_{x^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{Q}^{n}} Q^{n}(x^{n}) 2^{-nD(Q||P)} \le 2^{-nD(Q||P)}$$

$$P^{n}(\mathcal{T}_{Q}^{n}) = \sum_{x^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{Q}^{n}} \underbrace{Q^{n}(x^{n})}_{=2^{-nH(Q)}} 2^{-nD(Q||P)} = \underbrace{|\mathcal{T}_{Q}^{n}|}_{\ge \frac{1}{\binom{n+M-1}{M-1}}} 2^{-n[H(Q)+D(Q||P)]}$$

• In particular
$$\frac{1}{\binom{n+M-1}{M-1}} \leq P^n(\mathcal{T}_P^n) \leq 1.$$

• If $P \neq Q$, then $P^n(\mathcal{T}_Q^n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$ exponentially fast.

• As a direct consequence we have the following:

Corollary: Let \hat{P}^n be the empirical distribution of a sequence of length n iid randomly drawn according to distribution P. Then

$$\operatorname{Prob}\{D(\hat{P}_{X^n}||P) \ge \delta\} \le \binom{n+M-1}{M-1} 2^{-n\delta} \qquad \forall \delta > 0.$$

Proof:

$$\operatorname{Prob}\{D(\hat{P}_{X^{n}}||P) \ge \delta\} = \sum_{Q:D(Q||P) \ge \delta} \underbrace{P^{n}(\mathcal{T}_{Q}^{n})}_{\le 2^{-nD(Q||P)}} \le \binom{n+M-1}{M-1} 2^{-n\delta}$$

• Note⁶ that we have $\binom{n+M-1}{M-1}2^{-n\delta} \leq 2^{(M-1)\log n}2^{-n\delta} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0.$

⁶In comparison, convergence of the weak law of large numbers: $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow \mu$ in probability when $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Prob}\{|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon\} = 0$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Tobias Occhtering

Large deviation via types

- Let $D(\Pi || P) = \inf_{Q \in \Pi} D(Q || P)$ for a set of distributions Π .
- Q: Can we otherwise make an asymptotic statement?

Sanov's Theorem: Let Π be a set of distribution on \mathcal{A} whose closure is equal to the closure of its interior.⁷ Then for the empirical distribution \hat{P}_n of a sample sequence iid of strictly positive distribution P on \mathcal{A} we have

$$-\frac{1}{n}\log\operatorname{Prob}\{\hat{P}_n\in\Pi\}\xrightarrow{n\to\infty}D(\Pi||P).$$

Proof: Let $\Pi_n = \Pi \cap \mathbb{P}_n$ be the set of possible *n*-types in Π , then we have $\operatorname{Prob}\{\hat{P}_n \in \Pi_n\} = P^n(\cup_{Q \in \Pi_n} \mathcal{T}_Q^n)$ and

- $\frac{1}{\binom{n+M-1}{M-1}} 2^{-nD(\prod_n ||P)} \le P^n (\bigcup_{Q \in \prod_n} \mathcal{T}_Q^n) \le \binom{n+M-1}{M-1} 2^{-nD(\prod_n ||P)}$
- Result follows taking the limit of $-\frac{1}{n}\log$ of the RHS and LHS.

Convergence is guaranteed due to assumption on Π .

⁷For any set Π we have $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \operatorname{Prob}\{\hat{P}_n \in \Pi\} \leq -D(\Pi||P)$. Tobias Oechtering

Example

- Consider $f: \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Pi = \{Q: \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(a) f(a) > \alpha\}$
- $\hat{P}_{x^n} \in \Pi$ for sequence $x^n \in \mathcal{A}^n$ if $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) > \alpha$
 - since $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \hat{P}_n(a) f(a) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} N(a|x^n) f(a) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$
- Using Sanov's theorem we have large deviation result

$$-\frac{1}{n}\log\operatorname{Prob}\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(X_{i})>\alpha\right\}\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}D(\Pi||P).$$

- Here $D(\Pi||P) = D(\operatorname{cl}(\Pi)||P) = \min_{Q:\sum_a Q(a)f(a) \ge \alpha} D(Q||P)$
- For $\alpha > \sum_{a} P(a)f(a)$ we have $D(\Pi||P) > 0^{8}$ $\Rightarrow \operatorname{Prob}\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i) > \alpha\right\}$ decays to 0 exponentially fast!
- Remaining task is to compute (or bound) $D(\Pi || P)$ for a specific function f and distribution P.⁹

⁸Note, if $\alpha \leq \sum_{a} P(a)f(a)$, then $P \in \Pi$ so that $D(\Pi||P) = D(P||P) = 0$. ⁹Basically same idea is used in achievability proofs in coding theorems. Tobias Oechtering Joint Type

- Besides \mathcal{A} consider $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N\}$ with $|\mathcal{B}| = N$.
- Consider two sequences $x^n \in \mathcal{A}^n$ and $y^n \in \mathcal{B}^n$ of length n.
- Frequency and relative freq. of $(a,b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ in (x^n,y^n)

$$N(a,b|x^{n},y^{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}\{x_{i} = a, y_{i} = b\}, \quad \hat{P}_{x^{n}y^{n}}(a,b) = \frac{N(a,b|x^{n},y^{n})}{n}$$

Joint type is defined as type of the sequence $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, in other words the relative frequency $\hat{P}_{x^ny^n}$ (aka empirical distribution).

- Example: $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{\Delta, \Box\}$ $(x^6, y^6) = ((1, \Delta), (3, \Delta), (2, \Box), (1, \Box), (3, \Box), (1, \Delta))$ • $\hat{P}_{x^n y^n}(1, \Delta) = \frac{2}{6}$, $\hat{P}_{x^n y^n}(2, \Delta) = 0$, $\hat{P}_{x^n y^n}(2, \Box) = \frac{1}{6}$,...
- Joint types are often given in terms of the type of x^n and a stochastic matrix¹⁰ $V: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$,

$$\hat{P}_{x^n y^n}(a,b) = \hat{P}_{x^n}(a)V(b|a)$$

 ${}^{10}\hat{P}_{x^ny^n}$ uniquely specifies V(b|a) for which $N(a|x^n)\neq 0.$ Tobias Occhtering

Conditional type and V-shell

Conditional type: Sequence $y^n \in \mathcal{B}^n$ has conditional type V given sequence $x^n \in \mathcal{A}^n$ if we have

$$N(a, b|x^n, y^n) = N(a|x^n)V(b|a) \qquad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

V-shell: For any given $x^n \in \mathcal{A}^n$ and stochastic matrix *V*, the set of all sequences $y^n \in \mathcal{B}^n$ having conditional type *V* given x^n is called *V*-shell of x^n and is denoted by $\mathcal{T}_V^n(x^n)$.

• $\mathcal{T}_V^n(x^n)$ is uniquely defined, even if $N(a|x^n) = 0$ for some a. Notation:

- $H(V|P) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P(a)H(V(\cdot|a))$ denotes the average of entropies of rows of V with respect to a distribution P on \mathcal{A} .
- $D(V||W|P) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P(a)D(V(\cdot|a)||W(\cdot|a))$ denotes the average of divergences between rows of stochastic matrices V and W with respect to a distribution P on \mathcal{A} .

- Most previous results can be straightforwardly generalized.
 - The number of sequences in a V-shell of x^n is given by:

Lemma: For every $x^n \in \mathcal{A}$ and stochastic matrix $V : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{T}_V^n(x^n) \neq \emptyset$ with $|\mathcal{A}| = M$ and $|\mathcal{B}| = N$ we have

$$(n+1)^{-MN} 2^{nH(V|\hat{P}_{x^n})} \le |\mathcal{T}_V^n(x^n)| \le 2^{H(V|\hat{P}_{x^n})}$$

Proof: Note, $|\mathcal{T}_V^n(x^n)|$ depends on x^n only through its type \hat{P}_{x^n} ! Thus consider vector

$$x^n = (\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_{N(a_1|x^n) \text{ times }}, \underbrace{a_2, \dots, a_2}_{N(a_2|x^n) \text{ times }}, \dots, \underbrace{a_M \dots, a_m}_{N(a_M|x^n) \text{ times }}).$$

Then $\mathcal{T}_{V}^{n}(x^{n})$ is Cartesian product of sets of sequences of type $V(\cdot|a_{i})$ on $\mathcal{B}^{N(a_{i}|x^{n})}$. For each a_{i} apply previous lemma $\prod_{a_{i}\in\mathcal{A}}(N(a_{i}|x^{n})+1)^{-N}2^{N(a_{i}|x^{n})H(V(\cdot|a_{i}))} \leq |\mathcal{T}_{V}^{n}(x^{n})| \leq \prod_{a_{i}\in\mathcal{A}}2^{N(a_{i}|x^{n})H(V(\cdot|a_{i}))}.$

• ... the *inaccuracy* result becomes:

Lemma: For every $x^n \in \mathcal{A}$ and stochastic matrices $V : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ and $W : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{T}_V^n(x^n) \neq \emptyset$ we have

$$W^{n}(y^{n}|x^{n}) = 2^{-n(D(V||W|\hat{P}_{x^{n}}) + H(V|\hat{P}_{x^{n}}))} \quad \text{if } y^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{V}(x^{n})$$
$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^{NM}} 2^{-nD(V||W|\hat{P}_{x^{n}})} \le W^{n}(\mathcal{T}_{V}^{n}(x^{n})|x^{n}) \le 2^{-nD(V||W|\hat{P}_{x^{n}})}.$$

Proof idea: The results can be similarly deduced from the previous results as previously using

$$W^n(y^n|x^n) = \prod_{a_i \in \mathcal{A}, b_j \in \mathcal{B}} W(b_j|a_i)^{N(a_i, b_j|x^n, y^n)}.$$

Typical sequences

- Coding results can be derived using types (see Wolfowitz).
- Recently they are more often derived using the concept of (strong) typical sequences, which is very closely related to the concept of types.¹¹

Typical sequences: For any distribution P on \mathcal{A} , a sequence $x^n \in \mathcal{A}^n$ is called *P*-typical with constant δ if

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}N(a|x^n) - P(a)\right| \le \delta \qquad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

¹¹More can be learned about this in our other graduate courses *Information Theory, Multiuser Information Theory,* or *Information-theoretic Security.* Tobias Oechtering 16/16