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After highlighting the significant energy consumption of existing wired communication networks, this chapter considers various means of operating such networks more efficiently. The chapter examines the components that make up wired communications network and their differing characteristics between the access and core, as well as patterns of traffic behavior. Once this is done, the chapter focuses on static (network planning) and dynamic (traffic-engineering) schemes that can be used to reduce the energy consumption of networks. The chapter also pays attention to a number of challenges/open research questions that need to be resolved before the implementation of such schemes. These include issues with migration and resilience. Finally a summary reviews the key themes that have been covered.

We use the term “wired” to represent any network where the communication channel between nodes is confined to a specific conduit rather than relying on free-space radiation of the signal. This includes systems based on metal conductors as well as glass fiber, with and without in-line amplification. Conversely, we reserve the term “wireless” communication for systems involving radio access. Improving the efficiency of these latter systems is addressed in Chapter 4.

The aim is to make wired networks operate in an energy-efficient way because energy expenditure has become a major concern with the continued development of the Internet [1]. It also has considerable impact on the environment [2]. In the past few years, energy consumption issues have received much attention from the government, general public, and telecommunication operators [3, 4]. Firstly, with the sustained growth of the customer population and greater use of high-bandwidth services such as streaming video, Internet Service Providers (ISPs), and telecom companies have needed to increase network capacity and expand their reach to support these demands. Associated with the use of more powerful and power-hungry network equipment, the operational expenditure, particularly in terms of the cost of electricity, is a significant factor particularly due to the increasing energy prices [5]. Increasing energy usage is also an environmental problem particularly due to the release of carbon dioxide (CO₂) into the atmosphere. It is also a political one, especially as politicians come to terms with the consequences of the anticipated environmental changes.

The power consumption of information and communication technologies (ICT) includes the power consumption of servers, network infrastructure, and end user devices. It accounts for about 2% of the world’s power consumption rising to 10% for developed countries such as the United Kingdom [6]. It is anticipated that this will increase notably over the next few years. For example, nondomestic energy consumption is expected to
grow by a further 40% by 2020 [7]. Although it seems a small fraction of overall power consumption, the absolute value of 900 billion kWh/year is equal to the power consumption of Central and South America together [2].

Within the field of telecommunications research, energy management can be divided into two segments: wireless networks and wired (fixed) networks. As the operation of (mobile or unattended) user equipment in many wireless networks is limited by battery power, considerable effort has been devoted to devising new energy management schemes for this sector. For example, many energy-efficient schemes have been proposed for wireless ad hoc sensor networks [8, 9]. There is also much ongoing research into energy saving within cellular network infrastructure, including the use of low-energy (and limited functionality) base stations, coding and multiplexing, and exploiting residential wired access points [10]. Conversely, it is generally assumed that wired networks, including fixed user equipment, have access to abundant power, implying there is no need to save energy. Consequently, wired networks’ energy consumption is inefficient and, moreover, there is considerable room for improvement [11]. Furthermore, technological advances, particularly in respect to dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM), mean that the bandwidth of physical devices is no longer a restriction on the capacity of the Internet; instead, it is limitations in the achievable energy density [1].

Commonly the wired network is considered to be composed of several parts: the access network, the metro(politan) network, and the carrier (core) network. From the point of view of power consumption, research indicates that in the near future, the core network’s power consumption may become the most significant element of the overall communications network infrastructure [12]. Therefore, a lot of research is now focused on the regional Tier-2 IP core networks employing various DWDM architectures.

The remainder of the chapter commences in Section 3.1 with an assessment of socioeconomic mechanisms that can be used to promote more energy-efficient use of the wired-network infrastructure. This is followed by a brief review of principle network components and typical wired-network architectures in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, respectively. Section 3.4 then gives consideration to how traffic is evolving both due to the increasing number of users on wired networks and also as a result of additional services being deployed across the Internet. Understanding these changing traffic patterns is essential if networks are to be operated efficiently. Then, we consider a number of energy-saving mechanisms. These are separated into static mechanisms in Section 3.5.1 and dynamic ones in Section 3.5.2. Static mechanisms or network planning [13, 14] consider the energy consumption while planning and configuring the network. Dynamic or traffic-engineering methods make use of a prearranged infrastructure in an energy efficiency way. These approaches include infrastructure sleeping, rate adaptation, and network virtualization. Infrastructure sleeping lets routers and links be switched off or enter a low power consumption state when network traffic demand is light [15]; rate adaptation [16] adjusts the power consumption according to the required transmission rate of the traffic. Finally, network virtualization [17], or network machine migration [18, 19], allows several network component instances to run on the same physical platform when performance requirements permit the saving of energy through consolidation. Despite these various schemes, significant challenges remain. These are considered in
Section 3.6. Finally, Section 3.7 provides a brief summary of the key findings and the issues that still need to be addressed.

3.1 ECONOMIC INCENTIVES AND GREEN TARIFFING

While later sections in this chapter consider design and traffic measures to achieve energy savings in communications networks, the present section deals with economic-, regulatory-, and business-related methods. It is estimated that such approaches, if adopted by users, could achieve a very large impact.

3.1.1 Regulatory, Economic, and Microeconomic Measures

Various measures are available to influence energy usage. We consider several significant examples.

3.1.1.1 Regulatory Dimensions. Communications carriers are universally subject to operating licenses, specified, awarded, and controlled by communications regulators [20]. Electricity and energy production is also, in some countries, subject to regulations, specified, awarded, and controlled by energy regulators [21]. Policies by both categories of regulators must abide to national and international laws or treaties dealing with climate change [22]; however, at this stage, no communications regulators are known to have included yet any mandatory requirements with “green” objectives in their license-awarding schemes. Energy reduction objectives are, therefore, “de facto” implemented by communications equipment manufacturers, to help their clients, the operators, achieve operating cost reductions as well as “green objectives” of their own (e.g., environmental reports to shareholders, regional policies, commitments toward use of specific energy sources). Therefore, in effect, regulatory measures are today driven much more by the communications equipment manufacturers and operators than by national regulators. Industry consortia such as the Groupe Speciale Mobile Association (GSMA) have introduced best practices for energy savings leading later to an International Telecommunication Union (ITU) standard [23].

This is, however, bound to change, as some communications regulators plan to introduce mandatory requirements, especially on ISPs and on public wireless base station operators, whereby technology migration set in the licenses, must be linked to a specified power consumption reduction for a given traffic capacity. Likewise, in a number of countries, some communications operators are seeking energy production and distribution licenses, to be allowed to own and operate energy production facilities serving not only their own needs but also third parties. One motivation is to limit the electricity and cooling prices charged to communications operators by power utilities. Another is to capitalize on the preferential amortization rates for selected green energy production facilities. A third motivation is to earn sometimes incentive-led income from selling excess renewable energy to third parties. Finally, some communications operators are in a competitive strategic positioning game with power utilities around smart grid metering and services [24]. The net effect is that communications operators interact much
more with energy regulators, an offer to these a diversification and increased competition among market players.

3.1.1.2 Economic Dimensions. Traditionally, in economic terms, telecommunications was about user-led traffic and service demands, besides manufacturing output (part of the second economic sector) [25]. The user-led demand as studied in economics did not include content creation, all this being aggregated into utility services, and thus the third sector of the economy (services). Also, in macroeconomics, there are no specific metrics for sustainability.

As a result, the energy consumption driven by communications traffic and services is still treated as a pure substitution between utility services inside the third sector, and not rendered exogenous. The consequence is that, at a macroeconomic level, there is no incentive or ready means to estimate the cross-effects between communications service value and energy pricing. Another consequence is that the relative returns on investments in communication systems and services, and in energy-savings capacity, cannot be assessed. This is bound to evolve, if indeed the knowledge and communications economy will be treated as the fourth sector on its own [25].

3.1.1.3 Microeconomic and Pricing Measures. The strict definition of rationality is on an individual’s preference: the preference relation is rational if it possesses the properties of completeness and transitivity [26]. It means the individual is able to compare all the alternatives and the comparisons are consistent. Furthermore, rationality implies that the individual has complete information of all alternatives and knows about the consequences of the choice; the user also has unlimited time and unlimited computational power to pick the most preferred option. In reality, such a perfectly rational user does not exist. Over the past decades, a large mass of empirical data has shown violations of the rationality assumption [27].

Simon [28] has pointed out that the behavior of most individuals is part rational. Much of the time, the individual does not know all the alternatives. Neither does she/he have perfect information regarding the consequences of choosing a particular alternative both because of limited computational power and because of the uncertainty in the external world. The individual’s preferences do not possess the rational properties when comparing heterogeneous alternatives. Simon characterized this as “bounded rationality.” Model construction under bounded rationality assumption can take two approaches. First is to retain optimization, but to simplify sufficiently so the optimum is computable. Second is to construct a satisfying model that provides good enough decisions, with reasonable computational cost. Neither approach dominates the other [28].

Following the pioneering work of Simon et al. [29] conducted a series of research on various types of judgment about uncertain events. Their conclusion was that people rely on a limited number of heuristics principles, which reduce the complex tasks of assessing probabilities and predicting values to simpler judgment operations. A recent revisit of this analysis [30] proposed a formulation in which the reduction of complexity is achieved by an operation of “attribute substitution” whereby a judgment is said to be mediated by a heuristic.
Costs, benefits, and incentives linked to the adoption of energy savings in communications networks represent a typical attribute substitution and, therefore, dominantly hinge on microeconomic measures at supplier level as well as at user level. The new framework to be considered here is one where the communications suppliers and users alike are not just dealing with traffic and services but are also at the same time suppliers and users of energy, with trade-offs between their two roles [31]. Ultimately, as seen earlier, the communications supplier may also be an energy supplier, and vice-versa, so that at a fundamental level, the microeconomic pricing and revenue are on combined “bundles” of communications and electricity with “attribute substitution.”

By a combination of a large number of users, technology improvements, and operator productivity gains, the pure transport and access tariffs for communications have plummeted to low values, while energy costs may soon exceed them. Content-based services will generate certain revenue. Thus the very mix inside the combined “bundles” will change, with energy representing a large component, which only energy savings can reduce.

### 3.1.2 Pricing Theory in Relation to Green Policies

In this section, we now review pricing principles and introduce the concept of energy-and-communications use bundles and then “green” tariffs as incentives.

#### 3.1.2.1 Pricing Principles

The economic theory of pricing has traditionally been derived for physical goods and from different angles:

1. Either as a static equilibrium between supply and demand, including auctions [32];
2. Or taking in consideration ranked preferences for individual price formation;
3. Or reflecting price dynamics with endogenous fluctuations due to market restructuring.

Most conventional telecommunication pricing schemes have been variants of the above, assuming limited capacity in either bandwidth or transmission capacity; these two assumptions have been made largely erroneous with the advent first of fiber optic transmission, next of advanced radio coding/modulation/spectrum usage techniques, and finally of Internet Protocol (IP)-based networks [25]. More recently, operators, while largely regulated according to the above principles, have determined prices by summing marketing, customer care, and financial costs, thus blurring the picture for users as well as for themselves. Customer segmentation approaches now dominate the pricing and revenue strategies for communications services. Likewise, most electricity pricing schemes have also been variants of the above, assuming limited energy production/generation capacity and/or daily variation patterns of demand [33].

However, at the dawn of telephony services (circa 1880), there were individual tariffs [34]! For quite some years, and in some markets, telephony served mainly some high-level civil servants and privileged people (trade, news), and was a symbol of wealth and social rank. Telephone subscribers were not designated by a number, but by their name; picking up the phone would get the operator, who would then ring and connect
the desired party by a polite support staff protocol. As a luxury service at that time, the demand for telephony was limited as was network capacity. Individual demands were price insensitive, which resulted in an inelastic demand curve as shown in Figure 3.1. The supply was scarce and inelastic to prices, as there was no choice or competitive mechanisms: the average price per call was high and anyway the supply stalled because of limited exchange capacity and human operations. Although prices were fixed individually or bundled into packages with fixed numbers of calls, they were extremely high, which resulted in the exclusion of the majority of population from accessing the services due to unaffordability.

In Figure 3.1, A–C represent individual demands, which are limited and price insensitive. The average telephony demand curve at the introduction of telephony is shown as the bold curve, which is inelastic. All voice calls here are assumed for the same destination/distance.

From the beginning, although sets of telephone users and suppliers were restricted, charging patterns began to diverge between flat rates and individual usage-based rates. The number of call attempts, the physical destination, and the call duration were all manually recorded. However, the pricing of the calls was a matter between the telephone company sales person and the customer (who was then not a subscriber); usage, rank, fame, and location were all taken into account, and the settlement was accomplished by a bank note or cash.

This historical recollection is very relevant nowadays in relation to green policies due to a number of fundamental reasons. First, the operators and their users that care about energy savings are initially minorities, also called “early adopters,” and the price settlement thus can/should be individualized. Next, as explained in Section 3.1.1, the regulatory vacuum regarding green policy implementations leave space for individual negotiations rather than fixed tariff bundles. One simple reason is that a given user may have a low-energy profile and a high-communications profile, while another might show the reverse, thus impacting the costs, benefits, and incentives at an individual level. Likewise, a communications supplier may have from its infrastructure and service offerings, relatively high energy consumption, but access to low-utility supplier prices, while another might show the reverse picture. This leads to the concept of “individual tariffs” for a communications and energy consumer [34].
TABLE 3.1. Individual Communications and Energy Bundle Tariff Mechanism

- Consider one buyer \((B)\) and \(N\) sellers \((S(i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N)\). The buyer signals his/her maximum price \(p\) and contract duration \(T\).

- Buyer signals his/her service mix and attributes \(\text{Mix} = (A(j), q(j), j = 1, \ldots, M)\) where “\(A\)” denotes a service and “\(q\)” the quantity or QoS.

- One or several sellers respond.

- There is an individual tariff, whenever \(B\) and one seller \(S(*)\) agree by contract on the provisioning by \(S(*)\) to \(B\) of the service Mix for duration \(T\) at price equal or lower to \(p\).

This contract may be different from the contract for the same service mix for other buyers or sellers respectively.

An “individual tariff” as summarized in Table 3.1 means that each individual sets a tariff for himself/herself for a specific set of communications and energy services provided by a supplier or a community, whether this service is user defined or community defined. Even if that individual belongs, say, to an enterprise, the members of the enterprise may have different individual tariffs, simply because their service (contributions and receipts) are different. Even, different users of an identical service (e.g., voice) may value and price it differently, as they decide individually to choose another supplier or belong to different communities. Furthermore, a supplier or community need not own part or the entire transmission infrastructure, sourced competitively from infrastructure owners, especially as this is encouraged by regulators under the “virtual operator” concept. Indeed, this definition omits service provisioning duration, as the duration of the service will be just one attribute in the multiattribute service demand; for example, sporadic uses are possible, just as are long-term ones, but the difference with today is that service duration becomes user defined.

3.1.2.2 Mass-Customized Communication and Energy-Use Bundles.

A pertinent question is “how do early adopters evolve over time?” Twenty years from now, combined advanced communication technologies and smart grid technologies will probably enable people to stay connected anytime and anywhere with access and energy network alternatives. Users will seamlessly roam between networks using yet unknown new services and behave as joint producers and consumers of communications and energy. Hundred percent penetration of access devices over the entire population in most countries will render work more flexible and, individualization of labor, will produce a highly segmented social structure [35].

The total demand will be large, as people can personalize their communications and energy services according to their needs. Furthermore, community proliferation may multiply the effect. However, due to the highly segmented structure, most traditional flat rate tariffs will either not be transparent and appealing enough to users or, if they are made too diverse, users will “churn” (change suppliers) implying high switching costs. These effects will allow flat rates for all to be replaced by mass-customized individual tariffs, provided each user and community has suitable management and monitoring tools for both communications and energy use, allowing in real time (as well as over contract durations) to quantify equilibrium break-even tariffs [36]. This results in
price-insensitive individual demands. Although for each specific service, the preference from each user will be different, the average demand across many users again leads to an inelastic price demand curve as illustrated in Figure 3.2. Consequently, we appear to repeat history (see Figure 3.1). However, on closer inspection, the new demand curve is more to the right in terms of the “number of service requests” and much lower in terms of price.

In Figure 3.2, the mass-customized individual service bundle “S” comprises communications and energy. A–C represent individual A’s service profile (S, A1, A2, etc.), individual B’s service profile (S, B1, B2, etc.), and individual C’s service profile (S, C1, C2, etc.). The average demand for service “S” is shown by the bold curve. Note there is a “service proliferation effect” driving the curve slope.

Already today technical means exist to achieve individual tariffs, and the infrastructure industry together with the billing platform industries, have shown that complexity and costs involved in individual user multi-service and multiple access demand monitoring and control are surmountable. In the future, traffic and service aggregation, and filtering coupled with service creation platforms will allow this to be more capable and cheaper both for communications and smart-grid energy services. Although technical details of the eventual implementation are not standardized yet, we can identify possible architectural elements of such a solution using standards [36] as follows:

- The linking of user communications and energy service profiles to the adaptation functionality in the billing/rating system would be carried out at user authentication time in the AAA server.
- In access networks, via the energy grid meters, routers could recognize and tag special packets and process them according to a given code; within this tag may reside a label representing the individual tariff and which would be tied to the access node at which such tariffs are applied.
- The flow label in the IPv6 protocol used to carry quality of service (QoS) features could encode individual tariff information for this packet. This approach offers the advantage that the packet to which this individual tariff code applies does not have to originate in some service node, but only in those who have the decoding key to this field.
• Using the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP), a P-Charging-Vector header is defined to convey charging-related information. The information inside the vector can be prepared and retrieved by multiple network entities during the establishment of a dialog or standalone transaction. In this way, charging-related processing can be session based.

3.1.2.3 Green Tariffs as Incentives. We define here a “green tariff” [31], as the contractual price paid by a subscriber to an operator for a set of bundled communications and energy services at a given QoS for a specified duration; this price must reflect both parties’ best efforts to reduce CO$_2$ emissions and pass onto the subscriber a share of the energy and emissions savings from the network. The operator can easily offer “green tariffs” by having a green tariff subscriber base segment, which, against committing to contract for such bundles, allows the operator to earmark a specified percentage of their energy consumption at a network level to technologies with fewer emissions and possibly to the use of renewable energy supplies.

Furthermore, if such green tariffs are made individual (see Section 3.1.2), they provide an incentive for users to specify and adopt a communications and energy service demand profile leading to less waste or unutilized capacity. As this incentive has monetary consequences, there is a strong likelihood that individualized green tariffs would become very important. Their deployment, however, rests on achieving equilibrium (called a sustainable individual green tariff) between users requesting individual green tariffs, and the operators’ economic sustainability, as they have to make new investments in communications technology and/or renewable power sources they control. Using a real operator model, it has been shown [36] that by applying recursive Stackelberg equilibrium computations to multiattribute individual tariff contracts covering individualized services, the communications operator could still achieve economic sustainability. This is on a mass-customized basis and applies even for complex services and extreme cases. In addition, the analysis shows that operator profits are not eroded for generic communications services; however, for value-added communications services, the situation is less clear, as investment is also required in content, storage, and competence.

3.1.3 COST Action Results

Research carried out during the COST(European Cooperation in Science and Technology) Action IC0804 focused on the green tariffs and individual communications-energy bundles, including wireless access [37, 38]. The operator was assumed to have an energy production and distribution license with alternative renewable energy sources (wind, solar), where excess supply got sold. The main result is to confirm the possible tariff reduction to the user, while maintaining operator’s profit margin; the difference between the individual green tariff and the public tariff (for the same service bundle), which is an indicator of the net incentive to the user, is in the order 10–20% [25]. Other results on specific aspects of the same issue are reported in [37, 38]. Nevertheless, the overriding issue is the right sizing of the mutual dependence, and possible combination, of communications operators, electric power utilities, and their customers. Despite now proven advantages in terms of energy savings from
individualized end user pricing [39], through the co-creation of value with customers, there remain regulatory challenges [40–42].

3.2 NETWORK COMPONENTS

This section draws upon existing publications and research findings to assess the current and near-future expected energy characteristics of key wired-networking components. It also considers how this profile might change as the Internet continues to develop over the next few years based on the various services it supports.

3.2.1 Router

A router is a device that selectively forwards packets between computer networks. Routers operate at the network layer (Layer-3) of the Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) model. In relation to data, they inspect the headers of data packets they receive on their ingress ports and selectively route them through to a suitable egress port with reference to a routing table.

Router architecture has developed for several generations: from bus-based router architectures with a single processor, through bus-based router architectures with multiple processors and switch-based router architectures with multiple processors, to switch-based router architectures with fully distributed processors [43, 44]. However, a router remains primarily composed of line cards, switch fabric, a management system, and a router processor, often located within the same Line-Card Chassis (LCC) [45].

The line-card is responsible for processing and forwarding packets using its local processing subsystem and buffer spaces for processing the packets arriving along the ingress interfaces (or ports) and awaiting transmission at the egress interfaces. The switch fabric provides the sufficient bandwidth for transferring packets among different line cards. It receives data from the ingress line-card interfaces and switches to appropriate egress one(s). The Router Processor is responsible for maintaining the overall forwarding table and distributing different parts of the table to different line cards. The management system includes functionality for cooling, power control, and alarm handling.

A more powerful router is a multiple-shelf device, which has a functional structure similar to that of the single-shelf router. The principle difference is that it has several LCCs that are connected with one or more Fabric-Card Chassis (FCC) elements [46]. For example, the Cisco CRS-1 multi-shelf routing system typically has 72 LCCs connected via eight FCCs [47]. There are both fabric switches on the FCC and LCC. Generally, the fabric switch employs three-stage switching devices, known as Switch Fabric Elements (SFEs). They are all identical and their operation and behavior are determined by their location. In a single-shelf router, the SFEs are all in the same fabric switch. In the multi-shelf routing system, the difference is that the switch fabric on the FCC only supports stage 2 switching functionality while the switch fabric on the LCC is responsible for stage 1 and 3 switch functionalities.

The data plane, also called the forwarding plane, is the part of router architecture that is responsible for deciding how to handle the ingress packets by looking up the routing
table and then sending them to the appropriate egress interfaces. On the other hand, the control plane is used for routing related control functions, such as generating the network map, the way to treat packets according to the different service classifications and discarding certain packets. In general, the data plane runs on the line cards and the control plane operates on the CPU and main memory. Another important part of the framework is the dynamic interface binding function, which allows different interface resources to be allocated according to the requirements.

Energy-efficient networking means that we aim to transport the same amount of traffic (in bits) with lower energy consumption (in joules) than is currently the case. From the aspect of power consumption, both the node and line equipment should be considered. However, in practice, the consumption of the line equipment is typically omitted \[48\]. Wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) links account for between 1.2% and 5.8% of the Internet’s power consumption, varying with the average access rate \[1\]. Therefore, the power consumption of the router tends to receive most attention.

The energy consumption of a router is made up of two parts: static and dynamic energy. Static energy is consumed by the LCC, and dynamic energy is consumed by the line cards and is related to the traffic load. For example, in \[49\], the power consumption of two standard router platforms has been tested with different combinations of line cards and LCCs. The experimental results show that the LCC consumes more than half of the maximum observed power consumption for any combination. From this experiment, we can conclude that switching off the whole router can save more energy than only switching off the line cards because the consumption of the base system is the major contributor to the overall energy consumption.

As monitoring and performing “green” experiments on a wide network is generally unfeasible, some simulation frameworks such as ECofen have been proposed \[50\]. Such frameworks allow the simulation of large-scale networks and the impact in terms of energy consumption and QoS from on/off or slowdown (Adaptive Link Rate, Low Power Idle) power saving schemes.

Nevertheless, hardware experiments have been made on a French regional platform called GridMip composed of 3 backbone routers, 3 border routers, and 150 nodes. The platform is illustrated in Figure 3.3.

The aim of the experiments was to evaluate precisely what is the consumption of the network equipment during the boot process and how long it takes. This is particularly relevant when considering approaches that aim to switch off routers in order to save energy during “light” operational conditions, as it is necessary to take into account penalty when switching the routers on again.

With a PLOGG wattmeter the power consumption of a Cisco 7600 Border Router (R2) composed of three modules was measured during the boot process as shown in Figure 3.4. This router consumes 785 W. It takes 400 s to switch on a router. From the figure, it is clear to see that the energy consumption is neither constant nor linear during the boot process. Instead, we observe appreciable steps in the energy consumption. This has implications for the accurate modeling of dynamic energy-saving network systems. It also shows that using typical contemporary equipment, there is an appreciable delay before a router can again become operational once it is powered down.
Figure 3.3. GridMip evaluation platform.

Figure 3.4. Power consumption of a Cisco 7600 border router during the boot process.

A further experiment examined the power consumption of a router module. For this, a $4 \times 10$ Gbps module belonging to router R2 was turned off. It was then turned back on $20$ s later. When a module is off, it consumes $195$ W less. When the module is switched on, there are two phases during the boot process: one from 40 to 80 s which consumes $100$ W more and a second phase which consumes $100$ W more again as shown in Figure 3.5.

We notice that the powering down of a module is achieved in one step almost immediately. One might also assume that powering up the module would also take one step. However, a module recovers in two phases, with the core module initializing ahead of switching on the ports. The powering on process lasts almost for $50$ s.

Finally, the power consumption associated with connected Ethernet ports was examined as shown in Figure 3.6. For this experiment, 18 computers were plugged into the Ethernet module of the router. The right axis represents the number of unplugged
interfaces (called Nb Itf in the graph). The main objective of this experiment was to observe the energy consumption with as the number of connected (plugged) Ethernet ports was altered.

We see from this experiment that the mean power consumption of a port is 0.94 W and is approximately linear with the number of connected ports.

Experiments such as these are a necessary precursor to the creation of accurate mathematical models such as work exploring energy-performance trade-off in the context of network and data center optimization [51].
3.2.2 Network Interface Card

The role of a network interface card (NIC) has already been introduced in the preceding section where it was referred to as a line-card, forming part of the router architecture. However, this functionality actually exists within any device attached to a network. In a “local area” access network, it is more common to use the term NIC.

In wired networks, researches like [52, 53] show that energy consumption of network devices such as NICs/line cards can be lower if operating rates are reduced. This is due to the fact that devices operating at a lower frequency can reduce their energy consumption by mechanisms such as dynamic voltage scaling (DVS) [54, 55]. By lowering the clock rate of synchronous logic, operation can be maintained at a lower power supply voltage. However, this lowers the switching performance of devices and so must coincide with situations when the processing load is lighter.

The authors of [52] try to find a decision area for which hardware-based technique (Sleeping or Rate Adaptation) can capitalize on operational conditions. To this end, they study the trade-off between links rates and their energy consumption. A uniform (linear energy function) and an exponential (10 Gbps, 1 Gbps, 100 Mbps, 10 Mbps) distribution of rates are used. The latter approach is considered as hardware technologies for these rates already exist (Ethernet technology). We can extract from their results that the uniform distribution of rates is the most suitable one to achieve a greater reduction of links’ operations rates and, consequently, a reduction of energy consumption. Moreover, they state that both Sleeping and Rate Adaptation are two effective techniques depending on the energetic profile of networking equipment and network utilization.

One step further, in [56], a study based on [52] in which they compare energy savings in wired networks after applying sleeping and rate adaptation techniques is presented. In this case, they draw some conclusions according to network devices’ individual baseline consumption, that is, based only in the amount of energy consumed by a component regardless of its operation rate. For the case in which the baseline consumption of network components is relatively high, it is interesting to keep as few active links as possible (Sleeping). On the other hand, if the baseline consumption of network devices is low, Rate Adaptation technique is more suitable. In the latter case, authors rely on results from [52]. Thus, they assume and use a uniform distribution of rates for their experiments as well.

Otherwise, authors in [57] performed several experiments to measure energy consumption of two different Cisco routers: GSR 12008 and 7507. Both of them include their base systems (chassis plus router processor) and line cards. However, we can extract from the results obtained in this case that the energy function described by line cards consumption takes discrete values and assume its adaptation to a logarithmic distribution of rates.

Rodgers [53], in turn, uses an Intel 82579 Gigabit Ethernet PHY and obtains energy consumption values for 100 Mbps and 1 Gbps links. In this case, several measurements are performed for each of the three different energy levels at which links can be configured: active, idle, and LPI (Low Power Idle from IEEE 802.3az standard). We can extract from these results the value of links consumption when there is no traffic flowing through them (LPI energy level) as a function of their consumption when they are active. Thus, it can be assumed that the energy consumption of a link with a LPI energy level...
or Sleeping takes values between 5% and 10% out of its consumption if it is configured with an active energy level.

Furthermore, some heuristics are commonly examined, as in [58], with the aim of achieving significant energy savings in the network by progressively switching off both nodes and links after the prior aggregation of traffic flows. Other works indeed solve the problem using Integer Problem Formulation (integer linear programming, ILP) by considering that only links [59] or both links and nodes [60] can be switched off.

3.2.3 Reconfigurable Optical Add-Drop Multiplexer

The ROADM is a known as an “any-to-any” component, which means that it provides a flexible way of adding, dropping or switching any wavelength to any node [61]. When multiple wavelengths arrive at an input interface of an ROADM, one or more wavelengths can be dropped by preselection, and in the output interface, one or more preselected wavelengths are added. What is more, any wavelength can bypass the electronic processing function and pass through the ROADM unhindered to specific ports. As these signals remain in the optical domain, there is little latency. Furthermore, because of its “any-to-any” feature, ROADM technology allows DWDM-based networks to be technology agnostic and thus more flexible [62].

Little work has focused on energy saving within ROADMs, perhaps because they traditionally consume less power than routers. However, a recent example given in [63] looks at using ROADMs more efficiently.

3.2.4 Digital Subscriber Line Access Multiplexer

A digital subscriber line access multiplexer (DSLAM) is a network device often located in the telephone exchanges of the telecommunications operators. It connects multiple customer digital subscriber line (DSL) interfaces to a high-speed digital communications channel using multiplexing techniques.

The DSLAM equipment collects the data from its many modem ports and aggregates their voice and data traffic into one complex composite “signal” via multiplexing. The aggregated traffic is then directed to a Service Provider backbone switch, i.e. a Broadband Remote Access Server (BRAS), at up to 10Gbit/s data rates. Depending on its device architecture and setup, a DSLAM aggregates the DSL lines over its Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM), frame relay, and/or IP network [i.e., an IP-DSLAM using PTM-TC (Packet Transfer Mode – Transmission Convergence)] protocol(s) stack. The DSLAM acts like a switch because its functionality is at Layer 2 of the OSI model. Therefore, it cannot reroute traffic between multiple IP networks, only between BRAS devices and end user connection points. From the BRAS, the DSLAM traffic is then routed across the ISP network to the Internet.

DSL equipment can be a significant source of energy consumption for wired-network operators. One possible approach to decreasing the overall energy consumption is to replace large centralized DSLAMs located at the exchanges with smaller remote units closer to customers. This not only reduces energy consumption but also increases the reach of the access network [64].
3.3 ARCHITECTURES

This section focuses on the architecture of communication networks and the corresponding relationship with energy usage. However, a key dilemma when examining networks, and particularly the Internet, end to end is who pays for the electricity and the potential savings exist.

At the periphery of the Internet sit the end users, residential customers or businesses. In both instances, the customer premises equipment (CPE) is typically powered by an electrical source provided by the end users, for which they pay. Conversely, the access networks are typically operated by ISPs using their own equipment. These networks in turn are connected to carrier networks, maintained by other tier-2 and tier-1 operators that primarily convey the information over large geographical distances using high-performance (and power “hungry”) equipment. The Internet is thus a federation of networks with a plethora of providers and consumers.

Interestingly, given the hierarchical “pyramidal” structure of the Internet, the number of networking devices at each tier increases by one or more orders of magnitude as we descend from the tier-1 operators at the tip of the pyramid. This means that the greatest potential energy saving by volume exists at the CPE, where small percentage reductions in energy consumption can amount to substantial savings at a national level because of the vast number of devices involved.

Paradoxically, this arrangement leads to two issues when it comes to saving energy. Firstly, the amount of energy saved at a particular CPE may be regarded by the owner/end user as marginal. Secondly, as the electricity to power these devices is paid for by the end users, there is less incentive to motivate the access and carrier network operators to change their behavior. Despite this, we argue that embracing energy-saving mechanisms detailed in Section 3.5 can still impact on operational expenditure to a degree worthy of consideration.

3.3.1 Access Networks

An access network is that part of a telecommunications network that connects subscribers to their immediate service provider. Traditionally, access networks consist largely of pairs of copper wires, each traveling in a direct path typically between a DSLAM and the customer. The DSLAM connects multiple customer DSL interfaces to a high-speed digital communications channel in the exchange, which provides an access point to the rest of the Internet.

Recently, access networks have evolved to include more optical fiber primarily because of its high bandwidth, low loss, and noise immunity characteristics. Optical fiber already makes up the majority of core networks and has started to “roll out” closer to the customer, until a full transition to fiber is achieved, in order to deliver value-added services over Fiber to the Home (FTTH). One group of optical fiber technologies are passive optical networks (PONs), whereby point-to-multipoint (PMP) fiber links multiple homes to a common optical line terminal (OLT) at the service provider’s exchange, using unpowered optical splitters to create a tree-like structure. Indeed Energy Efficient Ethernet Passive Optical Networks (EPONs) are now being deployed, providing cost
efficiency and high data rate for the last mile access [65]. A typical EPON is a PMP network with a tree-based topology, where an OLT connects multiple optical network units (ONUs) via optical links. The OLT plays a role of distributor, arbitrator, and aggregator of traffic. In the upstream direction (from ONUs to the OLT), multiple ONUs share a single link and traffic may collide. The OLT distributes the fiber capacity using an upstream bandwidth arbitration mechanism to avoid collisions. In the downstream direction (from the OLT to ONUs), data frames are broadcasted to all ONUs. ONUs filter and accept data that are addressed to them. However, ONUs have to constantly listen and examine downstream traffic, which results in wasting significant energy in the ONU.

### 3.3.2 Carrier Networks

Generally, the underlying transport technology (Layer 1) of the current Internet uses Synchronous Optical Networking (SONET) or Synchronous Digital Hierarchy (SDH); both architectures transport voice and data in containers in an efficient time-division multiplexed manner over optical fiber. SONET/SDH provide advanced support services such as line monitoring to provide signals for protection switching and so forth. SONET/SDH also allow time-division multiplexing (TDM) circuits to be multiplexed from low speed to high speed into different-sized containers. However, with increasing requirements for service flexibility and reliability and the demand for lower expenditure, ISPs are not satisfied with this “traditional” architecture and are looking for more efficient ways to handle the growing volume of IP traffic. One promising architecture for the next-generation network (NGN) is IP over DWDM because it eliminates the legacy SDH transport layer and so saves equipment costs and reduces energy consumption.

An IP over DWDM network is composed of two layers: the IP layer and an optical layer. This can be abstracted as a structure whereby a node is composed by a set of network equipment, for example, a router and an optical transport switch, typically in the form of a reconfiguration optical add-drop multiplexer (ROADM), that are then interconnected by an optical line system (OLS), which includes optical fiber and amplifiers. In this model, the wavelength continuity constraint is often considered, whereby when an optical connection passes through the optical transport switch, it is required to be on the same wavelength channel from the ingress to the egress. The channel remains in the optical domain and optical—electrical—optical (OEO) conversion is avoided.

The node in an IP over DWDM architecture is composed of a router and a ROADM, which connected to similar devices via a transmission line system. The router is responsible for storing and forwarding packets and the ROADM is used to remotely switch the traffic allowing for adding, dropping, or bypassing the router at the wavelength level without OEO conversion. An example is the Cisco IPoDWDM solution where network nodes are composed of a Cisco CRS-1 multi-self routing system and an ROADM [66]. The original transponders have been combined into the routing system. The ROADM only manages add, drop, and bypass in the optical domain.

### 3.3.3 Grid Overlay Networks

Grid computing via overlay networks enables a federation of computer resources from multiple administrative domains to coordinate to achieve a common goal. Grids are a
form of distributed computing whereby a “super virtual computer” is composed of many networked loosely coupled computers acting together to perform large tasks. For certain applications, “distributed” or “grid” computing can be seen as a special type of parallel computing that relies on complete computers [with onboard central processing units (CPUs), storage, power supplies, network interfaces, etc.] connected to a network (private, public, or the Internet) by a conventional network interface, such as Ethernet.

An analysis of the usage of an experimental grid has been conducted over a 1-year period. On the basis of this analysis, Orgerie et al. [67] proposed a resource reservation infrastructure that takes into account the energy usage. The infrastructure is validated on a large-scale experimental “Grid5000” platform and the gains in terms of energy are presented.

### 3.4 TRAFFIC CONSIDERATIONS

There is little published data concerning the traffic characteristics of commercial networks, as it is regarded as sensitive. However, some published datasets exist for the Abilene and Géant networks [68, 69]. Figure 3.7 provides just two examples of traffic

![Figure 3.7. Typical traffic data over 24 weeks.](image-url)
flow between specific source–destination pairs in the case of the Abilene network. The traffic was sampled every 5 min for 24 weeks, omitting weekends. As seen from the figure, traffic varies considerably over time. It is this variation that permits network resources to be switched off when they are not needed.

The variation in traffic over time allows for opportunities when resources can be placed into a sleeping state. Furthermore, the regularity in the autocorrelation pattern lends weight to the idea that the flow of data between given source–destination pairs is temporally predictable [70].

In addition to load variations, traffic can also be differentiated in terms of where it is coming from and going to. For example, within the context of IP over DWDM architectures, there are two types of traffic in every network node: router-terminated traffic and optical bypass traffic [71, 72]. In the former case, the traffic is terminated at the router. The router looks up the routing table at IP layer to decide where to forward the packet. In this scenario, because the packet may be transported in the physical fiber using a different wavelength, the traffic needs an optical to electrical conversion before it processed and forwarded by the router. In fact, the power consumption of optical and electrical transfer in the IP router ports is a major element of the overall power consumption. Therefore, some researchers are considering designing an energy efficiency network by minimizing IP router ports. For optical bypass traffic, in contrast, the router does not process the traffic. Instead, it is transported directly through the intermediate router(s) via preset light paths. As the traffic across the core network is becoming more distributed because of changes in application service deployment, the proportion of bypass traffic is increasing [73]. For example, it is possible that up to 70–80% [66] traffic passing through an optical node is of the bypass type and does not require termination at the router.

3.5 ENERGY-SAVING MECHANISMS

Energy saving in wired networks can be generally divided into static and dynamic mechanisms [4]. Static ones consider network design and configuration with respect to power. The approaches are to design and place network resources in a more energy efficiency way. In contrast, dynamic approaches attempt to make better use of the existing network resources by traffic engineering in response to varying traffic load through infrastructure sleeping, rate adaptation, and so forth. The following section summarizes the state of the art in these two areas.

3.5.1 Static Mechanisms

Static mechanisms mean to design and configure the network from the perspective of power consumption. That is to say, to plan power-aware networks. One approach is to configure the combination of chassis and line cards for lowing the overall power consumption. In [49], the author indicated that the base system (including chassis, switch fabric, and router processor) consumes more than half of the whole power consumption of a router by testing two generic router platforms. Therefore, one method to achieve
power-aware networking at the design stage is to minimize the chassis number and to maximize the number of line cards per chassis. Another method is planning the network to reduce the traffic processed by the IP routers. Generally, a packet is transported via 14 routers on an average across the networks [66]. There are two means of transporting packets in the optical layer, lightpath non-bypass and lightpath bypass. The non-bypass lightpath is always terminated at the IP router ports and then transformed into an electrical signal for processing. In contrast, the lightpath bypass approach transports packets through intermediate nodes directly without OEO conversion. Reducing the traffic processed by the IP routers means that the use of bypass lightpaths also minimizes OEO conversions. Eilenberger et al. [71] indicated the power consumption of these two different methods, router-terminated and bypass traffic, for packet transportation. In the former case, it consumes about 10 nJ/bit. Conversely, the traffic processed in the WDM layer consumes less than 1 nJ/bit. Thus, much research has been devoted to exploiting this characteristic.

In [12], the IP-over-WDM network achieves energy efficiency by minimizing the number of IP router ports/interfaces because the ports/interfaces play an important role in the power consumption of networks. It is also the first time that the lightpath bypass approach is taken into consideration for energy saving. The energy-minimized network design uses the lightpath bypass approach to minimize the number of IP router port for reducing the power consumption via OEO conversions. In [13], the paper considers the multi-shelf routing system in an IP-over-WDM network for saving the energy. Both papers employ mixed-integer linear programming (MILP) to build a model for minimizing the power consumption.

Another approach is energy-efficient network design. Here the aim is to devise energy-efficient architectures during the network design state. For example, researchers have proposed an energy-aware design to minimize the energy consumption of all the network components in conjunction for an IP-over-WDM network [13]. Two alternatives were considered: lightpath non-bypass and lightpath bypass. In the former case, IP routers process and forward all the data carried by the lightpaths, while the latter allows IP traffic to directly bypass an intermediate router (which is not the destination node) via a cut-through lightpath. Results showed that lightpath bypass could save significant energy compared with the non-bypass case (between 25% and 45%) because of the reduction of the number of IP routers needed. They also confirm that the total energy consumption of IP routers is much greater than that of the rest of optical network equipment, such as erbium-doped fiber amplifiers (EDFAs) and transponders, accounting for over 90% of the total network power consumption.

3.5.2 Dynamic Mechanisms

Dynamic mechanisms use traffic-engineering methods to make better use of existing resources instead of designing and configuring a network in respect of the power consumption. They react “quickly” to changing conditions such as variations in traffic load. Methods that belong to this class are rate adaptation, infrastructure sleeping, and green routing. We also consider virtualization.
3.5.2.1 Rate Adaptation. Rate adaptation is a mechanism by which a communications device can adjust its processing rate based on the current workload conditions. Many devices have the capacity to operate at a range of processing rates. When the workload is low, a device operates at the low speed, which consumes less power at the same time. Therefore, when the traffic load is low, lowering the transmission speed of device reduces its power consumption. Rate adaptation is described in [15] where it is compared with the infrastructure sleeping. The conclusion is that both the approaches are useful depending on the hardware capabilities of device and network utilization. For example, an ISP could use rate adaptation in the daytime and infrastructure sleeping at night to satisfy the different traffic load requirements. Nevertheless, the ability to perform sleeping and rate adaptation are not supported in existing network devices. Even so, these approaches have been incorporated into the future Ethernet protocol standard such as Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE), which was approved in October 2010 [74]. Thus, we should see these approaches receive broad adoption throughout the Internet over the coming years.

A key issue is that current equipment is constrained by technology limitations. Some researchers have explored the trade-off between the amount of energy that could be saved in wired networks and the discrete number of operational rate modes to be implemented in line cards [75]. Results show that it is not necessary to manufacture line cards that support a large number of different operational rate modes, but that a limited number such as four different operational rate modes would be enough to achieve significant reductions in energy consumption. For example, four energy levels are enough to save significant amounts of energy (around 22%). A greater number of energy levels do not result in large savings when compared to the case when an infinite number of energy levels are assumed.

Other researchers have also considered the concept of multi-rate adaptation whereby physical interfaces adapt their rates accordingly with the typical Ethernet values. For example, a 10-Gbps card is considered capable of intermediate transmission speeds of 1 Gbps, 100 Mbps, and 10 Mbps. Consumption of these intermediate rates are then considered, accordingly [76]. The use of “adaptive” link rates can then be applied in concert with “energy-aware routing” and “multilayer traffic engineering” as described in [77, 78], respectively.

The application of adaptive multi-rate techniques and multilayer traffic engineering in hourly intervals can reduce total consumption into a wired backbone to 7% simply by adapting the capabilities of existing systems to the real needs of traffic. This result is obtained from [79] using a theoretical scenario formed of a wired backbone network deployed over five cross connection levels (three aggregation and two core) as illustrated in Figure 3.8. It included a physical coverage 40,000 km² (equivalent to the size of Switzerland) and a total volume of 6.3 million connected devices, distributed according to the typical characteristics of urban, suburban, and rural areas.

The deployed network, based on OTN technology, includes 2000 cabinets with nearly 4000 communications interface cards. Total consumption of 53 MW/h was estimated without considering additional cooling systems. The adaptation of the routing and capacity of the links reduces consumption to nearly 2.5 MW on an average, with peaks of up to 4 MW. Given the 1:1 ratio in terms of energy consumption of refrigeration equipment, a decrease in networking energy consumption would see a similar reduction in
the consumption of these ancillary systems. However, as indicated in [80], a reduction of 1 W in the system could reduce the actual overall consumption by 2.42 W, so the total saving could be as much as 5% on an average, with peaks close to 8% as shown in Figure 3.9.

3.5.2.2 **Infrastructure Sleeping.** Infrastructure sleeping means that when equipment is idle, it enters a low power consumption state called standby/sleep while retaining the information for maintaining its operational state when it again wakes up. An example is the “hibernation” state of personal computers.

As a router still consumes about 90% of its full-load energy when it is idle [81], approaches that turn off unneeded devices or permit equipment to enter a coordinated sleep state have more potential for saving energy in a network. Furthermore, because of the well-known daily traffic variations, where load varies in an almost sinusoid manner over the course of each day in response to people’s daily activities, the infrastructure sleeping approach can achieve a significant savings. Consequently, considerable research has been devoted to the infrastructure sleeping principle.

In [11], two types of sleeping are identified, uncoordinated sleeping and coordinated sleeping. The former lets the equipment enter a sleep state based on local information, for example, during the interarrival time between packet transmissions. The other takes a global perspective and reroutes traffic via some equipment in order to let other devices enter a sleep state. For example, in [16], the author discussed two mechanisms for sleeping: traditional “wake-on-arrival” and a proposed “buffer-and-burst.” The former one wakes up the sleeping device when the new packet arrives. As it takes time for a device to return to the active state, if the packet interarrival time is smaller than the transition time, the energy saving is limited. For “buffer-and-burst” sleeping, the traffic is shaped into burst-by-burst units and stored in a buffer that is processed when buffer is full. This provides longer sleeping periods while the traffic load is low. The results show that the proposed mechanism can obtain significant energy savings while having little impact.
on the packet loss and delay. A similar approach to buffering or (re)scheduling bursts to improve opportunities to shutdown (sleep) in the context of grids [82] and networks [83, 84] have also been considered.

In [85], Chiaraviglio et al. modeled a network for maximizing the energy saving by switching off idle nodes and idle links. The problem is NP-hard, so in [15], several simple heuristic algorithms are employed, which sort all the nodes depending on the number of links, the amount of flows they accommodate, or using a random strategy to switch off for saving energy. In the simple network scenario, which includes the core, edge, and aggregate networks, it is possible to switch off 30% of links and 50% of nodes. The same author extends their work considering a real-world case in [60]. The problem for minimizing the number of nodes and links for saving energy, given a certain traffic demand, has been solved by ILP for simple networks. The algorithm switches off devices that consume more power in descending order. The results show that it is possible to reduce energy consumption by more than 23%, that is, 3 GWh/year in a real network scenario. In a further example [86], the author took the Italian telecommunications network as reference architecture and evaluated the extent of energy saving if green network technologies were adopted, such as power scaling and standby exploitation. It assumed the network equipment, such as routers, home gateways, and DSLAMs, have three power consumption states: the full load, idle, and standby after making use
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of green technologies. The power consumption of full-load state and the idle state varies linearly according to the actual traffic load. The power consumption of the standby state is a small fraction of the idle state power. The conclusion of using different green technologies within the reference scenario is that their adoption may save up to 68% power consumed relative to the current approach.

However, these approaches all suffer from the problem that when a router or a link is switched off in a network, they lose the present state of the network and so will trigger routing protocol reconvergence events. The traffic is rerouted along longer paths that may not be acceptable because of congestion, QoS, and the extra delay. Therefore, when making use of the infrastructure sleeping, network connectivity and QoS must be considered to guarantee the reliability of the network.

Recently, there has been a new direction in infrastructure sleeping: to switch off the line-card instead of the whole router. As the disappearance of whole router may trigger reconvergence events and may thus lead to network disruption and discontinuities, some researchers choose to switch off individual line cards and remap the links to other ones. This avoids discontinuities and saves power when the traffic load is light. In [87], routing reconfiguration at different layers is compared: the IP layer (the virtual layer) and WDM (the physical layer) for the purpose of switching off line cards for saving energy. The scheme that reroutes demands in the virtual layer achieves the best saving. Similarly, in [88], it also proposes a scheme to switch off line cards when traffic load is low. Fisher et al. [89] proposed another form of infrastructure sleeping where they shut down the redundant cables and the line cards instead of the whole router during periods of low utilization. The switching off line-card approach may be a good direction to pursue. Nevertheless, in a router, the major source power consumption is the base system (chassis, processor, and switching fabric), which consumes about 50% of the total power consumption [49]. Therefore, to switch off the whole router still yields more substantial energy savings.

Sharrock et al. [51] have worked on introducing the “self-optimizing” autonomic property at the hardware level by applying it to the optimization of data centers’ energy costs. They have proposed an approach to describe a compromise between, on one hand, the power consumption of the network infrastructure and on the other hand, the deterioration of the QoS for the applications using this network. Being able to control the dynamic reconfiguration at two levels, at the application level by dynamically reconfiguring QoS profiles and at the hardware level by switching on and off links, modules or routers allows a global management of the data center. Indeed, the use of an autonomic manager allows the administrator to control the energy costs or the performance by varying only one parameter that handles a high-level management policy.

The goal was to deal with the performance/electric consumption dilemma for the network part. This is a challenge for years to come, as the perfect system must take into account the energy consumption of the machines and also the network equipment, the QoS, and financial costs, for example.

3.5.2.3 Sleep-Supporting Protocols. Traditionally, network equipment does not typically possess sleeping state(s). However, recent industry standardization efforts have been proposed for enabling the equipment to operate in a more energy efficiency manner. For instance, the IEEE 802.3az EEE standard has been approved
by the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) in October 2010. EEE provides a hardware support for energy saving, which defines a mechanism to reduce the power consumption when the traffic load is low for a physical layer protocol. Furthermore, the ITU has organized a series of symposia on ICT and climate change [90]; the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS) also set up a specific committee called Network Interface, Power and Protection Committee (NIPP) [91] working on developing standards for network interfaces, with power and protection including reducing the power consumption of equipment.

Energy-Efficient Passive Optical Networks. PONs are currently the major contributor to the power budget of fixed optical networks. In a PON, the largest energy consumption is at the customer premises, that is, by the ONUs that account for over 65% of the overall power consumed by PONs [92]. Methods both at the physical layer and at the MAC layer have been proposed to reduce the ONU energy consumption [93]. MAC layer methods are mainly based on the possibility of switching to sleep mode the ONU during low-traffic period. Therefore, strategies based on cycles of sleep and wake periods have been standardized [94]. However, such methods trade energy reduction for increased frame transfer delay. For example, when the ONU is sleeping, it can neither receive nor transmit packets.

For this reason, the concept of variable sleep periods was introduced in [95] to save energy at the ONUs while avoiding service degradation in the presence of traffic with demanding delay requirements. The rationale is simple: each class of service (CoS) is assigned a specific sleep period such that the delay constraint of the specific class is met. If multiple CoS are received by one ONU, the sleep period of the most demanding class is selected. The length of a sleep period can be fixed (i.e., set a priori to a predefined value [96]) or it can vary. In fact, users connected to ONUs may dynamically modify their service subscriptions (e.g., request a video streaming to watch a movie for some hours), possibly changing the overall delay requirements. On the other hand, such changes have a period of hours; therefore, the sleep time does not need to be updated very often. In such a situation, it might be convenient to be able to change the length of the sleep period by either tying it to the downstream frame statistics [97] or having it varying based on the service class delay requirements and to the predicted delay statistics [95, 98].

When focusing on strategies based on variable sleep periods, it becomes crucial to develop effective decision mechanisms for the sleep length. One possibility is to model the implementation of cyclic sleep in a PON using queuing theory. For example, the authors in [4] proposed a model where an OLT–ONU system is assimilated to a polling system with gated service, exponentially distributed frame interarrival time, and generic service time. This model was implemented in OPNET, and its performance was assessed through simulations with a scenario consisting of a 10-GE PON with one OLT linked to connected to single ONU. The OLT is aware of the services subscribed by the ONU in terms of QoS Class, IP packet delay variation (IPDV) and IP packet transfer delay (IPTD) constraints [99], and bandwidth (i.e., data rate) [100]. The values for the delay constraints are related to a generic end-to-end IP network composed of $n$ spans, contributing equally and additively to the considered end-to-end parameters, where the PON is the access span. Each service is defined with its own frame payload size and data rate, defined by studying the real traffic distribution in a network [100–104].
The results show the effectiveness of the model in choosing a value of sleep time that guarantees the IPTD constraint for all the services. By focusing only on the IPTD value, it is not possible to guarantee the IPDV requirements for the strictest service in the first combination; however, the obtained energy efficiency is high, that is slightly below 90%. When the sleep time was calculated as a function of both IPTD and IPDV constraints, the IPTD constraint is again satisfied and, this time, the simulated IPDV is below the maximum allowed time. However, the energy efficiency is lower but is still a respectable 50%.

3.5.2.4 Green Routing. In the current core network architecture, IP routers consume a larger proportion of energy as compared with the underlying synchronous digital hierarchy (SDH) and WDM layers [12, 13, 105]. With the next-generation network (NGN), currently, the industry vision is to employ an IP-over-WDM architecture that eliminates the original SDH transport layer because this lowers the equipment costs and energy consumption [106, 107]. However, in an IP-over-WDM architecture, because there is no traffic grooming in the SDH layer, IP routers are required to perform more IP packet processing, for example, subwavelength grooming, and thus consume more energy. Therefore, in this scenario, the switching off routers or selective interfaces when traffic demand is light has been regarded as a promising strategy and explored intensively in recent years [15, 16].

Energy-aware routing schemes usually follow the following form:

- A physical network topology constructed of routers and links, in which links have a known capacity.
- Knowledge of the amount of traffic exchanged by any source/destination node pair.
- The power consumption of each link and node.
- Find the set of routers and links that must be powered on so that the total power consumption is minimized.
- Flow conservation and maximum link utilization constraints
- Possible QoS constraints – such as end-to-end delay

These approaches naturally lead to some resources being heavily utilized in order for others to be “turned off.” To avoid congestion, it is normal to represent links by an “effective capacity.”

A typical example is the green distributed algorithm (GRiDA), which exploits link-state routing protocol to disseminate load and power consumption of links [108]. Being reactive, it does not require any knowledge of the traffic demand matrix. A distributed algorithm switches off links when they are underutilized, and their absence in the network does not affect the network functionalities and switches on idle links when capacity is required to guarantee a proper reaction to faults and changes in the traffic demand. A penalty mechanism reduces the risk of undesirable actions. However, the main problem of green routing protocols is that when routers or their interfaces are switched off, they lose the ability to exchange routing protocol signaling messages.
In other words, the logical IP layer topology changes when a node disappears from the network. This can trigger a series of reconvergence events that can cause network discontinuities and disruption. Nevertheless, GRiDA is capable of reducing energy consumption by 50%.

Routers are the most power-hungry devices, followed by the electronic signal regenerators and ROADMs, with the all-optical devices such as optical cross connect (OXC) and optical amplifiers being less power consuming. In the context of Wide Area Networks (WANs), in which network nodes are spread out long distances, such a knowledge may be exploited by a properly crafted energy-aware routing algorithm that routes connections through the NEs, which are currently powered by green energy sources, minimizing the overall greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of the network. As the renewable energy sources vary with time, it is necessary to have this information updated for each node. In the modern generalized multiprotocol label switching (GMPLS)-controlled optical networks, such updates may be realized by Open Shortest Path First-Traffic Engineering (OSPF-TE) extensions. In [109], such an extension is presented and used to spread the energy-related information through the network on a fixed time interval. Green awareness is enabled by flooding energy source information over the network, which is used in OSPF-TE routing decisions to lower the GHG emissions. Observing the behavior of the proposed algorithm under different scenarios, it is seen that the proposed algorithm can save up to 27% of the GHG emissions (in terms of cost unit) at the expense of a marginal increase in the path length, compared to traditional shortest path routing algorithm. Furthermore, in [110], GMPLS extensions are used to integrate energy efficiency and network resilience. Results show that the electrical port usage can be significantly reduced by using intelligent regenerator and wavelength converter placement strategies. Thus, the scarce usage of electrical ports can help to reduce the power budget of the overall communication system.

Another method for achieving energy savings in excess of 30% in wide-area networks is presented in [111]. The approach applies a limited set of precalculated network topology configurations derived via a genetic algorithm (GA) across the day. The GA determines the minimum set of resources required in order to support a given traffic demand. Information gleaned from Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) trap messages, triggered by the use of a link utilization threshold, determine when to switch between configurations. The threshold employs moving average smoothing and is discretely readjusted over the course of a daily cycle based on anticipated basal load variations. By exploiting Multitopology Routing-Open Shortest Path First (MT-OSPF), this approach provides a scalable and flexible means of reconfiguring an infrastructure that avoids routing discontinuities, excessive computational effort, and the exchange of considerable volumes of control information.

Despite the promise of green routing protocols, there remain significant impediments to change. For example, operators have service-level agreements with their customers and are wary of adopting any technology that increases the risk of outages or lowers QoS. Furthermore, resilience typically equates to redundancy. Redundancy implies additional equipment is in “hot” standby for fast protection switch-over in the event of a failure. Therefore, although switching off these “spare” resources saves energy, they may be needed and, if so, they have to be available at very short notice. Consequently, the overriding commercial interests of the operators may cause them
to shy away from new routing protocols and favor energy-saving technologies that are transparent to the IP layer (such as rate adaptation).

**Energy-Aware Dynamic RWA Algorithm.** The energy consumption and GHG emissions of the network elements can be taken into account when defining the metric of routing algorithms. In particular, in WDM optical networks, the GreenSpark [112] heuristic has been developed to address the multiobjective routing and wavelength assignment (RWA) problem of minimizing either the power consumption or the GHG emissions while maintaining the connections blocking probability as low as possible. GreenSpark operates online, routing the connections as they arrive. At each new connection request, two stages are performed: in the first stage, the best load-balanced paths satisfying the connection request and QoS constraint are selected (typically, three partially disjoint paths are identified). At the second stage, among such feasible paths, the one that minimizes the power consumption (MinPower) or the GHG emissions (MinGas) is finally chosen to route the connection, according to the objective function selected. Apart from minimizing the power consumption or the emissions, GreenSpark is even able to reduce the blocking probability when compared with a traditional routing algorithm, such as Shortest Path or minimum interference routing algorithm (MIRA). Apart from defining an energy consumption model for an IP-over-WDM network, one of the most significant added values of the framework is the incorporation of both physical layer aspects, such as power demand of each component, and virtual topology-based energy management with integrated traffic grooming, so as to adversely condition the use of energy-hungry links and devices.

**Energy-Aware OSPF-TE Extensions.** The information concerning energy consumption of the network elements has to be disseminated across the network routers that can thus determine the best or greenest route for each destination by providing each router with complete relevant knowledge of the network. In an autonomous system, a dynamic routing protocol such as OSPF-TE extensions is employed to build the complete map of the network (link-state protocol) in each router. However, OSPF-TE does not carry in its link-state advertisement (LSA) messages any information about the current energy consumption of the network elements. Therefore, energy-aware OSPF-TE protocol extensions have been proposed in several works [113–116], together with properly crafted RWA and reoptimization algorithms that exploit such information. Specifically, opaque LSAs have been extended with new type-length-value (TLV) fields, carrying power and CO₂ emissions information. Such LSAs are spread in the network (among the Designated Router/Backup Designated Router and all the nodes) and are included in the LSAs every time a significant change in the energy consumption or emissions occurs (e.g., a change in the energy source powering a device, as an example, when switching from solar panels energy to traditional “dirty” energy source). Results show that the proposed extension helps in reducing the overall network power consumption/GHG emissions when compared to traditional shortest path and pure load-balancing algorithms, at the expense of a limited increase in the blocking probability. However, a network operator should also consider extra network overhead, and the possible additional expenses for obtaining the information from the associated “smart grid” power supply network.
3.5.2.5 Network Virtualization: Virtual Router Migration. Network virtualization may be seen as one of the basic building blocks of a future Internet. It is expected to overcome the perceived ossification of the current Internet through more flexible management of network resources. Typical network virtualization approaches partition physical routers into an arbitrary number of virtual routers [117, 118]. A virtual router is a logical router that separates behavioral functionality from the physical platform that hosts the entity, including mechanisms and tools for management, configuration, monitoring, and maintenance. Virtual routers provide a means of more effectively utilizing a single physical router. The functions of several small routers can be performed on a single large router that accommodates the virtual router instances. Virtualization is already supported by some commercial routers, such as the Cisco nexus 7000 VDC [119] and the Juniper logical router [120]. They both belong to the class of logical routers. To be more precise, the concept of a virtual router and a logical router are not always the same. A virtual router is a simplified routing instance, which has one routing table, while a logical router can support multiple routing tables at the same time. That is to say, a logical router can contain several virtual routers.

Virtual routers are then interconnected by virtual links, which may span an arbitrary number of physical links in the substrate network. Such an approach allows network administrators to create virtual networks specially tailored toward a certain objectives. These networks may have topologies that differ substantially from the underlying physical network. Moreover, these networks can be created on demand and removed once they are no longer needed. Indeed, one particular advantage gained through virtualization lies in the mobility of virtual resources. As virtualization itself provides an abstraction from actual hardware, virtual resources are no longer bound to a particular hardware instance. Thus, a virtual router can, for example, be moved from one physical router to another physical router. The technical basis for this is the live migration of a virtual machine – that is, moving a virtual machine from one hardware instance to another instance without suspending it [121]. This concept has already been used in data centers and cloud environments [123]. For routers, it has been envisioned as a new network-management primitive [122]. Migrating routers, however, requires more sophisticated migration mechanisms. In particular, some form of traffic redirection is necessary in order to preserve the Network Layer topology and keep existing connections alive. While in a single data center, traffic redirection can be handled transparently by the Link Layer (e.g., via artificial Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) updates), this is not easily possible for routers as prime Network Layer equipment. Migration has to be performed across different subnets. This concept is commonly known as wide-area migration. Again, this has been first introduced for data center scenarios with multiple, distributed data centers [124, 125].

A major advantage of virtual router migration is the possibility to react dynamically to changes to the network whereby virtual routers are moved among different physical hosts without changing the network logical topology. For example, migration can be used as a remediation/recovery mechanism in the face of pending hardware failures or natural disasters [126]. In this case, migration can increase the overall resilience of a virtual network by keeping the network operational even while part of the hardware fails. Another application of virtual router migration is the reconfiguration of available hardware resources. Virtual networks could be created and destroyed in a highly dynamic
manner. However, this is a particular challenge for the resource allocation algorithm, as it must cope with the dynamic reconfiguration while avoiding fragmentation of the available hardware resources [127]. The migration must also avoid oscillating states, akin to route flapping, where the act of migration itself causes a change of network state sufficient to trigger a rapid migration back to a preceding state.

Migration is more complex than router virtualization because it needs to solve several problems. The first one is how to minimum the outages when moving the virtual router. As moving a virtual router to new physical platform always leads to some delays, it may cause some network disruption and discontinuities. Specifically, the data plane, which is responsible for forwarding packets, should not be halted during this transfer process. On the other hand, the control plane usually has some form of retransmission mechanism that provides protection from interruptions. It is more tolerant of disruption than the data plane. The second issue is how to realize the link migration after the virtual router migrates to the destination. In the past, an IP layer link corresponds to a physical link. If so, it is hard to realize the virtual router migration, as it is not possible for a physical link to follow the virtual router by unplugging and plugging-in the cable. Thanks to the recent new transport layer technologies, such as the programmable transport network [18], it is possible to allow the physical links between routers to be dynamically connected or suspended according to requirements. For example, when a virtual router moves to the new physical platform, the link to the virtual router can be established by the setting up of a new link in the underlying optical transport network.

A third issue is to consider the facilities needed for supporting virtual router migration, for example, whether the destination physical platform has enough interfaces for packet delivery, whether the switch fabric in the router has the ability to process all the required switching tasks after new virtual router have been accommodated, and whether the interfaces can dynamically bind with the data plane.

Some researchers have devoted effort to considering virtual router migration for reducing the impact of planned maintenance because downtime is a primary concern for ISPs. However, these approaches tend to perform the move while the router is “cold,” that is, not actively transporting data traffic while the migration takes place. VROOM (virtual routers on the move), on the other hand, supports live migration of logical routers allowing them to move among different physical platforms without causing network discontinuities and instabilities [19]. VROOM has been tested on both software and hardware. The results show that it does not interrupt the data plane and has a short downtime period for the control plane. When number of routes is about 15,000, the migration takes about 30 s on a software testbed and less than 2 s on a hardware testbed. Fortunately, existing retransmission mechanisms within the routing protocols can mitigate the impact of control plane downtime.

Nevertheless, the purpose of VROOM is to reduce the impact of planned maintenance rather than to save energy. It does not consider the events needed to trigger the virtual router migration and the algorithm needed to determine the appropriate physical destination platform for the migration; that is to say, when to move the virtual router and how to choose the appropriate destination physical router with the objective of saving energy. In response, a new dynamic energy management scheme employing infrastructure sleeping and virtual router migration has been proposed [128]. An alternative approach is considered in [129]. It also uses the virtual migration concept to maintain
the IP layer topology unchanged. The key difference is that it moves the functionality of line cards within a physical router instead of moving the entire virtual router functionality to another physical platform.

### 3.6 CHALLENGES

Given the user and economic benefits of adopting “green” energy-saving mechanisms, it is perhaps surprising that the lack of uptake that has taken place. However, network operators have to balance reliability against the potential cost savings. Typically, for a carrier-grade network, it is necessary to restore service delivery within 50 ms. Even for less stringent services, end users (customers) are unlikely to tolerate outages of more than a few tens of seconds, particularly if this situation arises repeatedly. In consequence, operators design their networks with resilience, whereby various backup/alternative paths exist between different parts of the network. In the event of a failure, the presence of these backup paths allows the traffic to be redirected along them to the appropriate destination(s) soon after the failure is detected. However, during normal operating conditions, this equates to spare capacity, which is nonetheless consuming resources.

Operators are also averse to embracing new technologies that lack a clear migration path from the existing deployed infrastructure and may have an unproven “track record.” Consequently, it is likely that transparent energy-saving technologies will be embraced, even if the potential benefits are somewhat more modest. These include the roll out of more efficient routers that can interwork seamlessly with existing equipment, thus providing a “plug-in” replacement as and when an upgrade is required. Other “straightforward” approaches include rate adaptation whereby both ends of a point-to-point link can sense the capabilities of the other end and, if supported, adjust the transmission speed or related behavior such as temporary sleeping to take place when the load conditions are suitable.

Of course, the replacement of equipment with similar devices with a better “energy star” rating or dynamic link rate adjustment is only capable of delivering localized improvements. Better energy savings are possible through the global coordination of activities across a network. This requires the adoption of more radical mechanisms, such as green routing and/or virtual router migration. However, at this time, it remains unclear whether the added benefits will outweigh the required capital expenditure, particularly, as has been shown much can be achieved with efficiency improvements within the networking devices, coupled with temporary sleeping and rate adaptation.

### 3.7 SUMMARY

Designing energy-efficient networking is a challenging task that must involve industrial and academic researchers. Some joint projects such as GreenTouch [130] proposed to increase network energy efficiency by a factor of 1000. Such aggressive approaches must deal with all networking levels from wireless to wired infrastructures and from core to access equipment [131]. Combined improvements in software and hardware must be
explored. With the development of the “Internet of Things” machine-to-machine (M2M) communication traffic may grow considerably, even if data rates are smaller, and thus energy costs from M2M will become significant. Key research activities proposed in the area of energy-efficient wired networks have been discussed including a number of contributions of the European COST Action IC0804; however, research in this area remains very much ongoing.
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