

**Exam December 16, 2020 in SF2852 Optimal Control.**

*Examiner:* Johan Karlsson, tel. 790 84 40.

*Allowed aids:* The formula sheet and mathematics handbook (by Råde and Westergren). (Note that calculator is **not** allowed.)

*Solution methods:* All conclusions should be properly motivated.

**Note:** Your personal number must be stated on the cover sheet. Number your pages and write your name on each sheet that you turn in!

Preliminary grades (Credit = exam credit + bonus from homeworks): 23-24 credits give grade Fx (contact examiner asap for further info), 25-27 credits give grade E, 28-32 credits give grade D, 33-38 credits give grade C, 39-44 credits give grade B, and 45 or more credits give grade A.

1. Consider the sequential decision problem

$$\max \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \beta^k u_k^{1-\nu} \quad \text{subj. to} \quad \begin{cases} x_{k+1} = \alpha(x_k - u_k), & 0 \leq u_k \leq x_k \\ x_0 = W \end{cases}$$

The problem is to maximize the utility of spending  $u_k$  amount of capital each time instance given an initial fund  $x_0 = W$ . We assume that  $\beta, \alpha > 0$ , and  $1 > \nu > 0$  are given.

- (a) Do two iterations of the dynamic programming algorithm and determine the optimal control at stage  $N - 1$  and  $N - 2$ . . (6p)
- (b) Determine the optimal value function at stage  $N - 1$  and  $N - 2$ .  
*Hint: The derivation of the value function at stage  $N - 2$  is simplified if you put  $\gamma = (\beta\alpha^{1-\nu})^{1/\nu}$ . . . . . (4p)*

2. Find the optimal solution (if such exists) and the optimal value of the following optimal control problem

$$\min \int_0^2 (u^2(t) + (1-t)u(t))dt \quad \text{subj. to} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = u(t), \\ x(0) = 0, \quad x(2) = 1/2, \\ u(t) \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

..... (10p)

3. Consider the following nonlinear optimal control problem

$$\min x(1)^2 + \int_0^1 (x(t)u(t))^2 dt \quad \text{subj. to} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x} = x(t)u(t) \\ x(0) = 1, \end{cases}$$

Solve the problem using dynamic programming.

*Hint: Use the ansatz  $V(t, x) = p(t)x^2$ . . . . . (10p)*

4. Consider the following optimal control problem

$$\max x_2(T) \quad \text{subj. to} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -x_2 + u, & x_1(0) = 0 \\ \dot{x}_2 = x_1, & x_2(0) = 0 \\ \int_0^T u^2(t) dt = 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $T > 0$  is given.

- (a) Reformulate the optimal control problem as a problem on state space form (with constraints as considered in the course). . (1p)
- (b) Solve the optimal control problem. .... (7p)
- (c) What happens with  $x_2(T)$  when  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . .... (2p)

5. Consider the following infinite horizon optimal control problem

$$\min \int_0^\infty (x_1(t)^2 + x_2(t)^2 + u(t)^2) dt \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_2(t) + u(t), \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = 2x_2(t) - x_1(t) + u(t), \\ x_1(0) = x_{10}, \\ x_2(0) = x_{20}. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- (a) Find the optimal feedback solution (when such exists) and the optimal value of the optimal control problem (1). .... (8p)
- (b) Is the solution in (a) unique? .... (1p)
- (c) Is the closed loop system stable? .... (1p)

*Good luck!*

## Solutions

1. Dynamic programming gives

$$V_k(x) = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq x} \{\beta^k u^{1-\nu} + V_{k+1}(\alpha(x-u))\}$$

$$V_N(x) = 0$$

We obtain

$$V_{N-1}(x) = \beta^{N-1} x^{1-\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{N-1}(x)^* = x,$$

i.e., we should spend all our capital in the last step. For the next prior step we have

$$V_{N-2}(x) = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq x} \{\beta^{N-2} u^{1-\nu} + \beta^{N-1} \alpha^{1-\nu} (x-u)^{1-\nu}\}$$

Setting the derivative of the above expression to zero and solving for  $u$  gives

$$(1-\nu)u^{-\nu} = \beta \alpha^{1-\nu} (1-\nu)(x-u)^{-\nu}.$$

From this we get

$$u_{N-2}^* = (1 + (\beta \alpha^{1-\nu})^{1/\nu})^{-1} x$$

which is in the interval  $(0, x)$ . We have

$$V_{N-2}(x) = \beta^{N-2} (1 + (\beta \alpha^{1-\nu})^{1/\nu})^\nu x^{1-\nu}.$$

Note that if  $\nu = 1$  then the control is irrelevant.

2. The Hamiltonian is

$$H(x, u, \lambda) = u^2 + (1-t)u + \lambda u,$$

and note that  $\lambda$  is constant since

$$\dot{\lambda} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 0.$$

Minimizing the Hamiltonian with respect to  $u \geq 0$  gives

$$u(t) = \max(0, (t-1-\lambda)/2).$$

We now need to find  $\lambda$  such that this control is feasible, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} 1/2 = x(2) &= x(0) + \int_0^2 u(t) dt = \int_0^2 \max(0, (t-1-\lambda)/2) dt \\ &= \int_{1+\lambda}^2 (t-1-\lambda)/2 dt = (\lambda-1)^2/4. \end{aligned}$$

This is satisfied if  $\lambda = 1 \pm \sqrt{2}$ , and the only reasonable value is  $\lambda = 1 - \sqrt{2}$ . This gives

$$u(t) = \max(0, (t - 2 + \sqrt{2})/2).$$

Plugging this in gives the objective value  $(2\sqrt{2} - 3)/6$ .

3. The HJBE is

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} &= \min_u \left\{ (xu)^2 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} xu \right\} \\ &= \min_u \left\{ x^2 \left( u + \frac{1}{2x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right\} \\ V(1, x) &= x^2 \end{aligned}$$

with the minimizing control  $u^* = -\frac{1}{2x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$ . With the ansatz  $V(t, x) = p(t)x^2$  the HJBE reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} -\dot{p}(t)x^2 &= -p(t)^2x^2 \\ p(1)x^2 &= x^2 \end{aligned}$$

which should hold identically for all  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times R$ . We get the following ODE for  $p$ :

$$\dot{p}(t) = p(t)^2, \quad p(1) = 1,$$

which has the solution  $p(t) = 1/(2-t)$ . The resulting optimal control is

$$u^*(t) = -\frac{1}{2-t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Note that the solution to the state equation is

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\frac{1}{2-t}x(t), \quad x(0) = 1$$

is  $x(t) = 1 - 0.5t$  which is nonzero on  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

4. (a) If we let  $x_3(t) = \int_0^t u^2(s)ds$  then the optimal control problem can be reformulated as

$$\min -x_2(T) \quad \text{subj. to} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -x_2 + u, & x_1(0) = 0 \\ \dot{x}_2 = x_1, & x_2(0) = 0 \\ \dot{x}_3 = u^2, & x_3(0) = 0, \quad x_3(T) = 1 \end{cases}$$

(b) Let us proceed as usual and introduce the Hamiltonian  $H(x, u, \lambda) = \lambda_1(-x_2 + u) + \lambda_2 x_1 + \lambda_3 u^2$ . Pointwise minimization gives

$$\arg \min_u H(x, u, \lambda) = \arg \min_u \lambda_1 u + \lambda_3 u^2 = \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_3}, & \lambda_3 > 0 \\ \infty, & \lambda_3 \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

The adjoint system is

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\lambda}_1 = -\lambda_2, & \lambda_1(T) = 0 \\ \dot{\lambda}_2 = \lambda_1, & \lambda_2(T) = -1 \\ \dot{\lambda}_3 = 0, & \lambda_3(T) = ? \end{cases}$$

From the last equation we see that  $\lambda_3$  must be a constant. It is also clear that  $\lambda_3 = k > 0$  since otherwise  $u^* = \infty$ , which is unreasonable.

Note that the transition matrix for both the primal system and the adjoint system is

$$\Phi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t) & -\sin(t) \\ \sin(t) & \cos(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solving the adjoint equation gives  $\lambda_1(t) = \sin(T - t)$  and thus

$$u^*(t) = -\frac{1}{2k} \sin(T - t)$$

where

$$k = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\int_0^T \sin^2(T - t) dt}$$

which follows since  $x_3(T) = \int_0^T u^2(t) dt = 1$ .

(c)  $k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , which implies  $u^* \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . For the state we have

$$x_2(T) = -\frac{1}{2k} \int_0^T \sin^2(T - t) dt = -\sqrt{\int_0^T \sin^2(T - t) dt} \rightarrow -\infty$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

5. a) The problem is a LQ problem on standard form with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, Q = I, R = 1.$$

However, note that it is not controllable since

$$[B, AB] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

thus  $z = (1, -1)x$  is uncontrollable and further note that

$$\dot{z} = (1, -1)\dot{x} = z,$$

hence  $z$  is unstable. Consider the equivalent formulation in  $x_1$  and  $z = x_1 - x_2$ , i.e., with  $x_2$  replaced by  $x_1 - z$ :

$$\min \int_0^\infty (x_1(t)^2 + (x_1(t) - z(t))^2 + u(t)^2) dt \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t) - z(t) + u(t) \\ \dot{z}(t) = z(t) \\ x_1(0) = x_{10}, \\ z(0) = x_{10} - x_{20}. \end{cases}$$

Note that it is impossible to achieve a finite cost unless  $z(0) = x_{10} - x_{20} = 0$ , which gives  $z(t) \equiv 0$  and  $x_1(t) = x_2(t)$  for all  $t$ . In this case the problem becomes

$$\min \int_0^\infty (2x_1(t)^2 + u(t)^2) dt \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t) + u(t) \\ x_1(0) = x_{10}. \end{cases}$$

This is a standard LQ problem with infinite time horizon, with  $a = 1, b = 1, r = 1, q = 2$ , thus the Riccati equation is

$$0 = 2pa + q - b^2 p^2 r^{-1} = 2p + 1 - p^2 = -(p - 1)^2 + 2,$$

thus the solution is the positive root  $p = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ . The optimal feedback is thus

$$u = -r^{-1}bp x_1 = -(1 + \sqrt{2})x_1$$

and the optimal cost is

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} x_{10}^2(1 + \sqrt{2}) & x_{10} = x_{20} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- b) The optimum is unique if it exists, i.e., if  $x_{10} = x_{20}$ .
- c) The closed loop is unstable since there is one unstable uncontrollable mode ( $z = x_1 - x_2$ ).