

# Growth estimates through scaling for quasilinear partial differential equations <sup>\*</sup>

Tero Kilpeläinen, Henrik Shahgholian, and Xiao Zhong <sup>†</sup>

March 6, 2007

## Abstract

In this note we use a scaling or blow up argument to obtain estimates to solutions of equations of  $p$ -Laplacian type.

## 1. Introduction

Weak solutions of equation

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = 0, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

are called  $p$ -harmonic. It is known that  $p$ -harmonic functions are in  $C^{1,\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , where for  $p \neq 2$  one cannot have  $\alpha \geq 1$  in general; see [3] for sharp regularity in the planar case. In this note we present a blow up argument and show that if  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  is such that the class of  $p$ -harmonic functions are continuously embedded into  $C^{1,\alpha}$ , then the only entire  $p$ -harmonic functions that grow at infinity slower than  $|x|^{1+\alpha}$  are the linear ones.

We formulate the proof and the growth rate result only in the  $p$ -Laplacian setting, but the argument is more general. The only ingredients required are the following: there is a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions so that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains certainly rescaled versions of

---

<sup>\*</sup>2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35J60; Secondary 35J15

*Keywords*.  $p$ -Laplace, scaling.

<sup>†</sup>T.K. (partially) and X.Z. supported by the Academy of Finland, H.S. partially supported by Swedish Research Council. The present work is part of the ESF programme *GLOBAL*.

functions and  $\mathcal{F}$  can be embedded into  $C^{1,\alpha}$ . Then nonlinear functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  grow at least as fast as  $|x|^{1+\alpha}$ .

As an application of the growth rate result we show that a nonnegative  $p$ -harmonic function in a half space is actually linear if it vanishes on the boundary of the half space. This gives an affirmative answer to a query of Mario Bonk, who also found independently a different proof for this fact.

## 2. Growth

We prove the following two theorems:

**2.1. Theorem.** *Let  $u$  be  $p$ -harmonic in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . There is a number  $\beta > 0$  depending only on  $p$  and  $n$  so that if*

$$|u(x)| = o(|x|^{1+\beta}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then  $u$  is (affine) linear.*

The second is an immediate consequence of the first one.

**2.2. Theorem.** *Let  $u$  be  $p$ -harmonic in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If*

$$|u(x)| = o(|x|) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then  $u$  is constant.*

**2.3. Remark.** It is known that there are no entire harmonic functions (i.e.  $p = 2$ ) with noninteger growth rate. That is, if  $u$  is harmonic (i.e. 2-harmonic) in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |u(x)|}{\log |x|} = \gamma \in ]0, \infty[,$$

then  $\gamma$  is an integer.

If  $p \neq 2$ , the situation is different. Then there are entire  $p$ -harmonic functions whose growth rate  $\gamma \in ]1, 2[$ . See Krol' [4], Tolksdorff [9] or Aronsson's quasiradial solutions [2].

Hence theorem 2.1 is optimal.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Choose a sequence  $R_j \rightarrow \infty$  and write

$$S_j = \sup_{B(0, R_j)} |u|.$$

Then the scaled functions

$$u_j(x) = \frac{u(R_j x)}{S_j}$$

are  $p$ -harmonic and  $|u_j| \leq 1$  in  $B(0, 1)$ .

By a well known regularity estimate (see e.g. Lewis [5]), there is a constant  $\beta = \beta(n, p) > 0$  so that the  $C^{1, \beta}(B(0, 1))$  norms of  $u_j$  are bounded, independently of  $j$ . Hence the quantities

$$C_j(x) = \frac{|Du_j(x) - Du_j(0)|}{|x|^\beta} = \frac{R_j^{1+\beta}}{S_j} \frac{|Du(R_j x) - Du(0)|}{|R_j x|^\beta}$$

are uniformly bounded in  $B(0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Since the growth condition  $|u(x)| = o(|x|^{1+\beta})$  implies

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_j^{1+\beta}}{S_j} = \infty,$$

we conclude that

$$\sup_{y \in B(0, \frac{R_j}{2})} \frac{|Du(y) - Du(0)|}{|y|^\beta} = \sup_{x \in B(0, \frac{1}{2})} \frac{|Du(R_j x) - Du(0)|}{|R_j x|^\beta} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

But this implies that

$$Du(y) = Du(0) \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

and Theorem 2.1 follows.  $\square$

**2.4. Remark.** Another way to prove Theorem 2.1 for the  $p$ -Laplacian goes via the estimate

$$\operatorname{osc}_{B(x_0, r)} |\nabla u| \leq C \sup_{B(x_0, R)} |\nabla u| \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^\alpha$$

that can be found e.g. in [7, Theorem 3.44]. For more general operators the oscillation estimate might not be available but one can prove the embedding into  $C^{1, \alpha}$  by other means. We would like to emphasize here that our method works also in those cases where one can establish bounded embedding to  $C^{1, \alpha}$  even though there is no oscillation estimate for the gradient.

As an application of Theorem 2.1 we prove the following result.

**2.5. Theorem.** *If  $u$  is a nonnegative  $p$ -harmonic function on a half space  $H$  and  $u = 0$  on  $\partial H$ , then  $u$  is (affine) linear.*

Theorem 2.5 follows by combining the following lemma with Theorem 2.1, when we observe that  $u$  in Theorem 2.5 can be reflected through the hyperplane  $\partial H$  and the resulting function is  $p$ -harmonic in the whole space  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (this can be easily verified by a direct computation, see [8]).

**2.6. Lemma.** *Let  $u$  be a nonnegative  $p$ -harmonic function in the upper half space*

$$\mathbf{R}_+^n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : x_n > 0\}.$$

*If  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\mathbf{R}_+^n$ , then*

$$|u(x)| = O(|x|) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF: We first show that there is a constant  $c = c(n, p) > 0$  so that

$$(2.7) \quad u(Re_n) \leq cRu(e_n) \quad \text{for all } R > 2;$$

here  $e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$  is the  $n$ th unit vector in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . For this, we write  $x_0 = Re_n = 2re_n$  and observe that by Harnack's inequality

$$u(x) \approx cu(x_0) \quad \text{for all } x \in \bar{B}(x_0, r),$$

where  $c = c(n, p) > 0$ . Now, let  $v$  be the  $p$ -capacitary potential in  $B(x_0, 2r) \setminus \bar{B}(x_0, r)$ , i.e.

$$v(x) = \frac{\int_r^{2r} t^{(1-n)/(p-1)} dt}{\int_r^{|x-x_0|} t^{(1-n)/(p-1)} dt}.$$

Then since  $v$  is  $p$ -harmonic in  $B(x_0, 2r) \setminus \bar{B}(x_0, r)$ , we have by comparison principle that

$$u(x) \geq cu(x_0)v(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in B(x_0, 2r) \setminus \bar{B}(x_0, r),$$

where  $c = c(n, p) > 0$ . The claim (2.7) follows from this estimate evaluated at  $x = e_n$ , for

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{v(e_n)} &= \frac{\int_0^{2r} t^{(1-n)/(p-1)} dt}{\int_0^{2r-1} t^{(1-n)/(p-1)} dt} \\
&= 1 + \frac{\int_0^{2r-1} t^{(1-n)/(p-1)} dt}{\int_0^{2r-1} t^{(1-n)/(p-1)} dt} \\
&\leq 1 + \frac{(r-1)r^{(1-n)/(p-1)}}{(2r)^{(1-n)/(p-1)}} \\
&\leq 1 + \frac{r-1}{2^{(1-n)/(p-1)}} \\
&\leq c2r = cR,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c = c(n, p)$ . The estimate (2.7) is proved.

To complete the proof the lemma, we employ the boundary Harnack principle (see [1] or [6]) which states that there is a constant  $c$  depending on  $n$  and  $p$  only so that

$$\frac{u(x)}{x_n} \leq c \frac{u(Re_n)}{R} \quad \text{for all } x \in B(0, 2R) \cap \mathbf{R}_+^n \quad \text{and } R > 0;$$

here  $x_n$  is the  $n$ th coordinate of  $x$ . Next we combine this with (2.7) and have

$$u(x) \leq c \frac{u(Re_n)}{R} x_n \leq c u(e_n) x_n \leq c |x| u(e_n)$$

for  $x \in B(0, 2R)$  and  $R > 2$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] AIKAWA, H., KILPELÄINEN, T., SHANMUGALINGAM, N., AND ZHONG, X. Boundary Harnack principle for  $p$ -harmonic functions in smooth euclidean domains. to appear in *Potential Analysis*.

- [2] ARONSSON, G. On certain  $p$ -harmonic functions in the plane. *Manuscripta Math.* 61, 1 (1988), 79–101.
- [3] IWANIEC, T., AND MANFREDI, J. J. Regularity of  $p$ -harmonic functions on the plane. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 5, 1-2 (1989), 1–19.
- [4] KROL', I. N. The behavior of the solutions of a certain quasilinear equation near zero cusps of the boundary. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* 125 (1973), 140–146, 233. Boundary value problems of mathematical physics, 8.
- [5] LEWIS, J. L. Regularity of the derivatives of solutions to certain degenerate elliptic equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 32, 6 (1983), 849–858.
- [6] LEWIS, J. L. AND NYSTRÖM, K. On a boundary Harnack inequality for  $p$ -harmonic functions. *Preprint* (2006), 177–196.
- [7] MALÝ, J. AND ZIEMER, W. P. *Fine regularity of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, vol. 51 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [8] MARTIO, O. Reflection principle for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations and quasiregular mappings. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 6, 1 (1981), 179–187.
- [9] TOLKSDORF, P. Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations. *J. Differential Equations* 51, 1 (1984), 126–150.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 35 (MaD), FIN-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland.

**E-mail:** terok@maths.jyu.fi

Department of Mathematics, Royal Institute of Technology, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

**E-mail:** henriks@kth.se

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 35 (MaD), FIN-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland.

**E-mail:** zhong@maths.jyu.fi