

Gromov–Witten theory of K3 surfaces and a Kaneko–Zagier equation for Jacobi forms

Jan-Willem van Ittersum*, Georg Oberdieck†, Aaron Pixton‡

November 14, 2021

We prove the existence of quasi-Jacobi form solutions for an analogue of the Kaneko–Zagier differential equation for Jacobi forms. The transformation properties of the solutions under the Jacobi group are derived. A special feature of the solutions is the polynomial dependence of the index parameter. The results yield an explicit conjectural description for all double ramification cycle integrals in the Gromov–Witten theory of K3 surfaces.

1 Introduction

1.1 K3 surfaces

The Yau–Zaslow formula (proven by Beauville [2] and Bryan–Leung [3]) evaluates the generating series of counts of rational curves on K3 surfaces in primitive classes as the inverse of the discriminant

$$\Delta(\tau) = q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{24}$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ is the standard variable of the upper half-plane.

More general curve counts on K3 surfaces are defined by the Gromov–Witten invariants

$$\left\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \right\rangle_{g, \beta}^S := \int_{[\overline{M}_{g, n}(S, \beta)]^{\text{red}}} \pi^*(\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^n \text{ev}_i^*(\gamma_i)$$

**Email:* j.w.m.vanittersum@uu.nl,

Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Utrecht, Postbus 80.010, 3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands,
Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany

†*Email:* georgo@math.uni-bonn.de,

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany

‡*Email:* pixton@umich.edu,

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA

where $\overline{M}_{g,n}(S, \beta)$ is the moduli space of n -marked genus g stable maps to a K3 surface S representing the class $\beta \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$, and

$$\pi : \overline{M}_{g,n}(S, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}, \quad \text{ev}_i : \overline{M}_{g,n}(S, \beta) \rightarrow S, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

are the forgetful and evaluation maps. The integral is taken over the reduced virtual fundamental class and the insertions are arbitrary classes

$$\alpha \in H^*(\overline{M}_{g,n}), \quad \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in H^*(S).$$

Let $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$ be a list of integers with $\sum_i \mathbf{a}_i = 0$. The moduli space $\overline{M}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{a})^\sim$, defined in relative Gromov–Witten theory, parametrizes stable maps from a curve of genus g to \mathbb{P}^1 with ramification profiles over 0 and ∞ given respectively by the positive and negative entries in \mathbf{a} . The double ramification cycle

$$\text{DR}_g(\mathbf{a}) \in H^{2g}(\overline{M}_{g,n})$$

is defined as the pushforward under the forgetful map $\overline{M}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{a})^\sim \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}$ of the virtual class on this moduli space (see [6]).

Let also $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $p = e^z$, and consider the odd (renormalized) Jacobi theta function

$$\Theta(z, \tau) = (p^{1/2} - p^{-1/2}) \prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{(1 - pq^m)(1 - p^{-1}q^m)}{(1 - q^m)^2}.$$

The following formula was found in the study of the quantum cohomology of the Hilbert scheme of points of a K3 surface in [13], and related to K3 surfaces in [14].

Conjecture 1.1 ([13, 14]). *There exist quasi-Jacobi forms $\varphi_m(z, \tau)$, $\varphi_{m,n}(z, \tau)$ such that for all primitive effective $\beta \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \left\langle \text{DR}_g(\mathbf{a}); \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \right\rangle_{g, \beta}^S (-1)^{g+n} z^{2g-2+n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_i \mathbf{a}_i^{\deg(\gamma_i)}} \text{Coeff}_{q^{\frac{1}{2}\beta^2}} \left(\sum_{\{(a_j, b_j)\}_j, \{c_j\}_j} \frac{1}{\Theta^2 \Delta} \prod_j (\gamma_{a_j}, \gamma_{b_j}) \varphi_{a_j, b_j} \cdot \prod_j (\gamma_{c_j}, \beta) \varphi_{c_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the sum on the right side is over all partitions of the set $\{(\mathbf{a}_i, \gamma_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ into parts of size ≤ 2 . The parts of size 1 are labeled by (c_j, γ_{c_j}) , and the parts of size 2 are labeled $\{(a_j, \gamma_{a_j}), (b_j, \gamma_{b_j})\}$. Moreover, $\deg(\gamma)$ denotes half the cohomological degree of γ , i.e. $\gamma \in H^{2 \deg(\gamma)}(S)$, and $(-, -)$ is the Mukai pairing on $H^*(S)$ defined by

$$((r_1, D_1, n_1), (r_2, D_2, n_2)) = r_1 n_2 + r_2 n_1 - D_1 \cdot D_2$$

where we write $D_1 \cdot D_2 = \int_S D_1 \cup D_2$ for the intersection of divisors.

We refer to Section 2.3 for the definition of quasi-Jacobi forms. The left hand side of the conjecture is a (virtual) count of curves on K3 surfaces, whose normalization admits a map to \mathbb{P}^1 with prescribed ramification over two points of the target and with the ramification points incident to given cycles γ_i . If there are no marked points, the double ramification cycle is the top Chern class λ_g of the Hodge bundle over the moduli space of curves,

$$\mathrm{DR}_g(\emptyset) = (-1)^g \lambda_g.$$

In this case the conjecture specializes to the Katz–Klemm–Vafa formula

$$\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \langle \lambda_g \rangle_{g,\beta}^S z^{2g-2} = \mathrm{Coeff}_{q^{\frac{1}{2}\beta^2}} \left(\frac{1}{\Theta(z, \tau)^2 \Delta(\tau)} \right).$$

proven in [11].

While the functions $\varphi_m, \varphi_{m,n}$ were conjectured to be quasi-Jacobi forms (of explicit weight and index) they have been left indeterminate in [13, 14]. The goal of this paper is simply to give an explicit formula for these functions and study their properties.

1.2 A Kaneko–Zagier equation for Jacobi forms

Let $D_\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} = q \frac{d}{dq}$ and consider the ratio

$$F(z) := \frac{D_\tau^2 \Theta(z)}{\Theta(z)} = - \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d|n} (n/d)^3 (p^{d/2} - p^{-d/2})^2 q^n,$$

where, as we will often do, have dropped τ from the argument.

We define formal series $\varphi_m \in \mathbb{Q}[p^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}][[q]]$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the differential equation

$$D_\tau^2 \varphi_m = m^2 F \varphi_m, \tag{1}$$

together with the constant term

$$\varphi_m = (p^{m/2} - p^{-m/2}) + O(q). \tag{2}$$

Since the constant term of F in q vanishes, (1) determines the functions φ_m uniquely from the initial data. By definition, we have $\varphi_{-m} = -\varphi_m$.

Our first main result is the following characterization of the functions φ_m .

Theorem 1.2. *For all $m \geq 0$ we have*

$$\varphi_m = \mathrm{Res}_{x=0} \left(\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)} \right)^m.$$

In particular, φ_m is a quasi-Jacobi form of weight -1 and index $|m|/2$ for every m .

Consider the ratio of theta functions

$$f(x) = \frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)}$$

whose appearance in mathematics goes back to work of Eisenstein [19]. Since its inverse has Taylor expansion $1/f(x) = \Theta(z)^{-1}x + O(x^2)$, the function $1/f(x)$ can be formally inverted. By Lagrange inversion, Theorem 1.2 then precisely says that the inverse series is the generating series of the φ_m :

$$y = \frac{1}{f(x)} \iff x = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_m}{m} y^m. \quad (3)$$

Let us explain the connection of the differential equation (1) to a well-known differential equation for modular forms. Recall the Eisenstein series

$$E_k(\tau) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1} q^n,$$

where the weight $k \geq 2$ is even and B_k are the Bernoulli numbers. Let

$$\vartheta_k = D_\tau - \frac{k}{12} E_2(\tau)$$

be the Serre derivative which restricts to an operator $\mathbf{Mod}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{k+2}$ on the space of modular forms of weight k . The Kaneko–Zagier equation [9] is the differential equation

$$\vartheta_{k+2} \vartheta_k f_k = \frac{k(k+2)}{144} E_4(\tau) f_k. \quad (4)$$

If $k \equiv 0$ or $4 \pmod{6}$ it has non-trivial solutions which are modular forms of weight k . A direct calculation shows that a function f_k is a solution to (4) if and only if $g_{k+1} = f_k/\eta^{2k+2}$, with $\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)$ the Dedekind function, is a solution of

$$D_\tau^2 g_m = m^2 \frac{E_4(\tau)}{144} g_m.$$

We observe that the differential equation (1) is a Jacobi-form analogue of the Kaneko–Zagier equation. Even stronger, since (1) does not involve derivatives in the elliptic variable, we can specialise it to $\frac{z}{2\pi i} = a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ and in this way obtain an infinite family of Kaneko–Zagier type differential equations with modular solutions for a congruence subgroup.¹ The inversion formula (3) has the classical analogue [9, Thm. 5(iv)]

$$x = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{f_{k-1}}{k} y^k \iff y = \left(\frac{\wp'(x)}{-2} \right)^{-1/3},$$

¹ For any $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ the ratio $\frac{\Theta(x+a)}{\Theta(x)}$ is a meromorphic Jacobi form of index 0 (of higher level). Hence all its Taylor coefficients are modular forms.

where the role of $f(x)$ is played by the formal cube root of the derivative $\wp'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\wp(x)$ of the Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp(x)$, and the solutions f_k are normalized accordingly.

We refer to Section 5 for a general construction of differential equations of Kaneko–Zagier type.

1.3 Differential equation of the second kind

We are also interested in a second family of functions, defined in terms of the φ_m of the previous section.

Define formal series $\varphi_{m,n} \in \mathbb{Q}[p^{\pm 1/2}][[q]]$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the differential equation

$$D_\tau \varphi_{m,n} = mn\varphi_m\varphi_n F + (D_\tau \varphi_m)(D_\tau \varphi_n) \quad (5)$$

together with the condition that the constant term vanishes:

$$\varphi_{m,n} = O(q).$$

Since φ_m is odd in m , the definition implies the symmetries

$$\forall m, n : \varphi_{m,n} = \varphi_{n,m} = \varphi_{-m,-n}.$$

Moreover, $\varphi_{m,0} = 0$ as $\varphi_0 = 0$. Our second main result describes the modular properties of $\varphi_{m,n}$:

Theorem 1.3. *For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the difference*

$$\varphi_{m,n} - |n|\delta_{m+n,0}$$

is a quasi-Jacobi form of weight 0 and index $\frac{1}{2}(|m| + |n|)$.

If $m \neq -n$ the proof of Theorem 1.3 is easy. Indeed, in this case we have

$$\varphi_{m,n} = \frac{m}{m+n}\varphi_m D_\tau(\varphi_n) + \frac{n}{m+n}D_\tau(\varphi_m)\varphi_n \quad (6)$$

and since the algebra of quasi-Jacobi forms is closed under differentiation with respect to both z and τ the result follows from Theorem 1.2. It hence remains to consider the case $m = -n$. However, since the algebra of quasi-Jacobi forms is not closed under integration, this case is not obvious at all.

A key feature of the functions φ_m is their polynomial dependence on m . Precisely, their Taylor expansion in the elliptic variable is of the form

$$\varphi_m = \sum_{k \geq 1} P_k(m)z^k$$

where each P_k is a polynomial in m of degree $\leq k$ with coefficients quasi-modular forms. This implies that the $\varphi_{m,n}$ depend polynomially on m, n as well. Hence we are allowed to take the limit of the formula (6). The result is

$$\varphi_{n,-n} = D_\tau(\varphi_n)\varphi_{-n} + n(D_\tau(\varphi'_{-n})\varphi_n - \varphi'_{-n}D_\tau\varphi_n),$$

where φ'_u is the formal derivative of φ_u with respect to u . But, by inspection the function φ'_n is usually not a quasi-Jacobi and hence from this point it is still unclear why $\varphi_{n,-n}$ should be quasi-Jacobi. Instead our proof of Theorem 1.3 relies on a subtle interplay between holomorphic anomaly equations, which measure the defect of φ_m and $\varphi_{m,n}$ to be honest Jacobi forms, and the aforementioned polynomiality.

The holomorphic anomaly equations we derive are also of independent interest since they determine the precise transformation behaviour of the functions φ_m and $\varphi_{m,n}$ under the Jacobi group. As another indirect consequence of the proof of Theorem 1.3 we obtain a third, recursive characterization of the function φ_m :

Proposition 1.4. *For all $m, n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\varphi_{m+n} = \frac{1}{m}D_z(\varphi_m)\varphi_n + \frac{1}{n}\varphi_m D_z(\varphi_n) + \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{1}{i}\varphi_{i,n}\varphi_j + \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{1}{i}\varphi_{i,m}\varphi_j.$$

We finally relate the functions φ_m and $\varphi_{m,n}$ to the geometry of K3 surfaces.

Conjecture 1.5. *The functions φ_m and $\varphi_{m,n}$ as defined above are the functions appearing in Conjecture 1.1.*

Besides plenty of evidence which is known for Conjecture 1.1, e.g. [16], there are several qualitative features of the φ 's which correspond to similar features in Gromov–Witten theory. The polynomial dependence is reflected in the polynomial dependence of the double ramification cycle on the ramification profiles [6]. In their Taylor expansions the z -coefficients of the φ 's are quasi-modular forms. This matches a result of [11]. The quasi-Jacobi form property and the holomorphic anomaly equations are expected from holomorphic-symplectic geometry [13] and the results of [15].

Conjecture 1.1 yields an explicit formula for the Gromov–Witten theory of $K3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ relative to two fibers over \mathbb{P}^1 . In terms of this theory, efficient algorithms to determine the Gromov–Witten invariants of all CHL Calabi–Yau threefolds are known [1]. This leads to deep relations between counting on K3 surfaces and Conway moonshine. We hope to come back to these questions in future work.

1.4 Acknowledgements

We thank A. Oblomkov for pointing out the polynomial dependence in the φ_m , and R. Pandharipande for the many things we learned from him about the Gromov–Witten theory of K3 surfaces.

The second author was partially funded by the DFG grant OB 512/1-1. The third author was partially supported by NSF grant 1807079.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Quasi-modular forms

For all even $k > 0$ consider the renormalized Eisenstein series

$$G_k(\tau) = -\frac{B_k}{2 \cdot k} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1} q^n.$$

The \mathbb{C} -algebras $\text{Mod} = \oplus_k \text{Mod}_k$ and $\text{QMod} = \oplus_k \text{QMod}_k$ of modular and quasi-modular forms can be described by Eisenstein series:

$$\text{Mod} = \mathbb{C}[G_4, G_6], \quad \text{QMod} = \mathbb{C}[G_2, G_4, G_6].$$

The algebra QMod is acted on by both $D_\tau = q \frac{d}{dq}$ and the operator $\frac{d}{dG_2}$ which takes the formal derivative in G_2 when a quasi-modular forms is written as a polynomial in G_2, G_4, G_6 . Let also wt be the operator on QMod that acts on QMod_k by multiplication by k . We have the sl_2 -commutation relation

$$\left[\frac{d}{dG_2}, D_\tau \right] = -2 \text{wt}.$$

2.2 Theta functions

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $p = e^z$. Let

$$\vartheta_1(z, \tau) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{\lfloor \nu \rfloor} p^\nu q^{\nu^2/2}$$

be the odd Jacobi theta function.² By the Jacobi triple product we have

$$\Theta(z) = \vartheta_1(z, \tau) / \eta^3(\tau).$$

The product formula for Θ yields also the expansion

$$\Theta(z) = z \exp \left(-2 \sum_{k \geq 2} G_k \frac{z^k}{k!} \right). \quad (7)$$

²The Jacobi function ϑ_1 defines the unique section on the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}_w / (\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$ which vanishes at the origin. In our convention the variable w of the complex plane \mathbb{C}_w is related to z by $z = 2\pi iw$. In other words, the fundamental region of the curve is given by $\frac{z}{2\pi i} \in \{a + b\tau \mid a, b \in [0, 1]\}$.

2.3 Quasi-Jacobi forms

Jacobi forms are a generalization of classical modular forms which depend on an elliptic parameter $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and a modular parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, see [4] for an introduction. Quasi-Jacobi forms are constant terms of almost holomorphic Jacobi forms. Following [10] and [12, Sec.1] we shortly recall the definition.

Consider the real-analytic functions

$$\nu = \frac{1}{8\pi\Im(\tau)}, \quad \alpha = \frac{\Im(z/2\pi i)}{\Im(\tau)}.$$

An almost holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ is a function of the form

$$\Psi = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \psi_{i,j}(z, \tau) \nu^i \alpha^j$$

such that each of the finitely many non-zero $\psi_{i,j}$ is holomorphic and admits a Fourier expansion of the form $\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c(n, r) q^n p^r$ in the region $|q| < 1$. An almost holomorphic weak Jacobi form of weight k and index $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an almost holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ which satisfies the transformations laws of Jacobi forms of this weight and index [4]. A quasi-Jacobi form of weight k and index m is a function $\psi(z, \tau)$ such that there exists an almost holomorphic weak Jacobi form $\sum_{i,j} \psi_{i,j} \nu^i \alpha^j$ with $\psi_{0,0} = \psi$.

In this paper we will also work with quasi-Jacobi forms of half-integral index $\frac{m}{2} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. These are defined identical as above except that we include (in the usual way) a character in the required transformation law. The character we use for index $m/2$ is defined by the transformation properties of $\Theta^m(z)$ under the Jacobi group.³ In particular, $\Theta(z)$ is a (quasi) Jacobi form of weight -1 and index $1/2$; its square $\Theta(z)^2$ is a Jacobi form without character.

The algebra of quasi-Jacobi forms is bigraded by weight k and index m :

$$\text{QJac} = \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \text{QJac}_{k,m}.$$

In index 0 we recover the algebra of quasi-modular forms: $\text{QJac}_{k,0} = \text{QMod}_k$.

Similar to the case of quasi-modular forms, the algebra of quasi-Jacobi forms can be embedded in a polynomial algebra. Let $D_z = \frac{d}{dz} = p \frac{d}{dp}$ and consider the series

$$A(z) = \frac{D_z \Theta(z)}{\Theta(z)} = -\frac{1}{2} - \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{p^m}{1 - q^m}$$

³This character is essentially uniquely determined by requiring that the square of a half-integral weight Jacobi form is a Jacobi form without character, see for example the discussion in [5].

and the Weierstraß elliptic function

$$\wp(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{p}{(1-p)^2} + \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{k|d} k(p^k - 2 + p^{-k})q^d.$$

We write $\wp'(z, \tau) = D_z \wp(z, \tau)$ for its derivative with respect to z . Since taking the derivative with respect to z and τ preserves the algebra of quasi-Jacobi forms ([12]) it is easy to see that all of these are (meromorphic) quasi-Jacobi forms.

Proposition 2.1. *The algebra $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[\Theta, A, G_2, \wp, \wp', G_4]$ is a free polynomial ring, and QJac is equal to the subring of all polynomials which define holomorphic functions $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$.*

Proof. It is immediate that if $f \in \mathcal{R}$ is holomorphic, then it is a quasi-Jacobi form. Conversely, divide any quasi-Jacobi form of index $m/2$ by Θ^m . The result then follows from [10, Sec. 2]. \square

Remark 1. The algebra \mathcal{R} is the algebra of all meromorphic quasi-Jacobi forms with the property that all poles are at the lattice points $z = m + n\tau$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, since Θ, A and G_2 lie in \mathcal{R} , it suffices to show that meromorphic Jacobi forms of index 0 with the latter property are elements of \mathcal{R} . For such a Jacobi form there exists a polynomial in \wp and \wp' with modular coefficients such that the sum is holomorphic and elliptic, hence constant. Therefore, every such meromorphic Jacobi form lies in \mathcal{R} . \triangle

The weight and index of the generators of \mathcal{R} are given as follows:

Generator	Weight	Index
Θ	-1	1/2
A	1	0
G_2	2	0
\wp	2	0
\wp'	3	0
G_4	4	0.

Consider the formal derivative operators $\frac{d}{dA}$ and $\frac{d}{dG_2}$. Let wt and ind be the operators which act on $\text{QJac}_{k,m}$ by multiplication by the weight k and the index m respectively. By [12, (12)] we have the commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{d}{dG_2}, D_\tau \right] &= -2\text{wt}, & \left[\frac{d}{dA}, D_z \right] &= 2\text{ind} \\ \left[\frac{d}{dG_2}, D_z \right] &= -2\frac{d}{dA}, & \left[\frac{d}{dA}, D_\tau \right] &= D_z. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The almost-holomorphic Jacobi forms completing A and G_2 are given by

$$\widehat{A} = A + \alpha, \quad \widehat{G}_2 = G_2 + \nu. \quad (9)$$

Moreover all other generators of \mathcal{R} are (meromorphic) Jacobi forms. Hence the formal derivatives $\frac{d}{dA}$ and $\frac{d}{dG_2}$ of a quasi-Jacobi form measure the dependence of its completion on the non-holomorphic variables α and ν , or in other words the failure of a quasi-Jacobi form to be an honest Jacobi form. For a quasi-Jacobi form we call $\frac{d}{dA}\psi$ its holomorphic anomaly. An equation of the form $(\frac{d}{dA}\psi = \dots)$ will be called a holomorphic anomaly equation. Similar definitions apply to $\frac{d}{dG_2}$.

As explained in [12] knowing the holomorphic-anomaly equations of a quasi-Jacobi form is equivalent to knowing their transformation properties under the Jacobi group. Concretely, we have the following (the case of half-integral index is similar):

Lemma 2.2 ([12]). *Let $\psi(z, \tau) \in \text{QJac}_{k,m}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\frac{z}{c\tau+d}, \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) &= (c\tau+d)^k e\left(\frac{cm(z/2\pi i)^2}{c\tau+d}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{c\frac{d}{dG_2}}{4\pi i(c\tau+d)} + \frac{c\frac{z}{2\pi i}\frac{d}{dA}}{c\tau+d}\right) \psi(z, \tau) \\ \psi(z+2\pi i(\lambda\tau+\mu), \tau) &= e\left(-m\lambda^2\tau - 2\lambda m\frac{z}{2\pi i}\right) \exp\left(-\lambda\frac{d}{dA}\right) \psi(z, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, where we write $e(x)$ for $\exp(2\pi ix)$.

2.4 Multivariate quasi-Jacobi forms

As in [12, Sec. 2] one can similarly define quasi-Jacobi forms of rank n in which the dependence of the variable $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is generalized to a dependence on the vector

$$z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The index of quasi-Jacobi forms of rank n is given by a symmetric matrix

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & \cdots & m_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{n1} & \cdots & m_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Although a description of the algebra $\text{QJac}^{(n)}$ of rank n quasi-Jacobi forms in terms of concrete polynomial rings is not available in general, using the expansions (9) shows that we have an embedding

$$\text{QJac}^{(n)} \subset \text{MJac}^{(n)}[G_2, A(z_1), \dots, A(z_n)]$$

where we let $\text{MJac}^{(n)}$ denote the algebra of meromorphic-Jacobi forms of rank n . In particular, the formal derivative operators

$$\frac{d}{dA(z_i)}, \quad \frac{d}{dG_2}$$

are well-defined.⁴ By [12, (12)] the operators satisfy the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{d}{dG_2}, D_\tau \right] &= -2\text{wt}, & \left[\frac{d}{dA(z_i)}, D_{z_j} \right] &= 2\text{ind}_{i,j}, \\ \left[\frac{d}{dG_2}, D_{z_i} \right] &= -2\frac{d}{dA(z_i)}, & \left[\frac{d}{dA(z_i)}, D_\tau \right] &= D_{z_i}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the operator $\text{ind}_{i,j}$ multiplies a quasi-Jacobi form of index m by m_{ij} .

2.5 Polynomiality

The following simple lemma about polynomials will be convenient for us later.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f(u, v)$ be a polynomial in variables u, v and let $F(u)$ be the unique polynomial such that $\forall n \geq 1 : F(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(j, n-j)$. Then*

$$F(-n) = -\sum_{j=1}^n f(-j, -n+j).$$

Proof. For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0$ define

$$G(m, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(j, m-j).$$

This agrees with a unique polynomial $P(m, n)$. Now extend G to all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by setting $G(m, 0) = 0$ and

$$G(m, n) = -\sum_{j=1}^{-n} G(-j, m+j)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n < 0$. We then have that $G(m, n+1) - G(m, n) = f(n, m-n)$ is a polynomial for all m, n . But $P(m, n+1) - P(m, n)$ is also a polynomial. The two polynomials agree for $n > 0$, so they agree for all n ; since G and P also agree for $n > 0$, this means that they must also agree for all n .

The lemma now follows, since it is just saying that $F(-n) = P(-n, -n) = G(-n, -n)$. \square

⁴See also [12] for a direct definition via the almost-holomorphic completions.

We also will find the following language convenient: we say that a set of power series $f_m(z) \in R[[z]]$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some coefficient ring R is *polynomial in m* if there exist polynomials $P_k(u) \in R[u]$ such that

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{Z} : f_m(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} P_k(m) z^k.$$

In our case the coefficient ring R will usually be the ring of quasi-modular forms QMod .

3 Differential equation

In this section we study the function φ_m defined by the differential equation (1) and the constant term $\varphi_m = p^{m/2} - p^{-m/2} + O(q)$. We first prove the evaluation

$$\varphi_m = \text{Res}_{x=0} \left(\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)} \right)^m$$

which immediately implies that φ_m is a quasi-Jacobi form. We then study the Fourier expansion of φ_m , discuss the dependence of φ_m on the parameter m , and derive a holomorphic anomaly equation.

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Define functions φ_m , $m \geq 0$ by the claim of the theorem i.e. let $\varphi_m = \text{Res}_{x=0} \left(\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)} \right)^m$. We need to check that these function satisfy the differential equations (1) and have the right constant term (2). Checking the constant term is straightforward and we omit the details (see also Section 3.2). To check the differential equation we form the generating series $g(y) = \sum_{m \geq 1} y^m \varphi_m / m$. Let also $D_y = y \frac{d}{dy}$. The differential equation (1) is then equivalent to

$$D_\tau^2 g(y) = F(z, \tau) D_y^2 g(y). \quad (11)$$

Consider the function $f(x) = \frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)}$ and apply the variable change

$$y = \frac{1}{f(x)} \iff x = g(y)$$

where we have used Lagrange inversion to identify the inverse of $1/f$ with the generating series $g(y)$. Let $f'(x) := D_x f := \frac{d}{dx} f(x)$. By differentiating $f(g(y)) = y$ and applying the chain rule we find the transformations

$$D_y g(y) = -\frac{f}{f'}, \quad D_\tau g(y) = -\frac{D_\tau f}{f'}, \quad D_y^2 g(y) = -\frac{f}{f'} \cdot \frac{f'' f - (f')^2}{(f')^2},$$

$$D_\tau^2 g(y) = -\frac{1}{(f')^3} [D_\tau^2(f)(f')^2 - 2f' \cdot D_\tau(f)D_\tau(f') + f'' \cdot D_\tau(f)^2].$$

Applying these and changing variables the differential equation (11) becomes

$$D_x(f)^2 D_\tau^2(f) - 2D_x(f)D_x D_\tau(f)D_\tau(f) + D_x^2(f)D_\tau(f)^2 = F(z, \tau) \cdot D_x^2 \log(f) \cdot f^3. \quad (12)$$

The functions $\Theta(x+z)$ and $\Theta(x)$ are Jacobi forms of rank 2 in the elliptic variables (x, z) of index $\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ respectively. Hence $f(x)$ is a Jacobi form of weight 0 and index

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We need to show that the following function vanishes:

$$\mathcal{F}(x, z) = D_x(f)^2 D_\tau^2(f) - 2D_x(f)D_x D_\tau(f)D_\tau(f) + D_x^2(f)D_\tau(f)^2 - F(z, \tau) \cdot D_x^2 \log(f) \cdot f^3.$$

As a polynomial in the derivatives of f , the function \mathcal{F} is a rank 2 quasi-Jacobi form of weight 6 and index $\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$. Using the commutation relations (10) a direct check shows

$$\frac{d}{dG_2} \mathcal{F} = \frac{d}{dA(x)} \mathcal{F} = 0.$$

In particular, by [12, Lem. 6] we have $\mathcal{F}(x+2\pi i\tau, z) = p^{-3} \mathcal{F}(x, z)$. Moreover, by considering the Taylor expansion one checks (e.g. using a computer⁵) that \mathcal{F} is holomorphic at $x=0$ and vanishes to order 3 at $x=-z$ (use the variable change $\tilde{x} = x+z$). We conclude that the ratio \mathcal{F}/f^3 is a doubly periodic and holomorphic in x , so a constant in x . The constant is a quasi-Jacobi form in z and is easily checked to vanish. This shows that the differential equation is satisfied. The claim that the φ_m are quasi-Jacobi forms of the specified weight follows from Lemma 3.1 below. \square

Define the operator on the algebra of quasi-Jacobi forms by

$$D = D_z + 2G_2 \frac{d}{dA}$$

We conclude the following structure result.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $m \geq 0$ there exist modular forms $h_k \in \text{Mod}_{m-k-1}$ such that*

$$\varphi_m = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} h_k(\tau) \cdot D^k(\Theta(z)^m).$$

Hence every φ_m is a quasi-Jacobi form of weight -1 and index $\frac{|m|}{2}$, and $\frac{d}{dG_2} \varphi_m = 0$.

⁵The code for this computation as well as a parallel computation in Section 4.2 can be found on the webpage of the second author. It also contains functions which express the φ_m, φ_{mn} in terms of the generators of \mathcal{R} .

Proof of Corollary. For any power series $f(z)$ we have

$$e^{D_z x} f(z) = f(x + z).$$

Moreover, the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula and the relations (8) yield

$$e^{D_z x} e^{2G_2 \frac{d}{dA} x} = e^{xD - 2x^2 G_2 \text{ind}} = e^{-2x^2 G_2 \text{ind}} e^{xD}. \quad (13)$$

We find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Theta(x+z)^m}{\Theta(x)^m} &= \Theta(x)^{-m} e^{D_z x} (\Theta(z)^m) \\ &= \Theta(x)^{-m} e^{D_z x} e^{2G_2 \frac{d}{dA} x} (\Theta(z)^m) \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} \Theta(x)^{-m} e^{-mx^2 G_2} e^{xD} (\Theta(z)^m) \\ &= x^{-m} \exp\left(2m \sum_{k \geq 4} G_k \frac{x^k}{k!}\right) e^{xD} (\Theta(z)^m) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where we used (7) in the last step. Taking the coefficient of x^{-1} yields the first claim. The second claim follows from the commutation relation $[\frac{d}{dG_2}, D] = 0$. \square

Remark 2. For all $m \geq 0$ we have

$$\varphi_{-m} = \text{Res}_{x=-z} \left(\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)} \right)^{-m}$$

Indeed, after the variable change $x' = -(x+z)$ the right hand side becomes

$$-\text{Res}_{x'=0} \left(\frac{\Theta(-x'-z)}{\Theta(-x')} \right)^m = -\varphi_m. \quad \triangle$$

3.2 Fourier expansion

By integrating the function

$$f_m(x) = \left(\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)} \right)^m.$$

around the sides of a fundamental region and using $f_m(x+\tau, z) = p^{-m} f_m(x, z)$ one gets

$$\varphi_m = \text{Res}_{x=0} f_m = (1 - p^{-m}) \text{Coeff}_{\sigma^0} f_m(x, z, \tau)$$

where $\sigma = e^x$ is the Fourier variable associated to x .⁶

⁶See also [15, App. A] for a similar argument.

An application of the Jacobi triple product and computing the power by m by taking first the log of each product term, multiplying it by m and then exponentiating again, together with a bit of reordering the terms, then yields from this the expression

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_m &= (p^{m/2} - p^{-m/2}) \text{Coeff}_{\sigma^0} \exp \left(\sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{m}{k} \sigma^k \frac{1 - p^k}{1 - q^k} \right) \\ &= (p^{m/2} - p^{-m/2}) \sum_{|a|=0} \left(\prod_i \frac{1 - p^{a_i}}{1 - q^{a_i}} \right) \frac{m^{l(a)}}{\mathfrak{z}(a)}\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

where the sum in the second equation is over all generalized partitions with non-zero parts summing up to 0. Moreover, if we write $a = (i^{a_i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$ then $\mathfrak{z}(a) = \prod_i i^{a_i} a_i!$ is the standard automorphism factor. The first Fourier coefficients of φ_m are

$$\varphi_m = (s^m - s^{-m}) (1 - m^2(s - s^{-1})^2 q + O(q^2))$$

where we have written $s = e^{z/2}$ so $p = s^2$.

3.3 The solution φ_m as a function of m

In this section we consider φ_m as a function of m viewed as a (formal) variable. To distinguish with the case $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we will replace m by a variable u .

We give three different formulas for φ_u . First, consider the expansion

$$F(s, q) = \sum_{k \geq 1} F_k(s) q^k, \quad F_k(s) = - \sum_{d|k} \left(\frac{k}{d} \right)^3 (s^d - s^{-d})^2$$

where as before we have used $s = e^{z/2}$ so $p = s^2$. Then by an immediate check the differential equation (1) for φ_m is equivalent to the following formula:

$$\varphi_u = (p^{u/2} - p^{-u/2}) \left(1 + \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} \frac{F_{k_1}(s) F_{k_2}(s) \cdots F_{k_r}(s)}{k_1^2 (k_1 + k_2)^2 \cdots (k_1 + \dots + k_r)^2} q^{k_1 + \dots + k_r} u^{2r} \right)\tag{16}$$

Second we can use the Fourier expansion of the φ_m as discussed in Section 3.2:

$$\varphi_u = (p^{u/2} - p^{-u/2}) \sum_{|a|=0} \left(\prod_i \frac{1 - p^{a_i}}{1 - q^{a_i}} \right) \frac{u^{l(a)}}{\mathfrak{z}(a)}$$

where we used that (15) makes sense for arbitrary u . We see that Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to the following non-trivial identity:

$$\sum_{|a|=0} \left(\prod_i \frac{1 - p^{a_i}}{1 - q^{a_i}} \right) \frac{u^{l(a)}}{\mathfrak{z}(a)} = 1 + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1}} \frac{F_{k_1}(s) F_{k_2}(s) \cdots F_{k_r}(s)}{k_1^2 (k_1 + k_2)^2 \cdots (k_1 + \dots + k_r)^2} q^{k_1 + \dots + k_r} u^{2r}.$$

For the third formula, we use a Taylor expansion in u . For positive integers u one can write the solution

$$\text{Coeff}_{x^{-1}} \left(\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)} \right)^u$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_u &= \text{Coeff}_{x^{-1}} \frac{(x+z)^u}{x^u} \exp \left(2u \sum_{k \geq 2} G_k \frac{x^k - (x+z)^k}{k!} \right) \\ &= \text{Coeff}_{x^{-1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \binom{u}{\ell} \left(\frac{z}{x} \right)^\ell \exp \left(2u \sum_{k \geq 2} G_k \frac{x^k - (x+z)^k}{k!} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The latter expression makes sense as an element of $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ for all $u \in \mathbb{C}$. For example, the first terms read

$$\varphi_u = uz - G_2 u^3 z^3 + \left(\left(\frac{1}{3} G_2^2 - \frac{1}{72} G_4 \right) u^5 + \left(\frac{1}{6} G_2^2 - \frac{5}{72} G_4 \right) u^3 \right) z^5 + O(z^7).$$

The expansion (17) yields the following important structure result.

Proposition 3.2. *For every $k \geq 1$ there exist odd polynomials $P_k(u)$ of degree $\leq k$ with coefficients in QMod_{k-1} such that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$\varphi_m = \sum_{\text{odd } k \geq 1} z^k P_k(m).$$

Moreover, $P_1(u) = u$ and if $k \geq 2$, then $u^3 \mid P_k(u)$.

3.4 Anomaly equation

We consider the holomorphic anomaly of φ_m with respect to the variable z .

Proposition 3.3. *For all $m \geq 1$ one has*

$$\frac{d}{dA} \varphi_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j \geq 1}} \frac{m^2}{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j.$$

It follows that every z^k coefficient of $\frac{d}{dA} \varphi_m$ is polynomial in m in the range $m \geq 0$. However the dependence on m is only piecewise polynomial in general:

Corollary 3.4. *The difference*

$$\varphi_m^A = \frac{d}{dA} \varphi_m - mz \varphi_m \delta_{m < 0}$$

depends polynomially on m , i.e. there exist polynomials $Q_k(u)$ of degree $\leq k+1$ with coefficients in QMod_{k-2} such that $\varphi_m^A = \sum_{k \geq 2} z^k Q_k(m)$. Moreover, $u^2 \mid Q_k$ for all k .

Proof of Corollary 3.4. We first rewrite the proposition as

$$\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_m = m \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \varphi_j \cdot \frac{\varphi_{m-j}}{m-j}$$

Hence for all $m \geq 0$ we have $\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_m = \sum_n Q_n(m)z^n$ where the polynomials Q_n are determined by

$$Q_n(m) = m \sum_{\substack{k+\ell=n \\ k,\ell \geq 1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} P_k(j) \frac{P_\ell(m-j)}{m-j}$$

for all $m \geq 0$. Here $P_k(m)$ are the polynomials of Proposition 3.2.

For all $m > 0$ by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(-m) &= -(-m) \sum_{\substack{k+\ell=n \\ k,\ell \geq 1}} \sum_{j=1}^m P_k(-j) \left(\frac{P_\ell(u)}{u} \right) \Big|_{u=-m+j} \\ &= -m \sum_{\substack{k+\ell=n \\ k,\ell \geq 1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} P_k(j) \frac{P_\ell(-m+j)}{-m+j} - mP_{n-1}(m), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the second part of Proposition 3.2 for the last equality. Summing up we obtain as desired

$$\varphi_{-m}^A = \sum_n z^n Q_n(-m) = -\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_m - mz\varphi_m. \quad \square$$

Proof of Proposition 3.3. We give first a proof via generating series. As in the proof of Theorem 1.2 consider the generating series

$$g(y) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_m}{m} y^m$$

and let $D_y = y \frac{d}{dy}$. We need to prove the equality

$$\frac{d}{dA(z)} g(y) = g(y) D_y g(y).$$

Let $f(x) = \frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)}$ so that $f(g(y)) = \frac{1}{y}$. Then by $[\frac{d}{dA}, D_z] = 2$ ind we have

$$\frac{d}{dA(z)} f(x) = \frac{d}{dA(z)} \frac{e^{D_z x} \Theta(z)}{\Theta(x)} = \frac{[\frac{d}{dA(z)}, D_z] x e^{D_z x} \Theta(z)}{\Theta(x)} = x \cdot f(x). \quad (18)$$

Applying $\frac{d}{dA}$ to $f(g(y)) = 1/y$ we get $(\frac{d}{dA}f)(g(y)) + (D_x f)(g(y))\frac{d}{dA}g(y) = 0$, and hence

$$\frac{d}{dA(z)}g(y) = -\frac{g(y)}{y \cdot (D_x f)}.$$

Since we also have

$$D_y(f(g(y))) = (D_x f)(g(y))D_y(g(y)) = -\frac{1}{y}, \text{ and hence } \frac{1}{D_x f} = -y \cdot D_y g(y)$$

the claim follows. \square

We give a more direct proof of Proposition 3.3 using the following combinatorial Lemma whose proof follows directly from Lagrange inversion and is left to the reader.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $f(x)$ be a power series and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $m \geq 1$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{m} \cdot [f(x)^m]_{x^{m-k}} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n_1+\dots+n_k=m} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} [f(x)^{n_i}]_{x^{n_i-1}}$$

where we write $[-]_{x^m}$ for taking the coefficient of x^m .

Second proof of Proposition 3.3. Observe that by (18) we have

$$\frac{d}{dA} \left[\left(\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)} \right)^m \right]_{x^{-1}} = m \left[\left(\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)} \right)^m \right]_{x^{-2}},$$

Applying Lemma 3.5 with $k = 2$ and $f = x \frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)}$ yields the desired result. \square

4 Differential equation of the second kind

Recall the two defining properties of the series $\varphi_{m,n}$:

- the differential equation: $D_\tau \varphi_{m,n} = mn \varphi_m \varphi_n F + (D_\tau \varphi_m)(D_\tau \varphi_n)$
- the vanishing of the constant term: $\varphi_{m,n} = O(q)$.

The goal of this section is to first prove that $\varphi_{m,n}$ are quasi-Jacobi forms (Theorem 1.3), and then derive their holomorphic anomaly equations (Section 4.4).

4.1 Polynomiality

We first recall the following.

Proposition 4.1. *If $m \neq -n$ then we have*

$$\varphi_{m,n} = \frac{m}{m+n} \varphi_m D_\tau(\varphi_n) + \frac{n}{m+n} D_\tau(\varphi_m) \varphi_n.$$

Proof. The differential equation follows from the defining differential equation (1) satisfied by φ_m . The vanishing of the constant term is observed directly. \square

By definition and the polynomiality of φ_m the series $\varphi_{m,n}$ is a power series in z and q with coefficients which are polynomials in m and n . We use Proposition 4.1 to prove a stronger statement.

Proposition 4.2. *There exist polynomials $P_r(u, v)$ of degree at most r in variables u, v with coefficients quasi-modular forms of weight r such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$\varphi_{m,n} = \sum_{r>0} z^r P_r(m, n).$$

Moreover, the polynomials $P_r(u, v)$ are divisible by both u^2 and v^2 .

Proof. By the defining differential equation (5) and the polynomiality of φ_m there exist polynomials $P_{a,r}(u, v)$ of degree $r+2$ with rational coefficients such that

$$\varphi_{m,n} = \sum_{r>0} z^r \sum_{a \geq 1} q^a P_{a,r}(m, n)$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here we have $r > 0$ since $\varphi_m(z=0) = 0$ for all m .

On the other hand by Proposition 4.1 for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \neq -n$ we have

$$\varphi_{m,n} = \sum_{r>0} z^r \frac{1}{m+n} \sum_{k+\ell=r} \left(n D_\tau(P_k(m)) P_\ell(n) + m P_k(m) D_\tau(P_\ell(n)) \right)$$

where $P_k(u)$ are the polynomials of Proposition 3.2. Since the inner sum vanishes when setting $m = -n$ and it is polynomial of degree at most $r+1$ in m, n , there exists a polynomial $P_r(u, v)$ of degree at most r with coefficients in \mathbf{QMod}_r such that

$$\varphi_{m,n} = \sum_{r>0} z^r P_r(m, n)$$

whenever $m \neq -n$.

The equality of polynomials

$$\sum_{a \geq 1} q^a P_{a,r}(u, v) = P_r(u, v)$$

holds after evaluating (u, v) at (m, n) for all integers $m \neq -n$. Hence the equality holds as an equality of polynomials.

The last statement follows since $nD_\tau(P_k(m))P_\ell(n) + mP_k(m)D_\tau(P_\ell(n))$ is divisible by both m^2 and n^2 , hence the same holds for the term obtained by dividing by $m + n$. \square

Example 4.3. The first terms in the Fourier and Taylor expansions of $\varphi_{m,n}$ are

$$\varphi_{m,n} = -mn(s^m - s^{-m})(s^n - s^{-n})(s - s^{-1})^2q + O(q^2)$$

where $s = e^{z/2}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{u,v} = & \left((2G_2^2 - \frac{5}{6}G_4)u^2v^2 \right) z^4 + \left((-\frac{4}{3}G_2^3 + \frac{2}{3}G_2G_4 - \frac{7}{720}G_6)(u^4v^2 + u^2v^4) \right. \\ & \left. + (-\frac{2}{3}G_2^3 + \frac{1}{6}G_2G_4 + \frac{7}{720}G_6)u^3v^3 + (-\frac{2}{3}G_2^3 + \frac{5}{6}G_2G_4 - \frac{7}{144}G_6)u^2v^2 \right) z^6 + O(z^7). \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Holomorphic anomaly equations

From Proposition 4.1 we can deduce for all $m \neq -n$ the following anomaly equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n} = & \frac{n}{m+n} \left(D_z(\varphi_m)\varphi_n + D_\tau\left(\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_m\right)\varphi_n + D_\tau(\varphi_m) \cdot \frac{d}{dA}\varphi_n \right) \\ & + \frac{m}{m+n} \left(\varphi_m D_z(\varphi_n) + \left(\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_m\right) \cdot D_\tau(\varphi_n) + \varphi_m \cdot D_\tau\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_n \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

By the anomaly equation for φ_m this gives an expression for $\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n}$ whenever $m \neq -n$.

In case $m, n > 0$ we can find a more efficient equation:

Proposition 4.4. For all $m, n > 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n} = \frac{m \cdot n}{m+n}\varphi_{m+n} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{m}{j}\varphi_{m-j,n}\varphi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{j}\varphi_{m,n-j}\varphi_j \quad (20)$$

Proof. We prove that the right hand side in (20) is equal to the right hand side in (19). By the anomaly equation for φ_m and comparing terms it is equivalent to prove the following equation for all $m, n \geq 1$:

$$\varphi_{m+n} = \frac{1}{m}D_z(\varphi_m)\varphi_n + \frac{1}{n}\varphi_m D_z(\varphi_n) + \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{1}{i}\varphi_{i,n}\varphi_j + \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{1}{i}\varphi_{i,m}\varphi_j. \quad (21)$$

We multiply both sides with $x^m y^n$ and sum over all $m, n \geq 1$. With $g(x) = \sum_{m \geq 1} x^m \varphi_m / m$ the equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{yD_x g(x) - xD_y g(y)}{x-y} = & D_z g(x) \cdot D_y g(y) + D_x g(x) \cdot D_z g(y) \\ & + ((D_x + D_y)^{-1} D_y h(x, y)) D_x g(x) + ((D_x + D_y)^{-1} D_x h(x, y)) D_y g(y) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $(D_x + D_y)^{-1}$ acts term-wise by multiplying the coefficient of $x^m y^n$ by $(m + n)^{-1}$ (this is well defined since both m, n are positive for all non-zero coefficients) and we have used $(D_x + D_y) \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{m,n}}{m} x^m y^n = D_y h(x, y)$ with

$$h(x, y) = D_x g(x) \cdot D_\tau g(y) + D_\tau g(x) \cdot D_y g(y).$$

Rewriting $D_y = (D_x + D_y) - D_x$ we have

$$(D_x + D_y)^{-1} D_y h = h - (D_x + D_y)^{-1} D_x h.$$

Inserting this the $(D_x + D_y)^{-1}$ term factors out and we obtain that (22) is equivalent to

$$D_x h = (D_x + D_y) \left(\frac{1}{D_y g(y) - D_x g(x)} \times \left(\frac{y D_x g(x) - x D_y g(y)}{x - y} - D_z g(x) \cdot D_y g(y) + D_x g(x) \cdot D_z g(y) \right) \right)$$

Expanding and using that $(D_x + D_y)(y/(x - y)) = 0$ this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_x^2 g(x) \cdot D_y g(y) - D_x g(x) \cdot D_y^2 g(y)) \cdot (1 + D_z g(x) + D_z g(y) + h) \\ & + (D_y g(y) - D_x g(x)) \cdot \left(D_z (D_x g(x) \cdot D_y g(y)) + D_x g(x) D_y (h) + D_y g(y) D_x h \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We consider again the function $f(x) = \frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)}$ and apply the variable change

$$x = \frac{1}{f(\tilde{x})}, \quad y = \frac{1}{f(\tilde{y})} \quad \iff \quad \tilde{x} = g(x), \quad \tilde{y} = g(y).$$

Let us denote $f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} f(x)$. We then have the transformations

$$\begin{aligned} D_x g(x) &= -\frac{f}{f'} & D_z g(x) &= -\frac{D_z f}{f} \\ D_x^2 g(x) &= -\frac{f}{f'} \cdot \frac{f'' f - (f')^2}{(f')^2} & D_\tau g(x) &= -\frac{D_\tau f}{f'} \\ D_x D_\tau g(x) &= -\frac{f}{f'} \cdot \frac{f'' D_\tau(f) - f' D_\tau(f')}{(f')^2}, & D_x D_z g(x) &= -\frac{f}{f'} \cdot \frac{f'' D_z(f) - f' D_z(f')}{(f')^2} \end{aligned}$$

where on the right hand side we have omitted the argument \tilde{x} in f and its derivatives. After changing variables and clearing denominators we find that (23) is equivalent to

$$(f''(x) f(x) f'(y)^2 - f''(y) f(y) f'(x)^2) \cdot C + (f(x) f'(y) - f'(x) f(y)) \cdot D = 0 \quad (24)$$

where we have written x, y for \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} and

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= f'(x)f'(y) - D_z f(x) \cdot f'(y) - f'(x)D_z f(y) + f(x)D_\tau f(y) + D_\tau f(x) \cdot f(y) \\
D &= (f''(x)D_z f(x) - f'(x)D_z f'(x)) f'(y)^2 \\
&\quad + (f''(y)D_z f(y) - f'(y)D_z f'(y)) f'(x)^2 \\
&\quad - (f''(x)f(x) - f'(x)^2) f'(y)D_\tau f(y) - (f''(x)D_\tau f(x) - f'(x)D_\tau f'(x)) f(y)f'(y) \\
&\quad - (f''(y)f(y) - f'(y)^2) f'(x)D_\tau f(x) - (f''(y)D_\tau f(y) - f'(y)D_\tau f'(y)) f(x)f'(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}(x, y, z, \tau)$ be the left hand side of (24). We need to show that $\mathcal{F} = 0$. We will argue as in Section 3.1. Since it is a polynomial in derivatives of Jacobi forms the function \mathcal{F} is a quasi-Jacobi form of the three elliptic variables x, y, z . It is of weight 6 and index

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3/2 \\ 3/2 & 3/2 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A quick check using the commutation relations (10) shows that in the algebra of such quasi-Jacobi forms we have

$$\frac{d}{dG_2} \mathcal{F} = \frac{d}{dA(x)} \mathcal{F} = \frac{d}{dA(y)} \mathcal{F} = 0.$$

By a direct check (e.g. using a computer) \mathcal{F} has no poles at $y = 0$ and vanishes to order 3 at $y = -z$. Hence the ratio

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}(x, y)}{f(x)^3 f(y)^3},$$

is holomorphic in y . Since by [12, Lem. 6] it is also 2-periodic, we find that it is constant in y . But \mathcal{F} is symmetric in x and y so it is also constant in x . By checking that the constant term vanishes we are done. \square

Remark 3. In the proof we established (21), which is precisely Proposition 1.4.

By Proposition 4.1 for all $m, n > 0$ the function $\varphi_{m,n}$ is determined by φ_m and φ_n . Hence (21) yields recursive formulas for φ_m , and hence provides an alternative definition of the set of functions φ_m starting from the initial condition $\varphi_1 = \Theta(z)$. For example, the case $(n, 1)$ yields

$$\varphi_{n+1} = D_z(\varphi_1)\varphi_n + \frac{1}{n}\varphi_1 D_z(\varphi_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i}\varphi_{i,1}\varphi_{n-i}. \quad \triangle$$

4.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We need to show that for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\varphi_{n,-n} - n \in \text{QJac}_{0,n}.$$

The idea of the proof is to consider the two expressions for $\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n}$ for positive m, n given by (19) and (20). These terms are equal for $m > 0$, and (with minor modifications) they have natural extensions to $m \leq 0$. We will observe that these extensions are both polynomial in m (when fixing n) up to the same non-polynomial correction term. Hence they are equal for all m .

Concretely, let $n > 0$ be fixed and let $R(m, n)$ be the right hand side of (19). Then by Corollary 3.4 the sum of $R(m, n)$ and

$$-mz\delta_{m<0} \left(\frac{n}{m+n} D_\tau(\varphi_m)\varphi_n + \frac{m}{m+n} \varphi_m D_\tau(\varphi_n) \right) = -mz\delta_{m<0}\varphi_{m,n}$$

is polynomial in m . We write

$$\tilde{R}(m, n) = R(m, n) - mz\varphi_{m,n}\delta_{m<0}$$

to denote this polynomial function.

We consider now the right hand side of (20) and we want to make sense of it for negative m . For all $m \geq 0$, with $m \neq n$ in the second line, define

$$S(m, n) := \frac{m \cdot n}{m+n} \varphi_{m+n} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{m}{j} \varphi_{m-j,n} \varphi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{j} \varphi_{m,n-j} \varphi_j$$

$$S(-m, n) := \frac{-m \cdot n}{-m+n} \varphi_{-m+n} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{m}{j} \varphi_{-m+j,n} \varphi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{j} \varphi_{-m,n-j} \varphi_j.$$

By a direct application of Lemma 2.3 the sum

$$\tilde{S}(m, n) = S(m, n) - mz\varphi_{m,n}\delta_{m<0}$$

is polynomial in m .

By Proposition 4.4 we have $R(m, n) = S(m, n)$, hence $\tilde{R}(m, n) = \tilde{S}(m, n)$ for all $m > 0$. By polynomiality in m we get $R(m, n) = S(m, n)$ for all $m \neq -n$. Thus

$$\forall m \neq -n : R(m, n) = S(m, n). \quad (25)$$

We specialize (25) to $m = -n - 1$. Since

$$S(-n-1, n) = -(n+1)n\varphi_1 + (n+1)\varphi_{-n,n}\varphi_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{n+1}{j} \varphi_{-(n+1)+j,n} \varphi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{j} \varphi_{-(n+1),n-j} \varphi_j$$

and $\varphi_1 = \Theta(z)$, the equation (25) yields

$$\varphi_{-n,n-n} = \frac{1}{(n+1)\Theta} \left(R(-n-1, n) - \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{n+1}{j} \varphi_{-(n+1)+j,n} \varphi_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{j} \varphi_{-(n+1),n-j} \varphi_j \right).$$

The term in the bracket on the right lies in $\mathbb{Q}\text{Jac}_{-1,n+1/2}$ by inspection. Moreover, again by inspection it vanishes at $z = 0$. Hence it must be divisible in algebra of quasi-Jacobi forms by $\Theta(z)$. This gives $\varphi_{-n,n} - n \in \mathbb{Q}\text{Jac}_{0,n}$. \square

Remark 4. The proof yields more information. For $m \neq -n$ we have $\frac{d}{dA} \varphi_{m,n} = R(m, n)$ by (19). Using that $R(m, n) = S(m, n)$ for all $m \neq -n$ we find the anomaly equation

$$\frac{d}{dA} \varphi_{-m,n} = \frac{-m \cdot n}{-m+n} \varphi_{-m+n} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{m}{j} \varphi_{-m+j,n} \varphi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{j} \varphi_{-m,n-j} \varphi_j$$

where $m, n > 0$ and $m \neq -n$. \triangle

4.4 Holomorphic anomaly equations II

We finally derive the precise modular properties of the functions $\varphi_{m,n}$ in terms of holomorphic anomaly equations.

Proposition 4.5. *For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$(a) \quad \frac{d}{dG_2} \varphi_{m,n} = 2\varphi_m \varphi_n.$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{d}{dA} \varphi_{m,n} = \frac{m \cdot n}{m+n} \varphi_{m+n} + \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{|m|}{j} \varphi_{i,n} \varphi_j + \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{|n|}{j} \varphi_{m,i} \varphi_j$$

with the convention in (b) that the first term vanishes if $m+n=0$ and that in a sum with condition $i+j=\ell$ (for $\ell=m$ or $\ell=n$) we sum over all positive i, j if ℓ is positive, and over all negative i, j if ℓ is negative.

Proof. Part (a) follows from the defining differential equation (5) by applying d/dG_2 . In part (b) by Proposition 4.4 and Remark 4 we only need to prove the case $m = -n$. For that we restrict ourself to the region $m < 0$ and $n > 0$. Applying d/dA to (5) yields

$$D_z \varphi_{m,n} + D_\tau \frac{d}{dA} \varphi_{m,n} = \frac{d}{dA} (mn \varphi_m \varphi_n F + (D_\tau \varphi_m)(D_\tau \varphi_n)).$$

The right-hand side and the first term on the left-hand side are polynomial in m and n (in the considered region). Hence $\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n}$ is polynomial in m, n up to a constant in q .⁷ Let $T(u, v)$ be the polynomial series such that

$$T(m, n) = \frac{m \cdot n}{m + n} \varphi_{m+n} + \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{|m|}{j} \varphi_{i,n} \varphi_j + \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{|n|}{j} \varphi_{m,i} \varphi_j$$

for all $m \neq -n$ in the region. We already know $T(m, n) = \frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n}$ for all $m \neq -n$ so by the polynomiality of $\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n}$ we get for all m, n in the region

$$T(m, n) = \frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n} + c_{m,n}(z)$$

for some $c_{m,n}(z)$ which does not depend on q . Specializing to $m = -n$ we see

$$\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{-n,n} + c_{-n,n}(z) = T(-n, n) = -n^2 z + \sum_{i+j=-n} \frac{n}{j} \varphi_{i,n} \varphi_j + \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{n}{j} \varphi_{-n,i} \varphi_j.$$

But $\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{-n,n}$ is homogeneous as a quasi-Jacobi form of weight -1 and index n . Hence the constant terms in q on both sides must match up and so as desired

$$\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{-n,n} = \sum_{i+j=-n} \frac{n}{j} \varphi_{i,n} \varphi_j + \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{n}{j} \varphi_{-n,i} \varphi_j. \quad \square$$

Remark 5. Once we know that $\varphi_{n,-n}$ is quasi-Jacobi and know its A -derivative it is not difficult to derive a recursive formula for it (ignoring that we already obtained a formula in the proof of Theorem 1.3). Indeed, consider the defining differential equation

$$D_\tau \varphi_{m,n} = mn \varphi_m \varphi_n F + (D_\tau \varphi_m)(D_\tau \varphi_n).$$

Applying $\frac{d}{dA}$ twice and using the commutation relations we get

$$(|m|+|n|)\varphi_{m,n} + 2D_z \frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n} + D_\tau \left(\frac{d}{dA} \right)^2 \varphi_{m,n} = \left(\frac{d}{dA} \right)^2 \left(mn \varphi_m \varphi_n F + (D_\tau \varphi_m)(D_\tau \varphi_n) \right)$$

Since $\left(\frac{d}{dA} \right)^i \varphi_{m,n}$ is determined recursively from functions indexed by m', n' with $m' + n' < m + n$ this yields one more formula for $\varphi_{m,n}$. \triangle

⁷There is a small subtlety here since at first it only follows that $\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n}$ is a power series in z, q whose coefficients are polynomial in m, n . But then $\frac{d}{dA}\varphi_{m,n}$ is a quasi-Jacobi form for every m, n so that this actually has to be a power series in z with coefficients which are polynomials with coefficients quasi-modular forms (of determined weight).

5 The classical Kaneko–Zagier equation

The differential equation introduced by Kaneko and Zagier [9] can be characterized among quadratic differential equations as those for which the solution space is invariant under the modular transformation for the full modular group, so that it is essentially unique [7]. If one however considers congruence subgroups, further differential equations of the same type have been found by Kaneko and Koike [8]. In this section we give a general construction which takes as input a meromorphic Jacobi form of weight -1 and gives as output a differential equation of Kaneko–Zagier type. The two Kaneko–Zagier equations above and our case studied in this paper are all given by this construction.⁸

5.1 A general construction

A general recipe to construct Kaneko–Zagier type differential equations is as follows. Let g be a meromorphic Jacobi form of weight -1 . Define

$$E(\tau) = \frac{D_\tau g(\tau)}{g(\tau)} \quad \text{and} \quad H(\tau) = \frac{D_\tau^2 g(\tau)}{g(\tau)}.$$

By construction E and H are meromorphic quasi-Jacobi forms. For all $m \geq 1$ we consider the differential equation

$$D_\tau^2 g_m = m^2 H(\tau) g_m.$$

To obtain the connection to the classical presentation, we set $m = k + 1$, and consider

$$f_k = g_{k+1}/g^{k+1}$$

which is of weight k . The corresponding differential equation for f_k reads

$$D_\tau^2 f_k + 2(k+1)E(\tau)D_\tau f_k + k(k+1)(E(\tau)^2 - H(\tau))f_k = 0.$$

For this choice of g (and hence of E), we define a modified Serre derivative

$$\theta_g = D_\tau + E \text{ wt}.$$

The operator θ_g is a derivation vanishing on g . Moreover, the above differential equation can be rewritten as

$$\theta_g^2 f_k = H \text{ wt}(\text{wt} + 2)f_k. \tag{26}$$

We give several examples:

⁸A certain differential equation for index 1 Jacobi forms was studied by Kiyuna [17] and was called a Kaneko–Zagier type equation. However, since it is of 4-th order it does not fit our framework.

(0) In this paper we considered the case $g(z, \tau) = \Theta(z, \tau)$ (which contains the cases $g(\tau) = \Theta(a, \tau)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Q}$).

(1) For the classical Kaneko–Zagier equation we let

$$g(\tau) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^2}$$

and get $H(\tau) = E_4(\tau)/144$. The operator θ_g is the Serre derivative.

(2) For the differential equation studied in [8] we take

$$g(\tau) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)}$$

and get

$$E(\tau) = \frac{1}{24}(E_2(\tau) + 2E_2(2\tau)) \quad 2^6 H(\tau) = \frac{1}{5}(E_4(\tau) + 4E_4(2\tau)).$$

The operator θ_g matches the derivative operator of [8, Sec. 2]. Unpublished work by Tomoaki Nakaya [18, Section 3.5] shows that in this case

$$f_k = \text{Res}_{z=0} \left(D_z \frac{\Theta(2z, 2\tau)}{\Theta(z, \tau)^2} \right)^{-(k+1)/2}$$

is a solution of the differential equation (26) for all $k \geq 1$.

5.2 Recursive construction of the solutions

Let f_k and f_l be two solutions of (26) of weight k and l respectively. We write

$$\begin{aligned} [f, h] &:= k\theta_g(f)h - lf\theta_g(h) \\ &= kD_\tau(f)h - lfD_\tau(h) \end{aligned}$$

which specializes to the first Rankin–Cohen bracket on modular forms.

Proposition 5.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_g[f_k, f_l] &= \frac{k-l}{l+2}[f_k, \theta_g(f_l)] \\ \theta_g^2[f_k, f_l] &= (k-l)(k-l-2)H[f_k, f_l] + k(k-l)f_k[f_l, H]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from a direct computation. □

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose that $[f_l, H] = 0$. Then*

$$[f_k, f_l]g^{2l+4} \quad \text{and} \quad [f_k g^{2k+2}, f_l]g^{-2k-2}$$

are solutions of (26) of weight $k - l - 2$ and $k + l + 2$ respectively.

Hence if a function f_l as in the corollary exists, then from any given solution we can recursively write down solutions of (26) with weight in the same residue class modulo l .

Example 5.3. For the classical Kaneko–Zagier equation we can take $f_l = E_4$. Then indeed $[f_l, H] = 0$, so that if f_k is a solution we have that $[f_k, E_4]/\Delta$ is a solution of weight $k - 6$, and $[f_k \eta^{-4k-4}, E_4] \eta^{4k+4}$ is a solution of weight $k + 6$. The first of these equations can also be found in [7, Proposition 1(i)].

Example 5.4. For the Kaneko–Zagier equation in Example (2) we can take $f_l = 2E_2(2\tau) - E_2(\tau)$. Then, indeed $[f_l, H] = 0$, so solutions can be constructed 4-periodically, compare also with [8].

Remark 6. However, in the differential equation of Example (0) considered in this paper, it turns out that the recursive structure described in Corollary 5.2 does not exist. To see this, suppose (for our general family of Kaneko–Zagier equations) that there exists a solution f_l and that moreover we have $[f_l, H] = 0$. Then the condition $[f_l, H] = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\theta_g f_l = \frac{l}{4} \left(\frac{D_\tau^3 g}{D_\tau^2 g} + 3 \frac{D_\tau g}{g} \right) f_l.$$

Applying θ_g to this equation and using the differential equation for the left hand side, we obtain

$$16(l+2) \frac{D_\tau^2 g}{g} = 4 \frac{D_\tau^4 g}{D_\tau^2 g} + 12 \frac{D_\tau^2 g}{g} + (l-4) \left(\frac{D_\tau^3 g}{D_\tau^2 g} \right)^2 + 2(3l+4) \frac{D_\tau^3 g}{D_\tau^2 g} \frac{D_\tau g}{g} + 3(3l+4) \left(\frac{D_\tau g}{g} \right)^2.$$

For $g = \eta^{-2}$ this equation is only satisfied if $l = 4$, and for $g = (\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau))^{-1}$ only if $l = 2$. However, for $g = \Theta(z)$ this equation is never satisfied, so Corollary 5.2 cannot be applied. \triangle

References

- [1] J. Bryan, G. Oberdieck, *CHL Calabi–Yau threefolds: Curve counting, Mathieu moonshine and Siegel modular forms*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **14** (2020), no. 4, 785–862.
- [2] A. Beauville, *Counting rational curves on K3 surfaces*, Duke Math. J. **97** (1999), no. 1, 99–108.

- [3] J. Bryan and N. C. Leung, *The enumerative geometry of K3 surfaces and modular forms*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), no. 2, 371–410.
- [4] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms*, volume 55 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.
- [5] V. Gritsenko, N. Skoruppa, D. Zagier, *Theta Blocks*, arXiv:1907.00188
- [6] F. Janda, R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, D. Zvonkine, *Double ramification cycles on the moduli spaces of curves*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **125** (2017), 221–266.
- [7] M. Kaneko, M. Koike, *On modular forms arising from a differential equation of hypergeometric type*, Rankin memorial issues. Ramanujan J. **7** (2003), no. 1-3, 145–164.
- [8] M. Kaneko, M. Koike, *Quasimodular solutions of a differential equation of hypergeometric type*. Galois theory and modular forms, 329–336, Dev. Math., 11, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [9] M. Kaneko, D. Zagier, *Supersingular j -invariants, hypergeometric series, and Atkin’s orthogonal polynomials*. Computational perspectives on number theory (Chicago, IL, 1995), 97–126, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [10] A. Libgober, *Elliptic genera, real algebraic varieties and quasi-Jacobi forms*, Topology of stratified spaces, 95–120, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 58, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [11] D. Maulik, R. Pandharipande, R. P. Thomas, *Curves on K3 surfaces and modular forms*, with an appendix by A. Pixton, J. Topol. **3** (2010), no. 4, 937–996.
- [12] G. Oberdieck, A. Pixton, *Gromov–Witten theory of elliptic fibrations: Jacobi forms and holomorphic anomaly equations*, Geom. Topol. **23** (2019), no. 3, 1415–1489.
- [13] G. Oberdieck, *Gromov–Witten invariants of the Hilbert scheme of points of a K3 surface*, Geom. Topol. **22** (2018), no. 1, 323–437.
- [14] G. Oberdieck, R. Pandharipande, *Curve counting on $K3 \times E$, the Igusa cusp form χ_{10} , and descendent integration*, in K3 surfaces and their moduli, C. Faber, G. Farkas, and G. van der Geer, eds., Birkhauser Prog. in Math. 315 (2016), 245–278.
- [15] G. Oberdieck, A. Pixton, *Holomorphic anomaly equations and the Igusa cusp form conjecture*, Invent. Math. **213** (2018), no. 2, 507–587.

- [16] G. Oberdieck, *Gromov–Witten theory of $K3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and quasi-Jacobi forms*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2019, no. 16, 4966–5011.
- [17] T. Kiyuna, *Kaneko–Zagier type equation for Jacobi forms of index 1*, Ramanujan J. **39** (2016), no. 2, 347–362.
- [18] 中屋智瑛 (Tomoaki Nakaya), 古典的楕円関数と保型微分方程式について [*On classical elliptic functions and automorphic differential equations*], Unpublished conference proceedings of the 13th Fukuoka mathematical research conference, communicated by Masanobu Kaneko.
- [19] A. Weil, *Elliptic functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 88. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. ii+93 pp.