

Curve counting on the Enriques surface and the Klemm-Marino formula

Georg Oberdieck (KTH)

October 2, 2023
Intercontinental moduli and algebraic geometry

Goal: Compute the Gromov-Witten invariants of a compact Calabi-Yau threefold.

This is very difficult.

Instead it is easier to first consider the local case

$$X = \text{Tot}(\omega_S), \quad S \text{ smooth projective surface.}$$

This is best understood for K3 surfaces and says also something about compact CY3 geometry.

K3 surfaces

The moduli space of stable maps to a K3 surface S has a *reduced* virtual class

$$[\overline{M}_g(S, \beta)]^{\text{red}} \in \text{CH}_g(\overline{M}_g(S, \beta))$$

Let $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g(S, \beta)$ be the Hodge bundle and let $\lambda_i = c_i(\mathbb{E})$.

We define the invariants

$$N_{g, \beta} := \int_{[\overline{M}_g(S, \beta)]^{\text{red}}} (-1)^g \lambda_g$$

This can be viewed as the definition of GW invariants of the local surface $X = \text{Tot}(\omega_S) = S \times \mathbb{C}$ (as an equivariant residue).

The moduli space of stable maps to a K3 surface S has a *reduced* virtual class

$$[\overline{M}_g(S, \beta)]^{\text{red}} \in \text{CH}_g(\overline{M}_g(S, \beta))$$

Let $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g(S, \beta)$ be the Hodge bundle and let $\lambda_i = c_i(\mathbb{E})$.
We define the invariants

$$N_{g, \beta} := \int_{[\overline{M}_g(S, \beta)]^{\text{red}}} (-1)^g \lambda_g$$

This can be viewed as the definition of GW invariants of the local surface $X = \text{Tot}(\omega_S) = S \times \mathbb{C}$ (as an equivariant residue).

Theorem (Maulik-Pandharipande, 2007)

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ be a K3-fibered Calabi-Yau threefold with at most nodal fibers. Then the Gromov-Witten invariants of X in fiber classes is determined by:

- (a) Noether-Lefschetz numbers of the family π (modular, easily computable)
- (b) The invariants $N_{g, \beta}$.

Katz-Klemm-Vafa formula

The invariants $N_{g,\beta}$ have been conjectured by Katz-Klemm-Vafa in 1999 and then proven in a long journey by many authors (Bryan, Leung, Maulik, Pandharipande, Thomas, ...).

Katz-Klemm-Vafa formula

The invariants $N_{g,\beta}$ have been conjectured by Katz-Klemm-Vafa in 1999 and then proven in a long journey by many authors (Bryan, Leung, Maulik, Pandharipande, Thomas, ...).

Define the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $n_{g,\beta}$ by

$$\sum_{\beta} \sum_{g} N_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} t^{\beta} = \sum_{\beta} \sum_{g} n_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{1}{d} \left(\frac{\sin(du/2)}{u/2} \right)^{2g-2} t^{d\beta}$$

This formally subtracts contributions from multiple covers and contracted components.

Katz-Klemm-Vafa formula

The invariants $N_{g,\beta}$ have been conjectured by Katz-Klemm-Vafa in 1999 and then proven in a long journey by many authors (Bryan, Leung, Maulik, Pandharipande, Thomas, ...).

Define the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $n_{g,\beta}$ by

$$\sum_{\beta} \sum_g N_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} t^{\beta} = \sum_{\beta} \sum_g n_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{1}{d} \left(\frac{\sin(du/2)}{u/2} \right)^{2g-2} t^{d\beta}$$

This formally subtracts contributions from multiple covers and contracted components.

Theorem (Pandharipande-Thomas, 2015)

- (a) The invariants $n_{g,\beta}$ only depend upon β through $\beta \cdot \beta$.
- (b) If $\beta^2 = 2h - 2$ write $n_{g,h} := n_{g,\beta}$. Then

$$\sum_{g,h} (-1)^g n_{g,h} (p^{1/2} - p^{-1/2})^{2g} q^h = \prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - p^{-1} q^m)^2 (1 - q^m)^{20} (1 - p q^m)^2}$$

Proof: Prove GW/PT correspondence for $S \times \mathbb{C}$ by reducing it via Noether-Lefschetz theory to GW/PT for compact CY3 where it is known [P-Pixton]; prove (a) by localization of PT on $S \times \mathbb{C}$ and constructing additional cosections.

Enriques surfaces

An Enriques surface is a smooth projective surface Y with non-trivial canonical bundle such that

$$\omega_Y^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y, \quad H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0.$$

The double cover associated to ω_Y is a K3 surface X , so $Y = X/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Hodge diamond of an Enriques:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & 1 & \\ & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & & 10 & \\ & 0 & & 0 \\ & & 1 & \end{array}$$

Enriques surfaces

An Enriques surface is a smooth projective surface Y with non-trivial canonical bundle such that

$$\omega_Y^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y, \quad H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0.$$

The double cover associated to ω_Y is a K3 surface X , so $Y = X/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Hodge diamond of an Enriques:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & 1 & \\ & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & & 10 & \\ & 0 & & 0 \\ & & 1 & \end{array}$$

No reduction necessary!

$$[\overline{M}_g(Y, \beta)]^{\text{vir}} \in \text{CH}_{g-1}(\overline{M}_g(Y, \beta))$$

The Gromov-Witten invariants of the local Enriques are defined by

$$N_{g, \beta} = \int_{[\overline{M}_g(Y, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} (-1)^{g-1} \lambda_{g-1}$$

Some previous conjectures and results:

- ▶ 1896: Enriques discovered the Enriques surface
- ▶ 1998: Harvey-Moore made proposal for $N_{1,\beta}$
- ▶ 2005: Klemm-Marino conjectured formula for $N_{g,\beta}$ (and corrected H-M proposal)
- ▶ 2006: Maulik-Pandharipande: Two results:
 - (a) If Virasoro constraints hold for $\text{GW}(Y)$ in genus 2, then Klemm-Marino conjecture true in genus 1.
 - (b) $N_{g,\beta}$ related to a certain compact K3-fibered CY3 (the Enriques Calabi-Yau).

The Klemm-Marino formula

Define *twisted* Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $n_{g,\beta}$ of the local Enriques surface by

$$\sum_{\beta} \sum_g N_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} t^{\beta} = \sum_{\beta} \sum_g n_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} \sum_{\substack{d \geq 1 \\ d \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{d} \left(\frac{\sin(du/2)}{u/2} \right)^{2g-2} t^{d\beta}$$

The Klemm-Marino formula

Define *twisted* Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $n_{g,\beta}$ of the local Enriques surface by

$$\sum_{\beta} \sum_{g} N_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} t^{\beta} = \sum_{\beta} \sum_{g} n_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} \sum_{\substack{d \geq 1 \\ d \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{d} \left(\frac{\sin(du/2)}{u/2} \right)^{2g-2} t^{d\beta}$$

Conjecture (Klemm-Marino formula)

- (a) The invariants $n_{g,\beta}$ only depend upon β through $\beta \cdot \beta$.
- (b) If $\beta^2 = 2h - 2$ write $n_{g,h} := n_{g,\beta}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g,h} (-1)^{g-1} n_{g,h} (p^{1/2} - p^{-1/2})^{2g-2} q^{h-1} \\ = \prod_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{(1 - p^{-1}q^m)^2 (1 - q^m)^4 (1 - pq^m)^2} \prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - q^m)^8} \end{aligned}$$

The Klemm-Marino formula

Define *twisted* Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $n_{g,\beta}$ of the local Enriques surface by

$$\sum_{\beta} \sum_{g} N_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} t^{\beta} = \sum_{\beta} \sum_{g} n_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} \sum_{\substack{d \geq 1 \\ d \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{d} \left(\frac{\sin(du/2)}{u/2} \right)^{2g-2} t^{d\beta}$$

Conjecture (Klemm-Marino formula)

- (a) The invariants $n_{g,\beta}$ only depend upon β through $\beta \cdot \beta$.
- (b) If $\beta^2 = 2h - 2$ write $n_{g,h} := n_{g,\beta}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g,h} (-1)^{g-1} n_{g,h} (p^{1/2} - p^{-1/2})^{2g-2} q^{h-1} \\ = \prod_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{(1 - p^{-1}q^m)^2 (1 - q^m)^4 (1 - pq^m)^2} \prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - q^m)^8} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (O. 2023)

Conjecture holds.

Reformulation in genus 1 (for fun)

In genus 1 we can restate the Klemm-Marino formula as

$$\exp \left(\sum_{\beta \neq 0} N_{1,\beta} t^\beta \right) = \prod_{\beta > 0} \left(\frac{1+t^\beta}{1-t^\beta} \right)^{a(\beta^2/2)}$$

where the coefficients $a(n)$ are defined by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) q^n = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1+q^n)^8}{(1-q^n)^8} = 1 + 16q + 144q^2 + 960q^3 + 5264q^4 + \dots$$

This can be identified with Borcherds famous automorphic form on the moduli space of Enriques surfaces.

Reformulation in genus 1 (for fun)

In genus 1 we can restate the Klemm-Marino formula as

$$\exp \left(\sum_{\beta \neq 0} N_{1,\beta} t^\beta \right) = \prod_{\beta > 0} \left(\frac{1+t^\beta}{1-t^\beta} \right)^{a(\beta^2/2)}$$

where the coefficients $a(n)$ are defined by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) q^n = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1+q^n)^8}{(1-q^n)^8} = 1 + 16q + 144q^2 + 960q^3 + 5264q^4 + \dots$$

This can be identified with Borcherds famous automorphic form on the moduli space of Enriques surfaces. Let $M = U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8(-2)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_M = \{x \in \mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid x \cdot x = 0, x \cdot \bar{x} > 0\}.$$

The moduli space of Enriques surfaces is the arithmetic quotient $\mathcal{D}_M/O(M) - \mathcal{H}_2$.
Thm(Borcherds) \exists automorphic form $\Phi(t)$ of weight 4 on \mathcal{D}_M for $O(M)$.

Corollary

The series $\exp(\sum_{\beta \neq 0} N_{1,\beta} t^\beta)$ is the Fourier expansion of the automorphism form $\Phi(t)^{-1/8}$ around the level 1 cusp.

Strategy of the proof

Consider splitting (we ignore all torsion)

$$H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong U \oplus E_8(-1).$$

U spanned by half-fiber f and 2-section s of an elliptic fibration $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$

$$s^2 = 0, \quad s \cdot f = 1, \quad f^2 = 0.$$

Strategy of the proof

Consider splitting (we ignore all torsion)

$$H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong U \oplus E_8(-1).$$

U spanned by half-fiber f and 2-section s of an elliptic fibration $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$

$$s^2 = 0, \quad s \cdot f = 1, \quad f^2 = 0.$$

To prove the Klemm-Marino formula we prove three properties:

- (a) $N_{g,\beta}$ depends on β only through the square $\beta \cdot \beta$ and the divisibility of β .
- (b) The partial series

$$F_{g,r} = \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha \in E_8(-1)} q^d \zeta^\alpha N_{g,rs+df+\alpha}$$

are quasi-Jacobi forms for $\Gamma_0(2)$ of weight $2g - 2$.

- (c) Explicit computation of $F_{g,1}$ and $F_{g,2}$.

Strategy of the proof

Consider splitting (we ignore all torsion)

$$H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong U \oplus E_8(-1).$$

U spanned by half-fiber f and 2-section s of an elliptic fibration $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$

$$s^2 = 0, \quad s \cdot f = 1, \quad f^2 = 0.$$

To prove the Klemm-Marino formula we prove three properties:

- (a) $N_{g,\beta}$ depends on β only through the square $\beta \cdot \beta$ and the divisibility of β .
- (b) The partial series

$$F_{g,r} = \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha \in E_8(-1)} q^d \zeta^\alpha N_{g,rs+df+\alpha}$$

are quasi-Jacobi forms for $\Gamma_0(2)$ of weight $2g - 2$.

- (c) Explicit computation of $F_{g,1}$ and $F_{g,2}$.

Then some modular form magic determines the rest. Let me explain this 'magic'.

We need to determine $N_{g,rs+df}$ (ignore α). Argue by induction on r . Assume $r \geq 3$.

We need to determine $N_{g,rs+df}$ (ignore α). Argue by induction on r . Assume $r \geq 3$.

Lemma We know $N_{g,rs+df}$ if $r \nmid d$.

Proof: Indeed, let $\ell = \gcd(r, d) < r$ and let $(r_0, d_0) = (r, d)/\ell$. Then (r, d) and $\ell(1, r_0 d_0)$ have the same square and divisibility. By Property (a) thus

$N_{g,rs+df} = N_{g,\ell(s+r_0 d_0)}$ which is known by induction. □

We need to determine $N_{g,rs+df}$ (ignore α). Argue by induction on r . Assume $r \geq 3$.

Lemma We know $N_{g,rs+df}$ if $r \nmid d$.

Proof: Indeed, let $\ell = \gcd(r, d) < r$ and let $(r_0, d_0) = (r, d)/\ell$. Then (r, d) and $\ell(1, r_0 d_0)$ have the same square and divisibility. By Property (a) thus

$N_{g,rs+df} = N_{g,\ell(s+r_0 d_0)}$ which is known by induction. □

Question: How do we determine $N_{g,rs+rd'f}$?

\iff How do we go from knowing divisibility $< r$ to divisibility r ?

We need to determine $N_{g,rs+df}$ (ignore α). Argue by induction on r . Assume $r \geq 3$.

Lemma We know $N_{g,rs+df}$ if $r \nmid d$.

Proof: Indeed, let $\ell = \gcd(r, d) < r$ and let $(r_0, d_0) = (r, d)/\ell$. Then (r, d) and $\ell(1, r_0 d_0)$ have the same square and divisibility. By Property (a) thus $N_{g,rs+df} = N_{g,\ell(s+r_0 d_0)}$ which is known by induction. □

Question: How do we determine $N_{g,rs+rd'f}$?

\iff How do we go from knowing divisibility $< r$ to divisibility r ?

Proposition

Let $r \geq 3$. Let $f(q)$ be a modular form for $\Gamma_0(2)$ with Fourier expansion

$$f = a_0 + a_1 q^r + a_2 q^{2r} + a_3 q^{3r} + \dots$$

(so all Fourier coefficients vanish if r does not divide the exponent). Then $f = 0$.

We need to determine $N_{g,rs+df}$ (ignore α). Argue by induction on r . Assume $r \geq 3$.

Lemma We know $N_{g,rs+df}$ if $r \nmid d$.

Proof: Indeed, let $\ell = \gcd(r, d) < r$ and let $(r_0, d_0) = (r, d)/\ell$. Then (r, d) and $\ell(1, r_0 d_0)$ have the same square and divisibility. By Property (a) thus

$$N_{g,rs+df} = N_{g,\ell(s+r_0d_0)} \text{ which is known by induction.}$$

Question: How do we determine $N_{g,rs+rd'f}$?

\Leftrightarrow How do we go from knowing divisibility $< r$ to divisibility r ?

Proposition

Let $r \geq 3$. Let $f(q)$ be a modular form for $\Gamma_0(2)$ with Fourier expansion

$$f = a_0 + a_1 q^r + a_2 q^{2r} + a_3 q^{3r} + \dots$$

(so all Fourier coefficients vanish if r does not divide the exponent). Then $f = 0$.

Proof of Main Theorem.

- ▶ Property (b): $F_{g,r} = \sum_d N_{g,rs+df} q^d$ is a quasi-modular form for $\Gamma_0(2)$.
- ▶ Conjectural answer $F_{g,r}^{KM}$ is quasi-modular for $\Gamma_0(2)$
- ▶ Lemma: $F_{g,r} - F_{g,r}^{KM}$ has Fourier coefficients only if r divides the exponent
- ▶ Proposition: $F_{g,r} - F_{g,r}^{KM} = 0$.

Proof of Proposition

A modular form for a congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a holomorphic function on the upper half plane $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau) \quad (1)$$

for all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ (and a boundedness condition).

- ▶ If $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ this says that $f(\tau + 1) = f(\tau)$, so f can be expanded in $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$.
- ▶ If $f = a_0 + a_1 q^r + a_2 q^{2r} + \dots$ then we also have $f(\tau + 1/r) = f(\tau)$.
- ▶ $\Rightarrow f$ satisfies (1) for all elements in $\tilde{\Gamma} := \langle \Gamma_0(2), \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$.
- ▶ Small check: $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is dense in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.
- ▶ $\Rightarrow f$ satisfies (1) for all elements in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by continuity.
- ▶ $\Rightarrow f = 0$.



It remains to prove the three properties we used:

Property (b): The partial series $F_{g,r} = \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha \in E_g} (-1) q^d \zeta^\alpha N_{g,rs+df+\alpha}$ are quasi-Jacobi forms for $\Gamma_0(2)$ of weight $2g - 2$.

Proof: Use degeneration of the elliptic fibration $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ to a rational elliptic surface glued with $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times E)/\langle \text{inv}_{\mathbb{P}^1}, -1 \rangle$. Then use work of Pixton and myself.

Property (a): $N_{g,\beta}$ depends on β only through the square $\beta \cdot \beta$ and the divisibility of β .

Proof: Use compact CY3 geometry and sheaves. This is next topic. □

- ▶ Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be the K3 cover, let $\tau : X \rightarrow X$ be the covering involution.
- ▶ The Enriques Calabi-Yau threefold is

$$Q = (X \times E)/\mathbb{Z}_2$$

where \mathbb{Z}_2 acts by $(x, e) \rightarrow (\tau(x), -e)$.

- ▶ The projection to the second factor

$$\pi : Q \rightarrow E/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{P}^1$$

is an isotrivial K3 fibration (with generic fiber X) and 4 double Enriques fibers (isomorphic to Y).

- ▶ The projection to the first factor

$$p : Q \rightarrow X/\mathbb{Z}_2 = Y$$

is an isotrivial elliptic fibration with section.

- ▶ $H_2(Q, \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E]$

Finishing the proof

- ▶ Maulik-Pandharipande: For $\beta \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$, we have $N_{g,\beta}^Q = 4N_{g,\beta}^{K_Y}$
- ▶ Pandharipande-Pixton: The GW/PT correspondence holds for Q
- ▶ Let $\text{DT}(\nu)$ be the generalized DT invariants counting semistable sheaves supported on fibers of $\pi : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ in class $\nu \in H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z})$.
- ▶ Theorem(O., based on work of Toda):

$$\sum_{\beta \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{PT}_{n,\beta}(-p)^n q^\beta = \prod_{\substack{r \geq 0 \\ \beta > 0 \\ n \geq 0}} \exp \left((n+r) \text{DT}(r, \beta, n) q^\beta p^n \right) \\ \times \prod_{\substack{r > 0 \\ \beta > 0 \\ n > 0}} \exp \left((n+r) \text{DT}(r, \beta, n) q^\beta p^{-n} \right)$$

- ▶ Prop(O., based on Toda) $\text{DT}(g\nu) = \text{DT}(\nu)$ for all autoequivalences of $D^b(Y)$
- ▶ Prop(O., based on Macri-Mehrotra-Stellari) $\text{DMon}(Y) = O^+(H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})^\tau)$.
- ▶ $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})^\tau \cong U \oplus U(2) \oplus E_8(-2)$
- ▶ Cor: $\text{DT}(\nu)$ only depends on square $\nu \cdot \nu$, $\text{div}(\nu)$ and $\text{type}(\nu) \in \{\text{odd}, \text{even}\}$
- ▶ This implies $N_{g,\beta}^Q$ only depends on the square β^2 and the divisibility of β .



Further conjectures

What can we say about the Gromov-Witten theory of the Enriques Calabi-Yau?

The Enriques Calabi-Yau 3-fold may be the most tractable compact Calabi-Yau with nontrivial Gromov-Witten theory. Certainly the higher genus study of the quintic 3-fold in P^4 appears more difficult.

- Maulik, Pandharipande, 'New Calculations in Gromov-Witten Theory', 2008



Further conjectures

What can we say about the Gromov-Witten theory of the Enriques Calabi-Yau?

The Enriques Calabi-Yau 3-fold may be the most tractable compact Calabi-Yau with nontrivial Gromov-Witten theory. Certainly the higher genus study of the quintic 3-fold in P4 appears more difficult.

- Maulik, Pandharipande, 'New Calculations in Gromov-Witten Theory', 2008



The results so far determine the $N_{g,(\beta,d)}^Q$ in case $d = 0$.

Another viewpoint and a conjecture

Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic fibration on the Enriques surface.
We have the induced abelian surface fibration:

$$\rho : Q \xrightarrow{p} Y \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1.$$

The generic fiber is the product of two elliptic curves $E \times F$, 12 fibers are of the form $E \times C$ for a nodal genus 1 curve C , and 2 double bielliptic fibers.

Another viewpoint and a conjecture

Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic fibration on the Enriques surface.

We have the induced abelian surface fibration:

$$\rho : Q \xrightarrow{p} Y \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1.$$

The generic fiber is the product of two elliptic curves $E \times F$, 12 fibers are of the form $E \times C$ for a nodal genus 1 curve C , and 2 double bielliptic fibers.

Define the generating series of Pandharipande-invariants counting curves of degree ℓ over the base:

$$Z_\ell^Q(p, t, q, \zeta) = \sum_{d, e, \alpha} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-p)^n t^{e - \frac{1}{2}} q^d \zeta^\alpha \text{PT}_{n, \ell s + df + \alpha + e[E]}.$$

In degree zero this is not difficult to evaluate (e.g. method of Bryan):

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0^Q(p, t, q, \zeta) &= t^{-1/2} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - t^k)^{-12} \cdot \prod_{d \geq 1} \left(\frac{1 + q^d}{1 - q^d} \right)^4 \\ &= \eta(t)^{-12} \cdot \frac{\eta(2\tau)^4}{\eta(\tau)^8}. \end{aligned}$$

In degree 1 we get the analogue of the Igusa cusp form formula for $K3 \times E$.

Define two Borcherds lifts

$$\chi_{10}(p, q, t) = pqt \prod_{(\ell, n, r) > 0} (1 - p^r q^\ell t^n)^{c_1(4n\ell - r^2)}$$

$$\Phi_4(p, q, t) = pqt^{1/2} \prod_{(\ell, n, r) > 0} (1 - p^r q^{2\ell} t^n)^{c_2(n\ell, r)}$$

where $(\ell, n, r) > 0$ stands for $n > 0$ or $\ell > 0$ or $(n = \ell = 0$ and $r < 0)$.

- ▶ χ_{10} is the well-known Igusa cusp form (a Siegel modular form for $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$).
- ▶ Φ_4 is a cusp form of weight 4 for a level 2 paramodular group

Both product expansions were first found by Gritsenko-Nikulin (1995).

Let also $\vartheta_{E_8}(\zeta, q) = \sum_{\alpha \in E_8} \zeta^\alpha q^{\alpha^2/2}$ the theta function of the E_8 -lattice.

Define two Borcherds lifts

$$\chi_{10}(p, q, t) = pqt \prod_{(\ell, n, r) > 0} (1 - p^r q^\ell t^n)^{c_1(4n\ell - r^2)}$$

$$\Phi_4(p, q, t) = pqt^{1/2} \prod_{(\ell, n, r) > 0} (1 - p^r q^{2\ell} t^n)^{c_2(n\ell, r)}$$

where $(\ell, n, r) > 0$ stands for $n > 0$ or $\ell > 0$ or $(n = \ell = 0 \text{ and } r < 0)$.

► χ_{10} is the well-known Igusa cusp form (a Siegel modular form for $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$).

► Φ_4 is a cusp form of weight 4 for a level 2 paramodular group

Both product expansions were first found by Gritsenko-Nikulin (1995).

Let also $\vartheta_{E_8}(\zeta, q) = \sum_{\alpha \in E_8} \zeta^\alpha q^{\alpha^2/2}$ the theta function of the E_8 -lattice.

Conjecture (O. 2023)

$$Z_1^Q(p, t, q, \zeta) = 8 \frac{\Phi_4(p, q, t)}{\chi_{10}(p, q, t)} \vartheta_{E_8}(\zeta, q)$$

Define two Borcherds lifts

$$\chi_{10}(p, q, t) = pqt \prod_{(\ell, n, r) > 0} (1 - p^r q^\ell t^n)^{c_1(4n\ell - r^2)}$$

$$\Phi_4(p, q, t) = pqt^{1/2} \prod_{(\ell, n, r) > 0} (1 - p^r q^{2\ell} t^n)^{c_2(n\ell, r)}$$

where $(\ell, n, r) > 0$ stands for $n > 0$ or $\ell > 0$ or $(n = \ell = 0$ and $r < 0)$.

► χ_{10} is the well-known Igusa cusp form (a Siegel modular form for $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$).

► Φ_4 is a cusp form of weight 4 for a level 2 paramodular group

Both product expansions were first found by Gritsenko-Nikulin (1995).

Let also $\vartheta_{E_8}(\zeta, q) = \sum_{\alpha \in E_8} \zeta^\alpha q^{\alpha^2/2}$ the theta function of the E_8 -lattice.

Conjecture (O. 2023)

$$Z_1^Q(p, t, q, \zeta) = 8 \frac{\Phi_4(p, q, t)}{\chi_{10}(p, q, t)} \vartheta_{E_8}(\zeta, q)$$

Unfortunately, a formula for Z_ℓ^Q for $\ell \geq 2$ seems difficult to guess at this point.

Problem: $N_{g, \beta + d[E]}$ for $\beta \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ does not only depend on β^2 and $\mathrm{div}(\beta)$.

The coefficients c_i in the last slide were defined by

$$\sum_{n,r} c_1(4n - r^2) p^r q^n = 24\Theta^2 \wp$$

$$\sum_{n,r} c_2(n, r) p^r q^n = \Theta^4(12\wp^2 - 20G_4)$$

where

$$\Theta(p, q) = (p^{1/2} - p^{-1/2}) \prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{(1 - pq^m)(1 - p^{-1}q^m)}{(1 - q^m)^2}$$

$$\wp(p, q) = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{p}{(1 - p)^2} + \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{k|d} k(p^k - 2 + p^{-k}) q^d$$

$$G_k(q) = -\frac{B_k}{2 \cdot k} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1} q^n$$

Thank you for the attention.