

Stratified materials allowing asymptotically prescribed equipotentials

Björn Gustafsson, Jacqueline Mossino and Colette Picard

1. Introduction

Let us consider the sequence of minimization problems:

$$P(a_n) : \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a_n^{p-1} |\nabla v|^p dx - \int_{\Omega} f v dx ; v \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\},$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N , $1 < p < \infty$, L stands for suitable Dirichlet boundary conditions and, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $0 < a_n \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. In the applications we have in mind Ω is a conductor, the a_n represent rapidly oscillating (thermic or electric) conductivity coefficients and we are interested in the possible convergence, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, of the problems $P(a_n)$ to some “homogenized” limit problem when a_n converges to some $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ in a suitable sense.

In the case $N=1$ it is well known (cf. [12] for $p=2$) that if $1/a_n, 1/a$ and a are in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and

$$(H) \quad \frac{1}{a_n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{a} \quad \text{in } w^*-L^\infty(\Omega),$$

then the solution u_n of $P(a_n)$ converges in $w-W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to the solution u of $P(a)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} a_n^{p-1} |u'_n|^p dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} a^{p-1} |u'|^p dx,$$

which is to say that $P(a_n)$ converges to $P(a)$.

In the case $N > 1$ the situation is more complicated and the hypothesis (H) by no means implies that $P(a_n)$ converges to $P(a)$. In general not very much can be said, as far as we know, but if the a_n happen to depend on only one variable,

say x_1 , then it is known (cf. [11], [13] for $p=2$ and [9] for more general situations) that if (H) holds and moreover $a_n^{p-1} \rightarrow (a^*)^{p-1}$ in $w^*-L^\infty(\Omega)$ for some $a^* \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then $P(a_n)$ (with $|\nabla v|^p = \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial v / \partial x_i|^p$) converges to the problem

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a^{p-1} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (a^*)^{p-1} \sum_{i=2}^N \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|^p dx - \int_{\Omega} f v dx ; v \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\}.$$

The present paper is a natural sequel to [7], [8] and is concerned with a kind of singular version of the above, namely corresponding to the case $a^* = +\infty$. Let ϕ be a given smooth function on $\bar{\Omega}$ and assume that the a_n depend only on $t = \phi(x)$, so that $a_n(x) = \mathbf{a}_n(t)$ say. Assume also that (H) holds. In [7], [8] we proved that if, in addition to the above, Ω contains an increasing (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) number of leaves of perfect conductors which are uniformly distributed level surfaces of ϕ (this corresponds to having the additional constraint “ $v = \text{constant}$ on each leaf” in $P(a_n)$) then $P(a_n)$ converges to a limit problem P whose admissible functions are constant on each level surface of ϕ . In practice P then is a one-dimensional problem.

In this paper we obtain the same conclusion under more relaxed conditions, namely with the leaves of perfect conductors replaced by the assumption that a_n is very large along many of the level surfaces of ϕ . Precisely, the right condition on a_n turns out to be that

$$(H') \quad \int_I \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}(t) dt \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for every interval I of positive length. Thus, if (H) and (H') hold, then $P(a_n)$ converges to the same homogenized limit problem P as before, the solution of which is constant on all the level surfaces of the prescribed function ϕ .

This is our main result. It contains as special cases earlier results in e.g. [4] concerning periodical reinforced structures. A typical example is when $a_n = a$ (independent of n) except for an increasing number of thin layers of very high conductivity. If there are n uniformly distributed layers of thickness $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$ and conductivity $\lambda = \lambda_n$ then $(H), (H')$ hold if

$$n\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } n\varepsilon\lambda^{p-1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the body of the paper we actually work with more general problems than $P(a_n)$, namely

$$(P_n) \quad \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla v) dx - \int_{\Omega} f_n v dx ; v \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\},$$

where the functions $G_n(x, z)$ satisfy certain natural conditions, e.g. $G_n(x, z) = |z|^p/p$, where $|\cdot|$ is either the euclidean norm ($|z|^p = (\sum_{i=1}^N |z_i|^2)^{p/2}$) or the l^p -norm ($|z|^p = \sum_{i=1}^N |z_i|^p$). Note that problem P_n is equivalent to the weak formulation of the quasilinear boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} g_n(x, a_n \nabla u_n) = f_n & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where g_n is the gradient of G_n .

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to F. Murat for enlightening discussions, and to the Swedish Natural Sciences Research Council (NFR) for support.

2. Statement of the main result

We shall work with domains Ω of annulus (or shell) type (cf. however §4). Let $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_1$ where Ω_0 and Ω_1 are bounded domains in \mathbf{R}^N , ($N \geq 2$) with smooth boundaries and satisfying $\Omega_0 \supset \bar{\Omega}_1$. Let $\phi \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbf{R})$ satisfy $\phi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega_0$, $\phi = 1$ on $\partial\Omega_1$ and $\nabla\phi \neq 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. It then follows that $0 < \phi < 1$ in Ω ; the condition $\nabla\phi \neq 0$ also imposes topological restrictions on Ω . The geometry we think of is that with Ω_0 and Ω_1 homeomorphic to balls, but the above assumptions also allow Ω_0 and Ω_1 to be e.g. nested tori.

Let us consider the following sequence of minimization problems

$$(P_n) \quad \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla v) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_n v \, dx ; v \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\}$$

where

- $a_n \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $a_n(x) \geq c > 0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$,
- $W_L^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_0, v = 1 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_1\}$, ($1 < p < \infty$),
- $f_n \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $w\text{-}L^{p'}(\Omega)$,
- G_n are standard functions in the calculus of variations, that is:
 - $G_n : (x, z) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow G_n(x, z) \in \mathbf{R}$ is a Carathéodory function (that is, measurable with respect to x , continuous with respect to z)
 - for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$, $G_n(x, \cdot)$ is a strictly convex function which admits a gradient denoted by $g_n(x, \cdot)$,
 - there exist constants $c_1, c_2, c_4 > 0$ and $c_3 \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for every $z \in \mathbf{R}^N$,

$$(1) \quad c_1 |z|^p \leq G_n(x, z) \leq c_2 |z|^p + c_3(x);$$

$$(2) \quad |g_n(x, z)| \leq c_4(1 + |z|^{p-1}).$$

- There exists G satisfying the same properties as G_n , such that for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for every $z \in \mathbf{R}^N$,

$$(3) \quad G_n(x, z) \rightarrow G(x, z) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(4) \quad g_n(x, z) \rightarrow g(x, z) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Clearly (cf. [10]), problem (P_n) admits a unique solution u_n , and u_n is also the unique weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} g_n(x, a_n \nabla u_n) = f_n & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Theorem. *We assume that (a_n) satisfies the following hypothesis:*

$$(5) \quad a_n = \mathbf{a}_n \circ \phi \text{ with } \mathbf{a}_n \in L^\infty(0, 1) \text{ and } \exists c > 0 : \forall n \in \mathbf{N}, \text{ a.e. } t \in]0, 1[, c \leq \mathbf{a}_n(t),$$

$$(6) \quad \exists \mathbf{a} \in L^\infty(0, 1) : \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}_n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}} \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty(0, 1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(7) \quad \text{for every non degenerate interval } I \subset [0, 1], \int_I \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}(t) dt \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the solution u_n of (P_n) converges weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to the solution u of

$$(P) \quad \inf \left\{ \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla v) dx - \int_\Omega f v dx ; v = \mathbf{v} \circ \phi, \mathbf{v} \in W_L^{1,p}(0, 1) \right\},$$

where $a = \mathbf{a} \circ \phi$ and $W_L^{1,p}(0, 1) = \{ \mathbf{v} \in W^{1,p}(0, 1) ; \mathbf{v}(0) = 0, \mathbf{v}(1) = 1 \}$. Moreover

$$(8) \quad \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla u_n) dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla u) dx,$$

which is to say that the infimum of (P_n) converges to the infimum of (P) .

Remark 1. The assumptions in the theorem are actually slightly excessive. In (5) we could allow $c > 0$ to depend on n . This would still guarantee that $1/\mathbf{a}_n \in$

$L^\infty(0, 1)$ and then it would follow from (6) and the uniform boundedness principle that c actually could be taken independent of n .

Conversely, with (5) as it is, (6) could be replaced by the weaker condition that

$$\int_I \frac{dt}{\mathbf{a}_n(t)} \rightarrow \int_I \frac{dt}{\mathbf{a}(t)}$$

for every interval $I \subset [0, 1]$ (making (6) more similar to (7)). In fact, by (5) the sequence $(1/\mathbf{a}_n)$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, 1)$ and then it is enough to have the convergence

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}_n(t)} \psi(t) dt \rightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}(t)} \psi(t) dt$$

for a dense set of functions $\psi \in L^1(0, 1)$, e.g. for all step functions.

Remark 2. The limit problem (P) of (P_n) is the same as that obtained for a foliated material with leaves of a perfect conductor in [8] and by Lemma 2.2 of [8], (P) can also be formulated

(P)

$$\inf \left\{ \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla v) dx - \int_\Omega f v dx ; v \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega), \forall t \in]0, 1[, v = \text{constant on } \Gamma_t \right\},$$

where Γ_t is the level surface $\{\phi=t\}$.

Actually (P) is a one dimensional problem (cf. [8], §3.b, where the coarea formula of [6] is used). More precisely, let

- $\mathbf{G}(t, z) = \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{G(x, z \nabla \phi)}{|\nabla \phi|} d\gamma,$
- $f(t) = \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{f}{|\nabla \phi|} d\gamma,$
- $(\mathbf{P}) : \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{G}(t, \mathbf{a} \mathbf{v}') dt - \int_0^1 \mathbf{f} \mathbf{v} dt ; \mathbf{v} \in W^{1,p}L(0, 1) \right\},$
- \mathbf{u} the solution of (\mathbf{P}) .

Then $u = \mathbf{u} \circ \phi$ and

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla u) dx - \int_\Omega f u dx = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{G}(t, \mathbf{a} \mathbf{u}') dt - \int_0^1 \mathbf{f} \mathbf{u} dt.$$

Remark 3. In [9] we investigate a case when $\int_I \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}(t) dt$ is bounded; more precisely we determine the limit problem of (P_n) assuming $\int_I \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}(t) dt \rightarrow \int_I a^{*p-1}(t) dt$ where $a^* \in L^\infty(0, 1)$ instead of hypothesis (7).

Example. Stratified annulus containing numerous thin layers of very high conductivity which are uniformly distributed in Ω .

For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $T_n = \{t_{i,n}; 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ where $(t_{i,n})_i$ is a sequence of points in $[0, 1]$ such that $0 = t_{0,n} < t_{1,n} < \dots < t_{n,n} = 1$. Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$ such that

$$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} \min\{t_{i,n} - t_{i-1,n}; 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

and let $\Sigma_{i,n,\varepsilon}$ be the layer located between the two level surfaces of ϕ of values $t_{i,n} - \varepsilon$ and $t_{i,n} + \varepsilon$, that is

$$\Sigma_{i,n,\varepsilon} = \{x \in \Omega; t_{i,n} - \varepsilon < \phi(x) < t_{i,n} + \varepsilon\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{set } \Sigma_{n,\varepsilon} = \bigcup \Sigma_{i,n,\varepsilon}.$$

Let us suppose that this stratified annulus Ω (which contains the $n-1$ thin layers $\Sigma_{i,n,\varepsilon}$) has a conductivity coefficient a_n such that

$$a_n = \begin{cases} b_n & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Sigma_{n,\varepsilon}, \\ \lambda_n & \text{in } \Sigma_{n,\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$

where $b_n = \mathbf{b}_n \circ \phi$, $\mathbf{b}_n \in L^\infty(0, 1)$, $\lambda_n = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_n \circ \phi$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_n \in L^\infty(0, 1)$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_n(t) \geq \Lambda_n > 0$ and $\Lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The problem (P_n) can be written

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \setminus \Sigma_{n,\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{b_n} G_n(x, b_n \nabla v) \, dx + \int_{\Sigma_{n,\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} G_n(x, \lambda_n \nabla v) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_n v \, dx; v \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\}.$$

Corollary. *Let us assume that*

- $\exists c > 0: \forall n \in \mathbf{N}, \text{ a.e. } t \in]0, 1[, c \leq \mathbf{b}_n(t),$
- $\exists \mathbf{b} \in L^\infty(0, 1): 1/\mathbf{b}_n \rightarrow 1/\mathbf{b} \text{ weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, 1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$
- $\exists \beta > 0: \forall n \in \mathbf{N}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, t_{i,n} - t_{i-1,n} \leq \beta/n,$
- $n\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } n\varepsilon \Lambda_n^{p-1} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$

Then, the solution u_n of (P_n) converges weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to the solution u of

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{b} G(x, b \nabla v) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx; v = \mathbf{v} \circ \phi, \mathbf{v} \in W_L^{1,p}(0, 1) \right\}$$

where $b = \mathbf{b} \circ \phi$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \Sigma_{n,\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{b_n} G_n(x, b_n \nabla u_n) \, dx + \int_{\Sigma_{n,\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} G_n(x, \lambda_n \nabla u_n) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{b} G(x, b \nabla u) \, dx.$$

Proof. We have to prove that the sequence (a_n) has the properties (5), (6), and (7) of the theorem. It is clear that (5) holds. As to property (6), we have $1/\mathbf{a}_n \rightarrow 1/\mathbf{b}$ weakly* in $L^\infty(0, 1)$, since $1/\mathbf{b}_n \rightarrow 1/\mathbf{b}$ weakly* in $L^\infty(0, 1)$, $n\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\Lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$.

To verify (7) finally, let I be a subinterval of $[0, 1]$ and denote by k the number of intervals $[t_{i-1,n}, t_{i,n}]$ which meet I . We have $|I| \leq k\beta/n$. The number of intervals $[t_{i,n} - \varepsilon, t_{i,n} + \varepsilon]$ contained in I is at least $k - 3$. Hence we get

$$\int_I \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}(t) dt \geq (k-3)2\varepsilon\Lambda_n^{p-1} \geq 2\left(\frac{1}{\beta}n|I|-3\right)\varepsilon\Lambda_n^{p-1} \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Remark 4. Periodical reinforced structures have been studied in [2], [3] and [4]. In [4], $p=2$, $a_n=1$ in $\Omega \setminus \Sigma_{n,\varepsilon}$, $a_n=\lambda$ in $\Sigma_{n,\varepsilon}$, Γ_t are hyperplanes, G is “less general” and the limit behavior of (P_n) was obtained if $n\varepsilon\lambda \rightarrow k \in [0, +\infty]$. The previous example extends the case $n\varepsilon\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$, that is the case of “very high” conductivity. The case $n\varepsilon\lambda \rightarrow k \in [0, +\infty[$ of “high” conductivity is a particular case of the results of [9].

3. Proof of the theorem

Since the convergence of minimization problems is related to the Γ -convergence of the functionals we want to minimize (cf. [5] and also [1]), the theorem will be easily deduced from the following three lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Under conditions (5) and (6), for every $v = \mathbf{v} \circ \phi$ with $\mathbf{v} \in W_L^{1,p}(0, 1)$ there exists a sequence $v_n \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that v_n converges to v in $w\text{-}W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and*

$$\limsup \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla v_n) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla v) dx.$$

Lemma 2. *Under conditions (5) and (6), if v_n converges to v in $w\text{-}W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then*

$$\liminf \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla v_n) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla v) dx.$$

Lemma 3. *Under conditions (5) and (7), if $v_n \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and converges to v in $w\text{-}W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and if $\int_{\Omega} a_n^{p-1} |\nabla v_n|^p dx$ is bounded, then there exists $\mathbf{v} \in W_L^{1,p}(0, 1)$ such that $v = \mathbf{v} \circ \phi$.*

Before proving these lemmas we establish the theorem:

Proof of the theorem. Let u_n be the unique solution of (P_n) . Let $v = \mathbf{v} \circ \phi$ with $\mathbf{v} \in W_L^{1,p}(0, 1)$. By Lemma 1, there exist $v_n \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that v_n converges to v in $w\text{-}W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (therefore in $L^p(\Omega)$) and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla v) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx &\geq \limsup \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla v_n) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_n v_n \, dx \right) \\
 &\geq \limsup \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla u_n) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_n u_n \, dx \right) \\
 &\geq \limsup \left(\int_{\Omega} c_1 c^{p-1} |\nabla u_n|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_n u_n \, dx \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Poincaré’s inequality, we deduce that (u_n) is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and that $\int_{\Omega} a_n^{-1} G_n(x, a_n \nabla u_n) dx$ is bounded. Hence a subsequence of u_n , say u_n again, converges to some u in $w\text{-}W_L^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and in $L^p(\Omega)$ and due to hypothesis (1), $\int_{\Omega} a_n^{p-1} |\nabla u_n|^p dx$ is bounded. By Lemma 3, there exists $\mathbf{u} \in W_L^{1,p}(0, 1)$ such that $u = \mathbf{u} \circ \phi$ and, by Lemma 2,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla u) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx \leq \liminf \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla u_n) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_n u_n \, dx \right).$$

Consequently, by (9), for all $v = \mathbf{v} \circ \phi$ with $\mathbf{v} \in W_L^{1,p}(0, 1)$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla u) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla v) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx.$$

Therefore, u is the unique solution of (P) , the whole sequence (u_n) converges to u in $w\text{-}W_L^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and in $L^p(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla u_n) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla u) \, dx.$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $v = \mathbf{v} \circ \phi$ with $\mathbf{v} \in W_L^{1,p}(0, 1)$. Let v_n be defined by

$$\mathbf{v}_n(t) = \frac{1}{\delta_n} \int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}_n} \mathbf{v}' \, ds, \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_n = \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}_n} \mathbf{v}' \, ds,$$

and let $v_n = \mathbf{v}_n \circ \phi$. Then $v_n \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $w\text{-}W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and in $L^p(\Omega)$ (cf. [8], Lemma 2.4 where the same functions were used), and

$$G_n(x, a_n \nabla v_n) = G_n \left(x, \frac{1}{\delta_n} a \nabla v \right).$$

Let us write

$$G_n(x, a_n \nabla v_n) - G(x, a \nabla v) = G_n\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta_n} a \nabla v\right) - G_n(x, a \nabla v) + G_n(x, a \nabla v) - G(x, a \nabla v).$$

Using hypotheses (3), (1) and Lebesgue’s theorem, we get $G_n(x, a \nabla v) \rightarrow G(x, a \nabla v)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. Moreover,

$$G_n\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta_n} a \nabla v\right) - G_n(x, a \nabla v) = \int_1^{1/\delta_n} a \nabla v \cdot g_n(x, ta \nabla v) dt;$$

since $\delta_n \rightarrow 1$ and using (2), (1) and Lebesgue’s theorem, we deduce that

$$G_n\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta_n} a \nabla v\right) - G_n(x, a \nabla v) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega).$$

Consequently,

$$G_n(x, a_n \nabla v_n) \rightarrow G(x, a \nabla v) \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega).$$

Since, by hypothesis (6) and Lemma 2.1 of [8], we have $1/a_n \rightarrow 1/a$ in $w^*-L^\infty(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla v_n) dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla v) dx.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Let $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $w-W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since $G_n(x, \cdot)$ is convex,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla v_n) dx &\geq \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a \nabla v) dx + \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a_n} g_n(x, a \nabla v) \cdot (a_n \nabla v_n - a \nabla v) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a \nabla v) dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla v) dx$$

since $G_n(x, a \nabla v) \rightarrow G(x, a \nabla v)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $1/a_n \rightarrow 1/a$ in $w^*-L^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover,

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{a_n} g_n(x, a \nabla v) \cdot (a_n \nabla v_n - a \nabla v) dx = \int_\Omega g_n(x, a \nabla v) \cdot \left(\nabla v_n - \frac{a}{a_n} \nabla v\right) dx \rightarrow 0$$

since $g_n(x, a \nabla v) \rightarrow g(x, a \nabla v)$ in $s-L^{p'}(\Omega)$ (using hypotheses (4), (2) and Lebesgue’s theorem), $\nabla v_n \rightarrow \nabla v$ in $w-L^p(\Omega)$ and $(a/a_n) \nabla v \rightarrow \nabla v$ in $w-L^p(\Omega)$. Therefore,

$$\liminf \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a_n} G_n(x, a_n \nabla v_n) dx \geq \int_\Omega \frac{1}{a} G(x, a \nabla v) dx.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Let $v_n \in W_L^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $w\text{-}W_L^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Suppose that

$$\int_{\Omega} a_n^{p-1} |\nabla v_n|^p dx \leq C.$$

In order to simplify the computations, we switch to “cylindrical” coordinates on Ω : it is easy to see that $\bar{\Omega}$ is C^1 -diffeomorphic with (e.g.) $[0, 1] \times \Gamma_0$ by

$$D = (\phi, \psi): x \in \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow (t, y) \in [0, 1] \times \Gamma_0,$$

where $t = \phi(x)$ and $y = \psi(x)$ e.g., can be defined to be the point of Γ_0 which lies on the orthogonal trajectory to the level surface $\Gamma_t = \{\phi(x) = t\}$ which passes through x (cf. [8], Appendix).

Let $V_n = v_n \circ D^{-1}$ and $V = v \circ D^{-1}$. We have $V_n \rightarrow V$ in $w = W^{1,p}([0, 1] \times \Gamma_0)$. We will prove that $\nabla_y V = 0$ a.e.; therefore $V(t, y) = V(t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$ and then $v = \mathbf{v} \circ \phi$ with $\mathbf{v} \in W_L^{1,p}(0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{v} = V$.

For that purpose, let us approximate the functions $V_n(t, y)$ by the functions $W_{m,n}(t, y)$ (which are step functions with respect to t) defined as follows: given $m \in \mathbf{N}$, let $I_k = [(k-1)/m, k/m]$, for $k = 1, \dots, m$ and let

$$W_{m,n}(t, y) = \int_{I_k} V_n(s, y) \frac{\mathbf{a}_n(s)^{p-1}}{\int_{I_k} \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}} ds, \quad \text{for } t \in I_k \text{ and } y \in \Gamma_0,$$

that is, for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $y \in \Gamma_0$

$$W_{m,n}(t, y) = \sum_{k=1}^m X_{I_k}(t) \int_{I_k} V_n(s, y) d\mu_{n,k}(s),$$

where $X_{I_k} = 1$ on I_k and $X_{I_k} = 0$ elsewhere and $d\mu_{n,k}(s) = (\mathbf{a}_n(s)^{p-1}) / (\int_{I_k} \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}) ds$ on I_k . Observe that $\mu_{n,k}$ is a probability measure on I_k .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 |W_{m,n}(t, y) - V_n(t, y)|^p dt \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I_k} |W_{m,n}(t, y) - V_n(t, y)|^p dt \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I_k} \left| \int_{I_k} (V_n(s, y) - V_n(t, y)) d\mu_{n,k}(s) \right|^p dt \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I_k} \int_{I_k} |V_n(s, y) - V_n(t, y)|^p d\mu_{n,k}(s) dt \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I_k} \int_{I_k} \left| \int_s^t \frac{\partial V_n}{\partial \tau}(\tau, y) d\tau \right|^p d\mu_{n,k}(s) dt \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I_k} \int_{I_k} \left(\int_{I_k} \left| \frac{\partial V_n}{\partial \tau}(\tau, y) \right| d\tau \right)^p d\mu_{n,k}(s) dt \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I_k} \int_{I_k} |I_k|^{p-1} \int_{I_k} \left| \frac{\partial V_n}{\partial \tau}(\tau, y) \right|^p d\tau d\mu_{n,k}(s) dt \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m |I_k| |I_k|^{p-1} \int_{I_k} \left| \frac{\partial V_n}{\partial \tau}(\tau, y) \right|^p d\tau \\
 &= \frac{1}{m^p} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial V_n}{\partial t}(t, y) \right|^p dt \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{m^p} \int_0^1 |\nabla V_n(t, y)|^p dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, integrating with respect to $y \in \Gamma_0$,

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\Gamma_0} |W_{m,n}(t, y) - V_n(t, y)|^p dt d\gamma(y) \leq \frac{1}{m^p} \int_0^1 \int_{\Gamma_0} |\nabla V_n(t, y)|^p dt d\gamma(y) \leq \frac{C}{m^p},$$

since V_n is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\]0, 1[\times \Gamma_0)$. Consequently $W_{m,n} \in L^p(\]0, 1[\times \Gamma_0)$ and

$$(10) \quad \|W_{m,n} - V_n\|_{L^p(\]0, 1[\times \Gamma_0)} \leq \frac{C}{m}$$

with C independent of n and m .

Next, for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $y \in \Gamma_0$

$$\nabla_y W_{m,n}(t, y) = \sum_{k=1}^m X_{I_k}(t) \int_{I_k} \nabla_y V_n(s, y) d\mu_{n,k}(s),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 |\nabla_y W_{m,n}(t, y)|^p dt &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I_k} \left| \int_{I_k} \nabla_y V_n(s, y) d\mu_{n,k}(s) \right|^p dt \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I_k} \int_{I_k} |\nabla_y V_n(s, y)|^p d\mu_{n,k}(s) dt \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m |I_k| \int_{I_k} |\nabla_y V_n(s, y)|^p d\mu_{n,k}(s) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m |I_k| \int_{I_k} \frac{\mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}(s)}{\int_{I_k} \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}} |\nabla_y V_n(s, y)|^p ds \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{\min_k \int_{I_k} \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}} \int_0^1 \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}(s) |\nabla V_n(s, y)|^p ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, integrating with respect to $y \in \Gamma_0$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 \int_{\Gamma_0} |\nabla_y W_{m,n}(t, y)|^p dt d\gamma(y) &\leq \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{\min_k \int_{I_k} \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}} \int_0^1 \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}(t) |\nabla V_n(t, y)|^p dt d\gamma(y) \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{m} \frac{1}{\min_k \int_{I_k} \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1} |\nabla v_n|^p dx$ is bounded.

Now, given any m , we can choose $M = M(m)$ so large that $\min_k \int_{I_k} \mathbf{a}_n^{p-1} \geq 1$ (e.g.) whenever $n \geq M(m)$ (this is by assumption (7) in the theorem). Thus

$$(11) \quad \int_0^1 \int_{\Gamma_0} |\nabla_y W_{m,n}(t, y)|^p dt d\gamma(y) \leq \frac{C}{m} \quad \text{whenever } n \geq M(m).$$

For each m , we choose an n such that $n \geq m$, $n \geq M(m)$. Then, it follows from (10) and (11) that $W_{m,n} \rightarrow V$ in $s\text{-}L^p(]0, 1[\times \Gamma_0)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\nabla_y W_{m,n} \rightarrow 0$ in $s\text{-}L^p(]0, 1[\times \Gamma_0)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have $\nabla_y V = 0$ a.e. as desired.

The proof of the theorem is now complete.

Correctors. The convergence of u_n to u in $w\text{-}W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ can be made more precise, introducing correctors. Let r_n be defined by $\nabla u_n = \delta_n^{-1} a a_n^{-1} \nabla u + r_n$. Assume that the operators g_n are uniformly strongly monotone, that is there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $x \in \Omega$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{R}^N$,

$$\alpha |z_1 - z_2|^p \leq (g_n(x, z_1) - g_n(x, z_2)) \cdot (z_1 - z_2).$$

Assume also that either G_n is positively homogeneous of degree p or $G_n=G$. Then $r_n \rightarrow 0$ in $s-L^p(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v}_n(t)=\delta_n^{-1} \int_0^t \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}_n^{-1}\mathbf{u}'ds$ where $\delta_n=\int_0^1 \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}_n^{-1}\mathbf{u}'ds$ and let $v_n=\mathbf{v}_n \circ \phi$. Then $\nabla v_n=\delta_n^{-1} a a_n^{-1} \nabla u$. Since the operators g_n are strongly monotone, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha c^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n - \nabla v_n|^p dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} (g_n(x, a_n \nabla u_n) - g_n(x, a_n \nabla v_n)) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla v_n) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} f_n(u_n - v_n) dx - \int_{\Omega} g_n\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta_n} a \nabla u\right) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla v_n) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_n - v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $w-W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and in $s-L^p(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n - \nabla v_n|^p dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\nabla u_n - \delta_n^{-1} a a_n^{-1} \nabla u = r_n \rightarrow 0$ in $s-L^p(\Omega)$.

4. Some generalizations

Other geometric settings can be considered with practically no change in the proof. In fact, we never used the assumption that $\Gamma=\Gamma_0$ (or Γ_1) was the boundary of a domain Ω_0 (Ω_1 respectively). Therefore Γ could as well be any bounded smooth hypersurface (with or without boundary) in \mathbf{R}^N and Ω could be any domain for which we have, as in the proof of Lemma 3, a diffeomorphism $D=(\phi, \psi): x \in \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow (t, y) \in [0, 1] \times \Gamma$. In this case $\Gamma_t \subset \bar{\Omega}$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) is to be the inverse image under D of $\{t\} \times \Gamma$ and Γ_0 and Γ_1 now just make up part of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω (in general). Thus e.g. Ω could be any kind of deformed rectilinear box with Γ_0 and Γ_1 being two opposite faces.

The proof goes through as in the case $\Omega=\Omega_0 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_1$ with $W_L^{1,p}(\Omega)$ now defined as $\{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); v=0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0, v=1 \text{ on } \Gamma_1\}$. The minimization problem (P_n) will be equivalent to (the weak formulation of):

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} g_n(x, a_n \nabla u_n) = f_n & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ u_n = 1 & \text{on } \Gamma_1, \\ g_n(x, a_n \nabla u_n) \cdot \nu = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \setminus (\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1), \end{cases}$$

where ν denotes the outward normal vector of $\partial\Omega$.

References

1. ATTOUCH, H., *Variational Convergence for Functions and Operators*, Pitman, London, 1984.
2. ATTOUCH, H. and BUTTAZZO, G., Homogenization of reinforced periodic one codimensional structures, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **24** (1987), 465–484.
3. BENSOUSSAN, A., LIONS, J. L. and PAPANICOLAOU, G., *Asymptotic Analysis for Periodic Structures*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
4. CHABI, E., *Homogénéisation de matériaux composites de forte conductivité*, Publication AVAMAC de Perpignan–France, 1985, No 13.
5. DE GIORGI, E., Convergence problems for functionals and operators, in *Proceedings of the International Meeting on Recent Methods in Non Linear Analysis, Rome May 8–12, 1978* (De Giorgi, E., Magenes, E., Mosco, U., eds.), pp. 131–188, Pitagora, Bologna, 1979.
6. FEDERER, H., *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1969.
7. GUSTAFSSON, B., MOSSINO, J. and PICARD, C., Homogenization of a conductivity coefficient in an annulus, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **309** (1989), 239–244.
8. GUSTAFSSON, B., MOSSINO, J. and PICARD, C., Homogenization of foliated annuli, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **162** (1992), 87–104.
9. GUSTAFSSON, B., MOSSINO, J. and PICARD, C., Limit behavior of some stratified structures with high conductivity, in *Progress in Partial Differential Equations: Calculus of Variations, Applications* (Bandle, C., Bemelmans, J., Chipot, M., Grüter, M., Saint Jean Paulin, J., eds.), *Pitman Research Notes Math.* **267**, pp. 187–199, Longman, Harlow, England, 1992.
10. LIONS, J. L., *Quelques méthodes de résolution de problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Paris, 1969.
11. MURAT, F., *H-convergence*, *Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle et Numérique de l'Université d'Alger*, 1977–78, multigraphié.
12. SPAGNOLO, S., Sulla convergenza di soluzioni di equazioni paraboliche ed ellittiche, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **22** (1968), 571–597.
13. TARTAR, L., Remarks on homogenization, in *Homogenization and Effective Moduli of Materials and Media* (Ericksen, J. L., Kinderlehrer, D., Kohn, R., Lions, J. L., eds.), pp. 228–246, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1986.

Received January 27, 1992

Björn Gustafsson
Department of Mathematics
Royal Institute of Technology
S-100 44 Stockholm
Sweden

Jacqueline Mossino
PMMS/CNRS
3A av. de la Recherche Scientifique
F-45071 Orleans Cedex 2
France

Colette Picard
U. F. R. de Mathématiques et d'Informatique
Université d'Amiens
33 rue Saint Leu
F-800 39 Amiens
France
and
Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique
Université de Paris-Sud
Bât. 425
F-91405 Orsay
France