## Archipelagic perspectives on mathematics, physics and perceptible spectra of reality

#### Djurönäset, 30 August - 3 September, 2021







Archipelagic perspectives on mathematics & physics

#### Nordita, March 2019



## Archipelago

#### Perspectives



Archipelagic perspectives on mathematics & physics

4/28

### $\mathsf{Math}\leftrightarrow\mathsf{Phys}$



*Perceptible* and *perceivable* both relate to *perceiving* (to become aware of or become conscious of by some means). The difference is that one of them has a mental component whereas the other doesn't.

**Perceivable** is being detectable chiefly **by sight or hearing**. There is no mental or intellectual component involving the 'mind' — just the senses.

• a silhouette perceivable through the mist

**Perceptible** is the one that additionally includes a 'mind' component — capable of or easily **detectable by the senses**, or easily **grasped by the mind**. Since this one covers both sensory and mental means, this is always the safer bet.

- perceptible changes in behaviour because you can 'sense' it in some way
- perceptible differences in the two concepts you can mentally grasp them

#### Spectra of reality? Subjective vs. Objective



#### What is real? How do you define Real?



#### What is real? How do you define Real?



#### Story time...

#### ● Some preliminaries: identity, QM, observable, contextuality ...

Workshop info

### Perspective boils down to identity (logical or experienced)



Locally identifiable  $\rightarrow$  individualized/localized Globally unidentifiable  $\rightarrow$  unified/omnipresent

#### Quantum statistics: bosons – fermions – anyons



#### Quantum statistics: bosons – fermions – anyons



Archipelagic perspectives on mathematics & physics 1

#### Quantum statistics: bosons - fermions - anyons



coherence  $\rightarrow$  optics

Pauli's exclusion  $\rightarrow$  chemistry

#### Quantum statistics: bosons - fermions - anyons



### What is QM?



Escher, Ascending and descending Archipelagic perspectives on mathematics & physics

12/28

#### What is QM?



#### Penrose-Reutersvärd tribar, 1934 "Impossibility in its purest form."

#### Observables

- information that subsystems have about each other
- observable aspect → definite value/data/outcomes (log. excl.)

$$\begin{array}{ll} A \ B \ C \in \hat{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{A}} \ \text{(partial) algebra of obss} \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \Psi \in \mathcal{S} \ \text{states} \\ & a \ b \ c \ \in \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \ \text{comm. algebra of outcomes} \end{array}$$

- obss describe possible info/knowledge obtainable from the sys.
- states describe the actual info/knowledge, i.e. current 'reality'
- "measurement" projects possibilities to actualities

$$\mathbb{P}(A \stackrel{\Psi}{\rightsquigarrow} a) = \langle \Psi | a \rangle \langle a | \Psi \rangle / \| \Psi \|^{2}, \qquad \Psi \mapsto | a \rangle \langle a | \Psi$$

 obss A, B commensurable if [A, B] = 0 (⇒ sim. knowledge) (uncertainty principle)

#### Observables

# Ex: $X = (+1)|\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| + (-1)|\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow| = \begin{vmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \rightsquigarrow x \in \{+1, -1\}$ $P = |\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| + |\uparrow\rangle\langle\downarrow| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow p \in \{+1, -1\} = \sigma(P)$ observables $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{1, X, P\} \subset \hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ states $\Psi = \alpha |\uparrow\rangle + \beta |\downarrow\rangle = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{vmatrix} \in \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C}^2$ non-commensurable: $[X, P] = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \notin \hat{\mathcal{O}}$

**Ex:** position  $\hat{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x |x\rangle \langle x| dx \rightsquigarrow x \in \mathbb{R}, \Psi \in \mathcal{S} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ momentum  $\hat{p} = -i\partial_x \rightsquigarrow p \in \mathbb{R}, \quad [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i1$  (Heisenberg u. p.) **Ex:** (distinguishable)  $\hat{x}_{jk}$  commensurable but not with  $\hat{H}$ 

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{x} = (x_{jk})_{j=1}^{N} \stackrel{d}{\underset{k=1}{\overset{d}{\underset{k=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{k=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{k=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{k=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{k=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\underset{j=1}{\underset{j=1}{\underset{j=1}{\underset{j=1}{\underset{j=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\atopj=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1}{\underset{j=1}{\atopj=1$$

$$\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{Nd}), \qquad \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \stackrel{\Psi}{\rightsquigarrow} \mathbf{x}) = |\Psi(\mathbf{x})|^2$$

**Ex:** (identical)

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{x}} &\rightsquigarrow \mathbf{x} \in \left( \mathbb{R}^{Nd} \setminus \Delta \right) \big/_{S_N} = \sigma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}), \qquad \hat{H} = -\Delta^{\mathcal{A}} \\ \Psi \in \Gamma \left( E \to \sigma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}); \mathbb{C}^D \right) \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal A$  locally flat connection on  $U(D) \Rightarrow$  bosons, fermions or anyons

#### Observables: angular momentum

$$\begin{split} & L_1 := \hat{x}_2 \hat{p}_3 - \hat{x}_3 \hat{p}_2 & \qquad \frac{1}{i} [L_1, L_2] = L_3 \text{ cycl. } \rightsquigarrow \{-\ell, -\ell + 1, \dots, \ell\} \\ & L_2 := \hat{x}_3 \hat{p}_1 - \hat{x}_1 \hat{p}_3 & \qquad \mathbf{L}^2 := L_1^2 + L_2^2 + L_3^2 \ \rightsquigarrow \ C_{\text{rep}} = \ell(\ell + 1) \\ & L_3 := \hat{x}_1 \hat{p}_2 - \hat{x}_2 \hat{p}_1 & \qquad \text{spin } \ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2 \end{split}$$

$$\ell = \frac{1}{2}: \quad L_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad L_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad L_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\ell = 1: \quad L_{1} = i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad L_{2} = i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad L_{3} = i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$L_{1}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad L_{2}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad L_{3}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $[L_j^2,L_k^2]=0 \; \forall j,k \; \; {\rm only \; for} \; \ell \in \{0, {1\over 2},1\}$ 

#### Bell-Kochen-Specker paradox

N. David Mermin: Hidden variables and the two theorems of John Bell



©M. C. Escher / Cordon Art - Baarn - Holland.

FIG. 2. The tower on the left of M. C. Escher's engraving "Waterfall." @ M. C. Escher/ Cordon Art, Baarn, Holland. The ornament atop the tower consists of three superimposed cubes. One of the cubes has all its edges horizontal or vertical. The other two are given by rotating this one through 90 degrees about each of the two perpendicular horizontal lines that connect the midpoints of opposite vertical edges. The 33 uncolorable directions used in the proof of the Bell-KS theorem in Peres, 1991, lie along the lines connecting the common center of the cubes to their vertices and the centers of their edges and faces.

#### Bell-Kochen-Specker paradox

Family of obss:  $S^2 \ni \mathbf{e} \mapsto L_{\mathbf{e}} = e_1 L_1 + e_2 L_2 + e_3 L_3, \ L_{\mathbf{e}}^2$ 

A function  $f\colon E\subseteq S^2\to \{0,1\}$  has the  ${\bf 101}$  property if

• 
$$f(\mathbf{e}) = f(-\mathbf{e}) \ \forall \mathbf{e} \in E$$
,

• for any orthonormal frame  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\} \subseteq E$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$(f(\mathbf{e}_1), f(\mathbf{e}_2), f(\mathbf{e}_3)) \in \{(0, 1, 1), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0)\}$$

**Theorem (BKS paradox):** Let  $E \subset S^2$ ,  $|E/_{\sim}| = 33$ , be the Peres subset of directions. There exists no function  $f: E \to \{0, 1\}$  with the 101 property.

 $\Rightarrow$  no hidden variables! / no objective reality! hidden variables : $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  imbedding of p.a.  $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \hookrightarrow$  comm.a.  $\mathcal{A}$   $(X, \mathcal{M}, O)$ : measurements  $X = \{a, \alpha, b, \beta\}$ , outcomes  $O = \{0, 1\}$ , measurement contexts  $C \in \mathcal{M} = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, \beta\}, \{\alpha, b\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}\}$ (commensurable measurements, i.e. can be performed together)

empirical model  $e: C \mapsto e_C$ : probability dist. on meas. outcomes:  $O^C \ni t \mapsto e_C(t) \in [0, 1]$ marginalization: for  $U \subseteq C$ ,  $t \in O^U$ ,

$$e_C|_U(t) := \sum_{s \in O^C, s|_U = t} e_C(s)$$

demand compatibility of all marginals: (cf. sheaf)

$$\forall C, C' \in \mathcal{M} \qquad e_C|_{C \cap C'} = e_{C'}|_{C \cap C'}$$

**noncontextual**:  $\exists$  global assignment of outcomes to all meass (h.v.)

$$\exists d \in O^X \text{ s.t. } \forall C \in \mathcal{M} \ d|_C = e_C$$

strongly contextual:  $\nexists g \in O^X$  s.t.  $\forall C \in \mathcal{M} \ e_C(g|_C) > 0$ (non)contextual fraction can be computed via linear prog.:

$$e = (1 - \lambda)e^{NC} + \lambda e^{SC}, \qquad \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

Bell inequality:  $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{M}, t \in O^C} a_{(C,t)} e_C(t) \leq R$ 

**Theorem:** Contextuality must be present in an empirical model whenever it admits a nonlinear function to be computed with a sufficiently large probability of success. The higher the desired success probability, the larger the contextual fraction must be.

Locally	Globally
flat	curved
linear / chronological	nonlinear / kairological
unentangled	entangled
individualized	unified
noncontextual	contextual
logically consistent	logically inconsistent
subjective	approximately objective?

Consciousness as a flow towards objectivity / logical consistency?

#### Satire on false perspective



Wheever makes a DESSER, without the Sinewledge of PERSECTIVE will be hiddle to such . Ikardities as are shewn in this Woodstrivere.

"Whoever makes a Design without the Knowledge of Perspective will be liable to such Absurdities as are shewn in this Frontispiece." William Hogarth, 1754

### Strange loop / Self-entanglement

# $0 \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow \bigotimes$

# Strange loop / Self-entanglement



# A forum for exchanging and discussing the most interesting ideas we have come across — with great setting & minimal constraints!

- Staff: Lena (here), Johanna Danielsson (there)
- Operator 08-571 490 60
- Access
- Breakfasts
- Lunches
- Dinners
- Facilities
- Work

#### Schedule updates

- Monday:
- Tuesday:
- Wednesday:
- Thursday:
- Friday:

#### Enjoy!