

Algebraic stacks #15

May 20, 2024

Deligne-Mumford stacks as ringed toposes (Lecture by Emol)

Def: Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ be a ringed topos. We say that it is Deligne-Mumford if

\exists objects U_{α} of \mathcal{X} such that:

(a) $\coprod_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1$ is an epimorphism

(b) $\forall \alpha$: the ringed topos $(\mathcal{X}/U_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/U_{\alpha})$ is equivalent to $\text{Spét}(R_{\alpha})$ for some ring R_{α} .

Def: A ringed topos is a pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ where \mathcal{X} is a topos ($= \text{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{J})$)

and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a ring object in \mathcal{X} ($= \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ring}$ which is a \mathcal{J} -sheaf)

A map of ringed toposes $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is a pair (f, α)

where $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a geometric morphism and

$\alpha: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ map of ring objects in \mathcal{Y} .

Can also define 2-cells... \leadsto 2-category RingTopos.

Ex: If (X, \mathcal{O}_X) ringed space, then $(\text{Sh}(X), \mathcal{O}_X)$ ringed topos.

Ex: If $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \in \text{RingTopos}$, $U \in \mathcal{X}$, then $(\mathcal{X}/U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/U) \in \text{RingTopos}$.

Def: Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ be a ringed topos, consider $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\times}$ (given by $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\times} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\times} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \downarrow \cup & & \downarrow \cap \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \end{array}$)

if

$$(1) \text{ "0} \neq 1 \text{"} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\times} \\ \downarrow \cup & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \end{array}$$

(2) " $\forall s: s \in \mathcal{O}_x^x$ or $1-s \in \mathcal{O}_x^x$ "

$\mathcal{O}_x^x \amalg \mathcal{O}_x^x \xrightarrow{(e, 1-e)} \mathcal{O}_x^x$ is an epi where $\mathcal{O}_x^x \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{O}_x^x$

Then we say that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is locally mixed topoi

Remk: If \mathcal{X} has enough points, then enough that the stalks are local rings.

Def: A mixed topoi $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is strictly henselian if for every

ring R and finite collection of étale maps $R \rightarrow R_\alpha$ s.t.

$R \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} R_\alpha$ is faithfully flat, then the map $\amalg_{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{R_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^R$

is an epimorphism where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^R$ is the object of \mathcal{X} defined by:

$$\mathcal{X}(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^R) \cong \text{Rings}(R, \mathcal{X}(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})) \quad (\text{naturally in } U \in \mathcal{X})$$

Remk: The usual $\text{Spec } R$ is locally mixed but not strictly henselian.

Def: (étale topoi of a ring R) Consider the category of étale

R -algebras $\text{CAlg}_R^{\text{ét}}$ with the topology on $(\text{CAlg}_R^{\text{ét}})^{\text{op}}$

generated by finite family of maps $\{A \rightarrow A_i\}$ s.t. $A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$ f. flat.

We call $\text{Sh}(\text{CAlg}_R^{\text{ét}})$ the étale topoi of R .

Def: $\mathcal{O}_R: \text{CAlg}_R^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{Set}: (R \rightarrow A) \longmapsto A$.

Prop: \mathcal{O}_R is a sheaf of rings for the above topology which is strictly henselian.

Def: $\text{Spét}(R) = (\text{Sh}(\text{CAlg}_R^{\text{ét}}), \mathcal{O}_R)$ is the étale spectrum of R .

Prop: $\underbrace{\text{StkTopos}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}), \text{Spét } R)}_{\text{a priori just a category}} \cong \underbrace{\text{Ring}(R, \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}))}_{\text{a set (in particular a groupoid)}}$

Cor: $\text{StkTopos}(X, Y)$ groupoid $\forall X, Y$ DM-stacks.

Rmk: If $X = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is Deligne-Mumford, then it is strictly henselian.
(one shows that strictly henselian cover \Rightarrow strictly henselian)

Def: Given $X = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ DM-stack, we get a pseudo-functor:

$$h_X: \text{Ring} \longrightarrow \text{Grpd}: R \longmapsto \text{StkTopos}(\text{Spét } R, X)$$

Thm: This defines a fully faithful functor

$$\text{DM-ringed toposes} \longrightarrow \text{Grpd}^{\text{Ring}}$$

and its image is the $(2,1)$ -category of DM-stacks.

proof (idea): If $X = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $Y = (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$ DM, then $\forall U \in \mathcal{X}$ consider

$$\Theta_U: \text{DM}(X|_U, Y) \longrightarrow \text{Grpd}^{\text{Ring}}(h_{X|_U}, h_Y)$$

Call U "good" object if Θ_U is an equivalence.

(i) $U \mapsto \Theta_U$ takes coproducts to products (so good obj's closed under small coproducts)

(ii) Given epi $U_0 \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{X} get $\dots U_2 \rightrightarrows U_1 \rightrightarrows U_0 \rightarrow A$ and if U_i good $\forall i$, then A good.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_2 & \rightrightarrows & U_1 \\ \text{"} & & \text{"} \\ U_0 \times_A U_2 & \times_A & U_0 \times_A U_1 \end{array}$$

(iii) Every atomic U is good (Yoneda)

(iv) If $f: A \hookrightarrow B$ mono and B good, then A good.

(v) If $f: A \rightarrow B$ arb. and B good, then A good.

(vi) $\forall A, \exists$ coeq $U_0 = \coprod_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \rightarrow A, U_{\alpha}$ atomic.

By (iii) + (v) $U_{\alpha} \times_A \dots \times_A U_{\alpha_n}$ good. By (i) U_0, U_1, \dots good.

By (ii) A is good.

Lurie's geometries (Lecture by Gabriel) (cf. Lurie DAG V, §1-2)

Comparisons:

Spec	/	Spét
scheme	/	DM-stacks
		⋮

Def: If \mathcal{C} category, \mathcal{X} topos, then $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Continuous}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$
 $= \text{Right adj}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$

Ex: $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}$ cat of sets. $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{X}) \cong \text{adj } \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\tau} \\ \xleftarrow{\tau} \end{matrix} \mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{X}$

Ex: $\mathcal{C} = \text{CRing}$. $\text{Shv}_{\text{CRing}}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{internal rings in } \mathcal{X}$.

More generally, for any category \mathcal{G} :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Shv}_{\text{Lex}(\mathcal{G}, \text{Set})}(\mathcal{X}) &= \text{Right adj}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \text{Lex}(\mathcal{G}, \text{Set})) \\ &= \text{Left adj}(\text{Lex}(\mathcal{G}, \text{Set})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{X}) \\ &= \text{Lex}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{X}) \end{aligned}$$

es., $\mathcal{G} = \text{CRing}_{\omega}^{\text{op}}$ gives

$$\text{Shv}_{\text{CRing}}(\mathcal{X}) \cong \text{Lex}(\text{CRing}_{\omega}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{X})$$

Idea (for Lurie geometries) Abstract the structure on $\mathcal{CRing}_\omega^{\text{op}}$ needed to def. an internal locally ringed object.

Def: A geometry \mathcal{G} is a small lex category,

- the data of admissible map: a subcategory $\mathcal{G}^{\text{adm}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ closed under id, comp and pull-backs and $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ if $g, h \in \mathcal{G}^{\text{adm}} \Rightarrow fg \in \mathcal{G}^{\text{adm}}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & g & \\ & \searrow & \swarrow h \\ & X & \end{array}$$

- a Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{G} s.t. each covering contains a covering generated by adm. maps.

Ex: $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{CRing}_\omega^{\text{op}}$
 $A \rightarrow A[\frac{1}{a}]$ adm

Ex: $(\text{Sp}(A[\frac{1}{a}]) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(A))_\alpha$ covering if $(a_\alpha) = (1)$ in A .

Def: A \mathcal{G} -structure on \mathcal{X} is a functor $\mathcal{O}: \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\text{lex}} \mathcal{X}$

s.t. for any covering of adm maps $\{U_\alpha \rightarrow X\}$, then

$$\coprod \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X) \text{ is an epi in } \mathcal{X}$$

Def: We have a category $\text{Struc}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{X})$ given by \mathcal{G} -str + nat. ths.

and subcategory $\text{Struc}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{X})$ given by \mathcal{G} -str + nat. ths such that

$\forall U \rightarrow X$ adm, the naturality square is a pull-back

Ex: $\mathcal{G}_{\text{Zar}} = \mathcal{CRing}_\omega^{\text{op}}$ w/ $A \rightarrow A[\frac{1}{a}]$ as admissible

Ex: $\mathcal{X} = \text{Sets}$. Then a \mathcal{G}_{Zar} -structure on \mathcal{X} is a local ring R b/c

$$\mathcal{CRing} \cong \text{Lex}(\mathcal{CRing}_\omega^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{X})$$

$$\text{and } \coprod_x \text{Ring}(A[\frac{1}{a_x}], R) \rightarrow \text{Ring}(A, R) \text{ surj}$$

$$R \longmapsto (A \longmapsto \text{Ring}(A, R))$$

for $A = \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $(1) = (x, 1-x)$ implies

$\forall r \in R$, either r or $1-r$ invertible.

If $R \xrightarrow{\varphi} S$ ring homo, then map in $\text{Struc}_G^{\text{loc}}(\text{sets}) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{U}$ local b/c

$$R^x = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[x][\frac{1}{x}], R) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[x][\frac{1}{x}], S) = S^x$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[x], R) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[x], S) = S \end{array}$$

so pull-back square $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{U}$ local.

Rule: If X has enough points, we can test the locality of a G -map on stalks.

Ex: If G is lex, there is a discrete geometry G_{disc} where $\text{adm} \Leftrightarrow \text{iso}$ and the topology is trivial. In this case $\text{Struc}_{G_{\text{disc}}}(X) \cong \text{Shv}_G(X)$.

Prop: \exists a classifying topos for a geometry, that is, $\text{Struc}_G(X) \cong \text{Topos}(X, \mathcal{K})$ but this is not enough to recover $\text{Struc}_G^{\text{loc}}(X)$

$$G \xrightarrow{\text{Yoneda}} \hat{G} \xrightarrow{\text{sheafify}} \text{Shv}(G) = \mathcal{K}$$

(This doesn't use the admissible maps!)

How to define a G -scheme

In classical theory, (X, \mathcal{O}_X) locally ringed space is a scheme if locally of the form $(\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A})$.

Affine scheme: $(\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A})$ has univ. property:

$\text{Spec } A$ is the loc ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) univ w/ a map $A \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{LRS}}((X, \mathcal{O}_X), (\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\text{RS}}((X, \mathcal{O}_X), (X, \mathbb{Z}))$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(A, \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{LocRingSp} & \xleftarrow{\quad T \quad} & \text{RMSp} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Top}(G_{\text{zer}}) & \xleftarrow{\quad T \quad} & \text{Top}(G_{\text{disc}}) \end{array}$$

$\text{Top}(G) = \text{topos w/ a } G\text{-structure}$

We have a map $G_{\text{disc}} \rightarrow G_{\text{zer}}$. We ask for a right adjoint of $\text{Top}(G_{\text{zer}}) \rightarrow \text{Top}(G_{\text{disc}})$

In general, for a map of geometries $G \rightarrow G'$ has a functor $\text{Top}(G') \rightarrow \text{Top}(G)$.

Fact: This map has a right adjoint $\text{Spec}_{G'}^{G'}$.

In particular:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Str}_{G_{\text{disc}}}(\text{Set}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Top}(G_{\text{disc}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Spec}_{G'}^{G_{\text{disc}}}} & \text{Top}(G) \\ & & \searrow & \text{Spec}_G & \nearrow \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

This generalizes usual spectrum

Def: A G -affine scheme is a G -structure of the form $\text{Spec}_G(X)$ for some $X \in \text{Str}_{G_{\text{disc}}}(\text{Set})$

A G -scheme is a G -structure s.t. $\exists \{U_\alpha\}$ in \mathcal{X} s.t. $\coprod U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ epi and $\forall \alpha: (X|_{U_\alpha}, \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_\alpha})$ affine G -scheme.

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{ét}}(h) = \text{CRing}_{h, \omega}^{\text{ét}}$$

f admissible if f étale.

$\{A \rightarrow A_\alpha\}$ covering if $A \rightarrow \prod A_\alpha$ f.f.a.t.

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{Zar}}(h) = \text{CRing}_{h, \omega}^{\text{ét}}$$

f admissible if $A \rightarrow A[\frac{1}{a}]$

$\{A \rightarrow A[\frac{1}{a}]\}_\alpha$ covering if $(a) = (1)$

$\mathcal{O}: \mathcal{G}_{\text{ét}}(h) \xrightarrow{\text{lex}} \text{Set}$ correspond to $A \in h\text{-alg}(\text{Set})$

\mathcal{O} is a $\mathcal{G}_{\text{ét}}(h)$ -structure $\Leftrightarrow \forall B$ h -alg and $\{B \rightarrow B_\alpha\}$ s.t. $B \rightarrow \prod B_\alpha$ f.f.a.t.

$\prod \mathcal{O}(B_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(B)$ surjective

\downarrow
 $\text{Ring}(B_\alpha, A)$

$\Leftrightarrow A$ strictly henselian