# EL3370 Mathematical Methods in Signals, Systems and Control

**Topic 2: Inner Product Spaces** 

Cristian R. Rojas

Division of Decision and Control Systems KTH Royal Institute of Technology Motivation and Definitions

Inner Product Spaces as Normed Spaces

Bonus Slides

Motivation and Definitions

**Inner Product Spaces as Normed Spaces** 

**Bonus Slides** 

Consider the space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It has:

- 1. Vector space (algebraic) structure: Given  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , their sum x + y and scalar multiplication  $\alpha x$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ) are defined.
- 2. Inner product structure:

$$(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \overline{y}_i, \qquad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (\overline{x}: complex \ conjugate \ of \ x \in \mathbb{C})$$

Many physical properties (e.g., work) can be defined in terms of inner products. Also,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  can define: *distances* (metrics), *length* (norms), *angles*, *limits* (topologies), ...

Goal: Extend inner products to general (possibly infinite dimensional) vector spaces.

### Definition

Let  $\ell_2$  denote the vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  of all complex sequences  $x = (x_n)$  which are square summable, *i.e.*, that satisfy  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 < \infty$ , with componentwise addition and scalar multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} x + y &:= (x_n + y_n), \quad x = (x_n), \ y = (y_n) \in \ell_2, \\ \alpha x &:= (\alpha x_n), \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned}$$

and inner product:  $(x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \overline{y}_n$ .

#### **Observation**

Need to verify that these operations (sum, scalar multiplication, inner product) are valid!

(We will do it later, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.)

# **Definition** (reminder)

A vector space V over a field F (e.g.,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a set with two operations,  $sum (x + y \in V, \text{ for } x, y \in V)$  and  $scalar multiplication (<math>\lambda x \in V$ , for  $x \in V$  and  $\lambda \in F$ ) s.t. for all  $x, y, z \in V$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ :

1. x + y = y + x,(commutativity)2. (x + y) + z = x + (y + z),(associativity)3. There is a null vector  $0 \in V$  s.t. 0 + x = x,4.  $\alpha(x + y) = \alpha x + \alpha y$ ,(distributivity)5.  $(\alpha + \beta)x = \alpha x + \beta x$ ,(distributivity)6.  $(\alpha\beta)x = \alpha(\beta x)$ ,(associativity)7. 1x = x.

A field F is a set with operations + and · which are: associative and commutative; F has additive and multiplicative identities (0 and 1, respectively); every  $a \in F$  has an additive inverse (-a) and, if  $a \neq 0$ , a multiplicative inverse too  $(a^{-1} \in F)$ ; and · is distributive with respect to  $+: a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$  for all  $a, b, c \in F$ . (associativity) xx + y

 $\lambda x$ 

#### **Definition** (reminder)

Let *V* be a vector space over *F*;  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in F$ ;  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in V$ ; and  $X \subseteq V$ .

- *Linear subspace* B of V: subset of V s.t., if  $x, y \in B$ ,  $\alpha \in F$ , then  $x + y \in B$  and  $\alpha x \in B$ .
- Affine subspace (or linear variety) B of V: subset of V of the form  $x + M := \{x + m : m \in M\}$ , where  $x \in V$  and M is a linear subspace of V.
- *Linear combination* of  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ : an element  $\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n \in V$  (for *finite n*).
- lin X (span of X): set of all linear combinations of elements of X.
   Note. lin X is the intersection of all linear subspaces of V containing X (why?).
- If for every linear combination  $\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n = 0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , we have that  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0, X$  is *linearly independent* (l.i.). If not, *X* is *linearly dependent* (l.d.).
- Basis of V: A linearly independent set  $X \subseteq V$  which spans V (i.e.,  $\lim X = V$ ).
- dim *V* (*dimension* of *V*): number of elements of some basis of *V*. (All bases of *V* have the same number of elements; *why*?).
- If dim  $V < \infty$ , V is a *finite-dimensional vector space*. (**Obs**: V is not necessarily finite!)

#### **Definition (inner products)**

An *inner product* (*scalar product*) on a vector space *V* over  $\mathbb{C}$  is a mapping  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$  s.t. for all  $x, y, z \in V$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ :

- 1.  $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$ ,
- 2.  $(\lambda x, y) = \lambda(x, y),$
- 3. (x + y, z) = (x, z) + (y, z),
- 4. (x, x) > 0 when  $x \neq 0$ .

 $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$  is an inner product space (or pre-Hilbert space).

#### Examples

- 1. Complex vector space  $C[0,1] := \{f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is continuous}\}$ , with point-wise addition and scalar multiplication  $((f+g)(t) = f(t) + g(t), (\lambda f)(t) = \lambda f(t) \text{ for } f, g \in C[0,1], \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } t \in [0,1])$ , and inner product  $(f,g) = \int_0^1 f(t)\overline{g(t)}dt$ .
- 2. Space  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  of  $m \times n$  complex matrices, with inner product  $(A, B) = tr(AB^H)$ .

#### **Proof for Example 1:**

Since C[0,1] is a complex vector space (*exercise!*), we need to verify that  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfies the axioms of an inner product. Let  $f, g, h \in C[0,1]$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 1. & (f,g) = \int_0^1 f(t)\overline{g(t)}dt = \overline{\int_0^1 g(t)\overline{f(t)}dt} = \overline{(g,f)}. \\ 2. & (\lambda f,g) = \int_0^1 \lambda f(t)\overline{g(t)}dt = \lambda \int_0^1 f(t)\overline{g(t)}dt = \lambda(f,g). \\ 3. & (f+g,h) = \int_0^1 [f(t)+g(t)]\overline{h(t)}dt = \int_0^1 f(t)\overline{h(t)}dt + \int_0^1 g(t)\overline{h(t)}dt = (f,h) + (g,h). \end{aligned}$$

4. If  $f \neq 0$ , then there is a  $t_0 \in [0,1]$  s.t.  $l := |f(t_0)|^2 \neq 0$ . Since  $|f|^2$  is continuous, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $|f(t)|^2 > l/2$  whenever  $|t - t_0| < \varepsilon$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{split} (f,f) &= \int_0^1 |f(t)|^2 dt \\ &\geq \int_{\{t \in [0,1]: \ |t-t_0| < \varepsilon\}} |f(t)|^2 dt \\ &\geq \varepsilon \frac{l}{2} > 0. \end{split}$$



#### Theorem

For every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and x, y, z in an inner product space V,

- (i) (x, y+z) = (x, y) + (x, z),
- (ii)  $(x, \lambda y) = \overline{\lambda}(x, y),$
- (iii) (x,0) = (0,x) = 0,
- (iv) If (x, z) = (y, z) for all  $z \in V$ , then x = y.

#### Proof

- (i) By definition:  $(x, y+z) = \overline{(y+z,x)} = \overline{(y,x)} + \overline{(z,x)}$ .
- (ii) Similar to (i).
- (iii) Notice that (x, 0) = (x, 0y), and use (ii).
- (iv) Since (x,z) = (y,z), then (x y, z) = 0. Since this holds for every *z*, take z = x y, which gives (x y, x y) = 0. By the last axiom of an inner product, this implies x y = 0.

Motivation and Definitions

Inner Product Spaces as Normed Spaces

Bonus Slides

**Idea**: Inner products  $\implies$  lengths (*norms*)  $\implies$  distances (*metrics*).

**Example**: In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(x, y) = x^T y \implies$  length  $= ||x|| = \sqrt{x^T x} = \sqrt{(x, x)} \implies$  distance = ||x - y||.

#### Definition

In an inner product space *V*, the *norm* of a vector  $x \in V$  is  $||x|| := \sqrt{(x,x)}$ .

#### Examples

1. For 
$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$
:  $||x|| = \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2}$ .  
2. For  $f \in C[0, 1]$ :  $||f|| = \sqrt{\int_0^1 |f(t)|^2 dt}$ .

**Theorem.** For every *x*, *y* in an inner product space *V*, and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ :

(i)  $||x|| \ge 0$ , and ||x|| = 0 iff x = 0,

(ii)  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ ,

(iii)  $|(x, y)| \le ||x|| ||y||$ , with equality iff  $x = \alpha y$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , (*Cauchy-Schwarz inequality*)

(iv)  $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ .

(triangle inequality)

Proof. (i) Direct from last axiom of an inner product.

(ii) 
$$\|\lambda x\| = \sqrt{(\lambda x, \lambda x)} = \sqrt{\lambda(x, \lambda x)} = |\lambda| \sqrt{(x, x)} = |\lambda| \|x\|$$
.  
(iii) For every  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ :  $0 \le (x - ay, x - ay) = \|x\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\alpha}(x, y)] + \|a\|^2 \|y\|^2$ .  
Take  $a = tu$ , where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u = \exp(i \arg(x, y))$ , which gives  $0 \le \|x\|^2 - 2t|(x, y)| + t^2 \|y\|^2$ .  
The minimum of this quadratic expression w.r.t.  $t$  is  $\|x\|^2 - |(x, y)|^2/\|y\|^2$ , which must be non-negative.  
Furthermore, this is zero iff  $x - ay = 0$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

(iv) By (iii),

 $\|x+y\|^2 \le \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\{(x,y)\} + \|y\|^2 \le \|x\|^2 + 2|(x,y)| + \|y\|^2 \le \|x\|^2 + 2\|x\|\|y\| + \|y\|^2 = (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2.$ 

# Inner Product Spaces as Normed Spaces (cont.)

#### **Applications of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality**



#### Probability

Let *V* be an inner product space of zero mean real random variables *x* with  $E\{x^2\} < \infty$ , and inner product  $(x, y) := E\{xy\} = cov(x, y)$ . Then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$|\operatorname{cov}(x, y)|^2 = |(x, y)|^2 \le ||x||^2 ||y||^2 = \operatorname{var}(x)\operatorname{var}(y).$$

**Exercise**: Prove that the operations in  $\ell_2$  are well defined.

#### Applications of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (cont.)

**Theorem.** In an inner product space *V*, the inner product is a continuous function, *i.e.*, for every sequences  $(x_n), (y_n)$  s.t.  $x_n \to x$  and  $y_n \to y$ , we have  $(x_n, y_n) \to (x, y)$ .



Since  $(x_n)$  is convergent, it is also bounded (i.e., there is an M > 0 s.t.  $||x_n|| \le M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Indeed, since there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $||x_n - x|| < 1$  for n > N, so  $||x_n|| = ||x + x_n - x|| \le ||x|| + ||x_n - x|| < ||x|| + 1$ , we can take  $M = \max\{||x_1||, \dots, ||x_N||, ||x|| + 1\}$ .

Then, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. for  $n > N_0$ ,  $\|x_n - x\| < \varepsilon/(2\|y\|)$  and  $\|y_n - y\| < \varepsilon/(2M)$ , so  $|(x,y) - (x_n,y_n)| \le \|y\|[\varepsilon/(2\|y\|)] + M[\varepsilon/(2M)] = \varepsilon$ .

# Theorem (Parallelogram Law)

Let x, y be elements of an inner product space. Then,

$$||x + y||^2 + ||x - y||^2 = 2||x||^2 + 2||y||^2.$$

**Proof.** As  $||x \pm y||^2 = ||x||^2 \pm (x, y) \pm (y, x) + ||y||^2$ , the result follows by adding these expressions.

(See bonus slides for converse result!)

# Theorem (Polarization Identity)

Let x, y be elements of an inner product space. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (x,y) &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 + i\|x+iy\|^2 - i\|x-iy\|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \|x+i^k y\|^2, \quad \text{(complex case)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$
(real case)

Proof. Exercise!



#### A more interesting example for system theory

 $RL_2$ : space of *rational functions*, analytic on *unit circle*  $\partial \mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ with usual addition and scalar multiplication, and inner product

$$(f,g) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\omega}) \overline{g(e^{i\omega})} d\omega.$$

 $RH_2$ : subspace of  $RL_2$ , of functions analytic on *closed unit disc*  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , where  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$ 

In engineering terms:  $RL_2$  consists of rational functions without poles on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  (can be *stable* or *unstable*), and  $RH_2$  only has functions with poles outside  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  (*stable*).



#### A more interesting example for system theory (cont.)

**Exercise:** Prove that  $RL_2$  is an inner product space.

*Cauchy integral formula* simplifies calculations of inner products in  $RL_2$ : For  $h \in RL_2$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}} h(z) dz = \sum_{\substack{z_j = \text{pole of} \\ h \text{ in } \mathbb{D}}} \text{Res}_{z=z_j} [h(z)].$$

Example: 
$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z-a}, g(z) = \frac{1}{z-b}$$
 ( $|a| < 1, 0 < |b| < 1$ ), thus  
 $(f,g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{z-a} \frac{1}{\overline{z}-b} \frac{dz}{z} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i b} \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{z-a} \frac{1}{z-1/b} dz$  (since  $z\overline{z} = 1$  in  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ )  
 $= -\frac{1}{b} \operatorname{Res}_{z=a} \left(\frac{h(z)}{z-a}\right)$  where  $h(z) = \frac{1}{z-1/b}$  ( $h$  is analytic at  $z = a$ )  
 $= -\frac{1}{b}h(a) = -\frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{a-1/b} = \frac{1}{1-ab}.$ 

Normed Spaces

Motivation and Definitions

**Inner Product Spaces as Normed Spaces** 

Bonus Slides

The parallelogram law can be used to show that a given norm does not come from an inner product. However, when it holds, the norm can be used to derive an inner product!

Idea: Use the polarization identity! (consider the real case for simplicity)

$$(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 \right).$$

Let us check the properties of an inner product:

1. 
$$(y,x) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \|y+x\|^2 - \|y-x\|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 \right) = (x,y).$$
  
4.  $(x,x) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \|x+x\|^2 - \|x-x\|^2 \right) = \|x\|^2 > 0 \text{ if } x \neq 0.$ 

3. Decompose (x + y, z) in two different ways:

$$\begin{aligned} (x+y,z) &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \|x+y+z\|^2 - \|x+y-z\|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \|x+y+z\|^2 + \|x-y+z\|^2 - \|x+y-z\|^2 - \|x-y+z\|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \|x+y+z\|^2 + \|x-y-z\|^2 - \|x+y-z\|^2 - \|x-y-z\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Applying the parallelogram law yields:

$$\begin{split} (x+y,z) &= \frac{1}{4} \left( 2\|x+z\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 - 2\|x\|^2 - 2\|y-z\|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y+z\|^2 - 2\|y\|^2 - 2\|x-z\|^2 \right). \end{split}$$

Averaging these expressions and applying the polarization identity gives

$$(x+y,z) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \|x+z\|^2 - \|y-z\|^2 + \|y+z\|^2 - \|x-z\|^2 \right) = (x,z) + (y,z).$$

2. From the polarization identity and Property 3,

$$\begin{aligned} (-x,y) &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \| -x + y \|^2 - \| -x - y \|^2 \right) = -\frac{1}{4} \left( \| x + y \|^2 - \| x - y \|^2 \right) = -(x,y), \\ (0,y) &= (x - x, y) = (x, y) + (-x, y) = (x, y) - (x, y) = 0, \\ [n+1]x,y) &= (nx,y) + (x,y), \end{aligned}$$

so by induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and the 1st expression,(nx, y) = n(x, y) for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also, if  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , n([m/n]x, y) = (mx, y) = m(x, y), so ([m/n]x, y) = [m/n](x, y), thus  $(\lambda x, y) = \lambda(x, y)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Since norms are continuous (because  $||x|| - ||y|| \le ||x - y||$  from the triangle inequality), this last expression can be extended to all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(