# Problem Set 5

Sum of Squares Seminar

October 20, 2017, Due October 30, 2017

## **Graph Matrix Definition**

Recall the definition of the graph matrices  $R_H$ 

**Definition 0.1.** Given a graph H with ordered distinguished sets of vertices U, V, we take  $R_H$  to be the matrix such that

$$R_H(A,B) = \sum_{G': \exists \sigma: V(H) \to V(G): \sigma(U) = A, \sigma(V) = B, \sigma(H) = G'} \chi_{E(G')}$$

where A, B are ordered sets of vertices,  $\chi_E(G) = (-1)^{|E \setminus E(G)|}$ , and we require  $\sigma$  to respect the orderings on U, A, V, B.

**Remark 0.2.** This definition is the same as the definition  $R_H(A, B) = \sum_{\sigma:V(H) \to V(G):\sigma(U)=A,\sigma(V)=B} \chi_{\sigma(E(H))}$ up to a constant factor. The advantage of this definition is that it avoids counting the same Fourier character multiple times for a given matrix entry. This difference will not matter for this problem set.

## **Problem 1: Decomposing Graph Matrices (15 points)**

Express each of the following matrices as a linear combination of the matrices  $R_H$ 

- (a) 5 points:  $M_{(a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2)} = 1$  if  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  are all distinct and there are precisely 3 edges between  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  and is 0 otherwise.
- (b) 5 points:  $M_{(a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2)} = 2$  if  $a_1 = b_1$  and  $(a_2, b_2) \in E(G)$  and is zero otherwise.
- (c) 5 points:  $M_{ab} = (|v \in V(G) \setminus \{a, b\} : (a, v) \in E(G)| \frac{n-2}{2})(|w \in V(G) \setminus \{a, b\} : (b, w) \in E(G)| \frac{n-2}{2})$  if  $a \neq b$  and  $M_{aa} = 0$

#### **Solution**

- (a)  $M = \sum_{j} \sum_{H:U=\{u_1, u_2\}, V=\{v_1, v_2\}, U\cap V=\emptyset, |E(H)|=j} c_j R_H$  where  $c_0 = \frac{20}{64}$ ,  $c_2 = \frac{-4}{64}$ ,  $c_4 = \frac{4}{64}$ ,  $c_6 = -\frac{20}{64}$ , and  $c_1 = c_3 = c_5 = 0$
- (b) Let  $H_1$  be the graph with distinguished sets of vertices  $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$ ,  $V = \{v_1, v_2\}$  where  $U \cap V = \{u_1\} = \{v_1\}$  and  $E(H_1) = \emptyset$ . Similarly, let  $H_2$  be the graph with distinguished sets of vertices  $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$ ,  $V = \{v_1, v_2\}$  where  $U \cap V = \{u_1\} = \{v_1\}$  and  $E(H_1) = \{(u_2, v_2)\}$ .  $M = R_{H_1} + R_{H_2}$
- (c) Let  $H_1$  be the graph with distinguished sets of vertices  $U = \{u\}$  and  $V = \{v\}$ , additional vertices  $W = \{w_1, w_2\}$ , and edges  $E(H_1) = \{(u, w_1), (v, w_2)\}$ . Let  $H_2$  be the graph with distinguished sets of vertices  $U = \{u\}$  and  $V = \{v\}$ , additional vertices  $W = \{w\}$ , and edges  $E(H_1) = \{(u, w), (v, w)\}$ .  $M = \frac{1}{4}(R_{H_1} + R_{H_2})$

## **Problem 2: Norms of Graph Matrices (15 points)**

For each matrix M in problem 1, give probabilistic bounds on ||M|| and on ||M - E[M]||

**Remark 0.3.** *Here it is fine to state that the bounds hold with high probability without stating what that probability is. In case you're curious, in the rough norm bounds there is a factor of*  $polylog(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$  *where*  $\epsilon$  *is the probability of failure.* 

#### **Solutions**

By the matrix norm bounds, these matrices have norm  $\tilde{O}\left(n^{\frac{\max_{H:c_H\neq 0}\{|V(H)|-s_H\}}{2}}\right)$  where  $c_H$  is the coefficient of H in M and  $s_H$  is the minimum size of a vertex separator of H.

- (a) With high probability, ||M|| and ||M E[M]|| are both  $\Theta(n^2)$ . Note that for ||M E[M]||, the *H* with  $E(H) = \{(u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)\}$  has minimum separator size 0. Thus, for this *H*,  $|V(H)| s_H = 4$
- (b) With high probability, ||M|| is  $\tilde{O}(n)$  as  $|V(H_1)| s_{H_1} = 2$ . In M E[M], the coefficient of  $R_{H_1}$  is zeroed out.  $V(H_2) s_{H_2} = 1$ , so with high probability, ||M E[M]|| is  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$
- (c) Here E[M] = 0 and with high probability, ||M|| is  $\Theta(n^2)$

## **Problem 3: Analyzing** $N_d(I)$ (30 points)

In this problem, we consider the variance of  $N_d(I)$ , the number of cliques of size d containing a subset of vertices I.

- (a) 10 points: If we decompose  $N_4(\emptyset)$  (viewed as a  $1 \times 1$  matrix) as a linear combination of the graph matrices  $R_H$ , which  $R_H$  appear and what are their coefficients (up to a constant factor)? For your answer, only use H which have no isolated vertices.
- (b) 10 points: Let M be the  $n \times n$  matrix with entries  $M_{aa} = N_4(\{a\})$  and  $M_{ab} = 0$  if  $a \neq b$ . If we decompose M as a linear combination of the graph matrices  $R_H$ , which  $R_H$  appear and what are their coefficients (up to a constant factor)? For your answer, only use H which have no isolated vertices (except for a).
- (c) 10 points: Give a probabilistic bound (up to constant and logarithmic factors) on how much  $N_4(\emptyset)$  and  $N_4(\{i\})$  may differ from their expected values. Based on your analysis, what is the main source of this variance? What do you think the pattern is for general  $N_d(I)$ ?

## **Solutions**

(a)

$$N_4(\emptyset) = \sum_{V \subseteq [1,n]: |V|=4} \sum_{E:V(E) \subseteq V} \frac{1}{64} \chi_E = \sum_E \sum_{V:V(E) \subseteq V} \frac{1}{64} \chi_E$$

where V(E) is the set of endpoints of E. Thus,  $N_4(\emptyset)$  can be decomposed as follows (all H here have empty U, V and have no isolated vertices):

- 1.  $N_4(\emptyset)$  has coefficient  $\frac{1}{64} \binom{n}{4}$  for the empty *H*.
- 2.  $N_4(\emptyset)$  has coefficient  $\frac{1}{64}\binom{n-2}{2}$  for the *H* consisting of a single edge.
- 3.  $N_4(\emptyset)$  has coefficient  $\frac{1}{64}(n-3)$  for the *H* consisting of two edges with one common endpoint.
- 4.  $N_4(\emptyset)$  has coefficient  $\frac{1}{64}(n-3)$  for the *H* consisting of a triangle.
- 5.  $N_4(\emptyset)$  has coefficient  $\frac{1}{64}$  for all H such |V(E(H))| = 4 where V(E(H)) is the set of endpoints of edges of H.

(b)

$$N_4(\{a\}) = \sum_{V \subseteq [1,n]: |V|=4} \sum_{E:V(E) \subseteq V} \frac{1}{64} \chi_E = \sum_E \sum_{V:V(E) \subseteq V} \frac{1}{64} \chi_E$$

where V(E) is the set of endpoints of E. Thus, M can be decomposed as follows (all H here have  $U = V = \{u\}$  and have no isolated vertices except for u):

1. *M* has coefficient  $\frac{1}{64} \binom{n-1}{3}$  for the *H* with no edges.

- 2. *M* has coefficient  $\frac{1}{64} \binom{n-2}{2}$  for the *H* consisting of a single edge, one of whose endpoints is *u*.
- 3. *M* has coefficient  $\frac{1}{64}(n-3)$  for all *H* such that  $|V(E(H)) \cup \{u\}| = 3$
- 4. *M* has coefficient  $\frac{1}{64}$  for all *H* such that  $|V(E(H)) \cup \{u\}| = 4$
- (c) Using the matrix norm bounds, with high probability  $N_4(\emptyset) E[N_4(\emptyset)]$  is  $\tilde{O}(n^3)$ . The main source of this variance is the variance of the number of edges in the input graph G. Similarly, with high probability,  $N_4(\{a\}) E[N_4(\{a\})]$  is  $\tilde{O}(n^{2.5})$ . The main source of this variance is the variance of the degree of a.

More generally, with high probability  $N_d(\emptyset) = (1 \pm \tilde{O}(\frac{1}{n}))2^{-\binom{d}{2}}\binom{n}{d}$  and the main source of this variance is the variance of the number of edges in the input graph G. Conditioned on I being a clique, with high probability  $N_d(I) = (1 \pm \tilde{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}))2^{\binom{|I|}{2}-\binom{d}{2}}\binom{n-|I|}{d-|I|}$  and the main source of this variance is the variance of the number of vertices which are adjacent to every vertex in I.

## **Problem 4: Analyzing** E[M'] (10 points)

Recall that E[M'] is the matrix with entries  $(E[M'])_{IJ} = 2^{\binom{|I|}{2} + \binom{|J|}{2} - \binom{|I\cap J|}{2}} \frac{\binom{k}{|I\cup J|}}{\binom{n}{|I\cup J|}}$  where  $|I| = |J| = \frac{d}{2}$ . Further recall the  $D_i$  and  $P_i$  bases for the Johnson scheme.  $(D_i)_{IJ} = 1$  if  $|I \cap J| = i$  and is 0 otherwise.  $(P_i)_{IJ} = \binom{|I\cap J|}{i}$ . Decompose E[M'] in terms of the  $P_i$  basis (your answer will be a bit messy) and deduce that E[M'] has a high minimal eigenvalue.

#### Solution

Recall that  $D_i = \sum_{j=i}^{\frac{d}{2}} (-1)^{j-i} {j \choose i} P_j$ . We now have that

$$E[M'] = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}} 2^{\binom{(\frac{d}{2})}{2} + \binom{(\frac{d}{2})}{2} - \binom{i}{2}} \frac{\binom{k}{d-i}}{\binom{n}{d-i}} D_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{j=i}^{\frac{d}{2}} (-1)^{j-i} \binom{j}{i} 2^{\binom{(\frac{d}{2})}{2} + \binom{(\frac{d}{2})}{2} - \binom{i}{2}} \frac{\binom{k}{d-i}}{\binom{n}{d-i}} P_j$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{j} (-1)^{j-i} \binom{j}{i} 2^{\binom{(\frac{d}{2})}{2} + \binom{(\frac{d}{2})}{2} - \binom{i}{2}} \frac{\binom{k}{d-i}}{\binom{n}{d-i}} P_j$$

Since n >> k, for each j the dominant term will be the term where i = j so the coefficient of each  $P_j$  will be roughly  $2^{\binom{\binom{d}{2}}{2} + \binom{\binom{j}{2}}{\binom{n}{d-j}}} \binom{\binom{k}{d-j}}{\binom{n}{d-j}}$  which is much bigger than 0. In particular, the coefficient of  $P_{\frac{d}{2}} = Id$  is  $\Theta\left(\frac{k^{\frac{d}{2}}}{n^{\frac{d}{2}}}\right)$ 

#### Problem 5: Wigner's Semicircle Law (30 points)

Wigner's semicircle law on the spectrum of  $\pm 1$  symmetric random matrices says the following. If M is a symmetric  $\pm 1$  random matrix then as n goes to infinity, the proportion of eigenvalues between  $x\sqrt{n}$  and  $(x + dx)\sqrt{n}$  approaches  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4 - x^2}$ . In this problem, we explore why Wigner's semicircle law holds. Let  $C_k = \frac{1}{k+1} {\binom{2k}{k}}$  be the kth Catalan number.

- (a) 15 points: Show that for all  $k \ge 1$ ,  $E\left[tr\left(\left(MM^T\right)^k\right)\right] = C_k n^{k+1} \pm O(n^k)$  (hint is available)
- (b) 10 points: Show that  $\int_{x=-2}^{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} x^{2k} \sqrt{4-x^2} dx = C_k$  (hint is available)
- (c) 5 points: To the best of your ability, explain why this implies that Wigner's semicircle law holds. One thing you could do is to assume that the eigenvalues of M divided by  $\sqrt{n}$  approach some distribution and then argue that this distribution must be  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}$ .

## **Solutions**

(a)

$$E\left[tr\left(\left(MM^{T}\right)^{k}\right)\right] = \sum_{a_{1},b_{1},\cdots,a_{k},b_{k}}\prod_{i=1}^{k}M_{a_{i}b_{i}}M_{a_{i+1}b_{i}}$$

where  $a_{k+1} = a_1$ . Consider the terms which have nonzero expected value. For these terms, each  $M_{ab}$  must appear an even number of times. We can ignore the terms which have at most k distinct indices as these will contribute  $O(n^k)$ .

**Lemma 0.4.** For the terms which have k + 1 distinct indices and have nonzero expected value:

- (a) For all  $i, j, a_i \neq b_j$
- (b) We can draw the constraint graph showing which indices are equal to each other as follows. We place the indices  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k$  on a circle. Whenever  $a_{i_2} = a_{i_1}$  and there is no j such that  $a_j = a_{i_1} = a_{i_2}$  and  $i_1 < j < i_2$  then we draw an edge from  $a_{i_1}$  to  $a_{i_2}$ . If we draw the constraint graph in this way then there will be no edge crossings.

*Proof.* The base case k = 2 is trivial. For k > 2, note that there must be a unique index as otherwise there would be at most k distinct indices. Without loss of generality, assume this index is  $b_1$ . If so, then we must have that  $a_1 = a_2$  so we can contract these indices together, delete  $b_1$ , and apply the inductive hypothesis.

With this lemma in hand, we have the following bijection between constraint graphs on  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k$  and walks of length 2k where we go up or down at each step and never go below height 0.

To go from a walk to a constraint graph, for  $j \in [0, k - 1]$ , label the (2j)-th vertex of the walk  $a_{j+1}$  and label the (2j + 1)-th vertex of the walk  $b_{j+1}$ . The final step of the walk will be to  $a_{k+1} = a_1$ . Whenever we take a step down, draw a constraint edge between the endpoint of the step and the previous vertex at that height.

Conversely, to go from a constraint graph to a walk, start at  $a_1$ , go through the vertices  $b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_k, b_k$  in order. Whenever a new index is encountered, take a step up. Whenever an index is encountered which has been encountered before, take a step down.

For each constraint graph with k + 1 distinct indices, there are  $n^{k+1} - O(n^k)$  different possibilities. Thus,  $E\left[tr\left(\left(MM^T\right)^k\right)\right] = C_k n^{k+1} \pm O(n^k)$ 

(b) Observe that for all  $k \ge 1$ ,

$$\int (\cos(\Theta))^{2k} d\Theta = \sin(\Theta)(\cos(\Theta))^{2k-1} + (2k-1) \int (\sin(\Theta))^2 (\cos(\Theta))^{2k-2} d\Theta$$
$$= \sin(\Theta)(\cos(\Theta))^{2k-1} + (2k-1) \int (\cos(\Theta))^{2k-2} d\Theta - (2k-1) \int (\cos(\Theta))^{2k} d\Theta$$

Thus,

$$2k\int (\cos(\Theta))^{2k}d\Theta = \sin(\Theta)(\cos(\Theta))^{2k-1} + (2k-1)\int (\cos(\Theta))^{2k-2}d\Theta$$

which implies that

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} (\cos(\Theta))^{2k} d\Theta = \frac{2k-1}{2k} \int_{0}^{\pi} (\cos(\Theta))^{2k-2} d\Theta = \pi \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left(\frac{2j-1}{2j}\right)^{2k-2} d\Theta$$

Using this and taking the substitution  $x = 2cos(\Theta)$ ,

$$\int_{x=-2}^{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} x^{2k} \sqrt{4 - x^2} dx = \frac{2^{2k+1}}{\pi} \int_{\Theta=0}^{\pi} (\cos(\Theta))^{2k} (\sin(\Theta))^2 d\Theta$$
$$= \frac{2^{2k+1}}{\pi} \int_{\Theta=0}^{\pi} (\cos(\Theta))^{2k} d\Theta - \frac{2^{2k+1}}{\pi} \int_{\Theta=0}^{\pi} (\cos(\Theta))^{2k+2} d\Theta$$
$$= 2^{2k+1} \left(1 - \frac{2k+1}{2k+2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left(\frac{2j-1}{2j}\right) = \frac{2^{2k}}{(k+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left(\frac{2j-1}{2j}\right)$$

Since  $\prod_{j=1}^{k} (2j-1) = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k (k!)}$  and  $\prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2j} = \frac{1}{2^k (k!)}$ ,

$$\int_{x=-2}^{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} x^{2k} \sqrt{4 - x^2} dx = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k} = C_k$$

as needed.

(c) Assume that the eigenvalues divided by  $\sqrt{n}$  approach some distribution f(x), i.e. the proportion of eigenvalues between  $x\sqrt{n}$  and  $(x + dx)\sqrt{n}$  approaches f(x)dx as  $n \to \infty$ . Further assuming that  $tr\left((MM^T)^k\right)$  is concentrated around it's expectation, for all  $k \ge 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{E[tr\left((MM^T)^k\right)]}{n^{k+1}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{2k} = \int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2k} f(x) dx = C_k$$

For odd moments, observe that M and -M are equally likely so we must have that for all  $k \ge 0$ ,  $\int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2k+1} f(x) dx = 0$ .

Thus, for all  $k \ge 0$ ,  $\int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} x^k f(x) dx = \int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} x^k \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{4-x^2} dx$ 

Note: A more rigorous explanation may be added in the future.

# Hints

5a. Use the following characterization of the Catalan numbers.  $C_k$  is the number of ways to take a total of k steps up and k steps down. With this characterization of the Catalan numbers, it is sufficient to find a bijection between such walks and constraint graphs on a cycle of length 2k with k + 1 distinct indices.

5b. Take the substitution  $x = 2\cos(\Theta)$  and use the fact (which can be shown by integration by parts) that for all  $k \ge 1$ ,  $\int_0^{\pi} (\cos(\Theta))^{2k} d\Theta = \frac{2k-1}{2k} \int_0^{\pi} (\cos(\Theta))^{2k-2} d\Theta$