

Problem Set 1

Sum of Squares Seminar

September 6, 2017, Due September 18, 2017

Problem 1 (10 points)

Recall that given equations $s_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, s_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$, etc., a degree d Positivstellensatz proof of infeasibility is an expression of the form $-1 = \sum_i f_i s_i + \sum_j g_j^2$ where

1. $\forall i, \deg(f_i) + \deg(s_i) \leq d$
2. $\forall j, \deg(g_j) \leq \frac{d}{2}$

Give a degree 2 Positivstellensatz proof that a square has no triangle, i.e. that the following equations are unsatisfiable over \mathbb{R} :

1. $\forall i \in [1, 4], x_i^2 - x_i = 0$
2. $x_1 x_3 = x_2 x_4 = 0$
3. $(\sum_{i=1}^4 x_i) - 3 = 0$

Choose one of the following two problems:

Problem 2: Linear Programming for Vertex Cover (20 points)

The vertex cover problem is as follows. Given an input graph G , what is the smallest size of a subset V of vertices of G such that every edge of G is incident with at least one vertex of V ?

- (a) 10 points: Give a linear programming relaxation of the vertex cover problem.
- (b) 10 points: How much smaller can the value of your linear programming relaxation be than the true size of the minimal vertex cover? In other words, letting $opt(G)$ be the true size of the minimal vertex cover of G and letting $LP(G)$ be the value the linear program gives, what is the largest α such that for all input graphs G , $\alpha \cdot opt(G) \leq LP(G) \leq opt(G)$? Prove that your answer is correct by giving examples showing that $\frac{LP(G)}{opt(G)}$ can be arbitrarily close to α and proving that for all graphs G , $opt(G) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} LP(G)$. (Hint is available)

Problem 3: Linear Programming for Maximum Matching (20 points)

Recall the maximum matching problem: Given an input graph G , what is the maximum k such that there are k edges which are all disjoint (i.e. no two of the are incident with the same vertex). Further recall the linear programming relaxation for this problem: We have a variable x_{ij} for each edge $(i, j) \in E(G)$ (where edges are always ordered so that $i < j$) and we maximize $\sum_{i,j:i < j, (i,j) \in E(G)} x_{ij}$ subject to

1. $\forall i < j : (i, j) \in E(G), 0 \leq x_{ij} \leq 1$
2. $\forall i, \sum_{j < i: (j,i) \in E(G)} x_{ji} + \sum_{j > i: (i,j) \in E(G)} x_{ij} \leq 1$

- (a) 10 points: Prove that if the input graph G is bipartite, this linear programming relaxation gives the correct value of k (hint is available).
- (b) 10 points: For general graphs, how much larger can the value for this linear programming relaxation be than the true size of the maximum matching? In other words, letting $LP(G)$ be the value of the linear program on G and letting $opt(G)$ be the true size of the maximum matching in G , what is the smallest α such that for all input graphs G , $opt(G) \leq LP(G) \leq \alpha \cdot opt(G)$? Give an example where $LP(G) = \alpha \cdot opt(G)$.

Challenge question: Prove that for all input graphs G , $LP(G) \leq \alpha \cdot opt(G)$.

Problem 4: Conditions of Von Neumann's Minimax Theorem (15 points)

Recall Von Neumann's Minimax theorem

Theorem 0.1. *If X and Y are convex, compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function which is convex in x and concave in y then*

$$\min_{x \in X} \max_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = \max_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} f(x, y)$$

- (a) 5 points: Give an example showing that the theorem may fail if X and Y are closed and convex but not bounded.
- (b) 5 points: Give an example showing that the theorem may fail if X and Y are compact but not convex.
- (c) 5 points: Give an example showing that the theorem may fail if f is not convex in x .

(d) Challenge question: What happens if X and Y are bounded and convex but not closed?

Hints are available

Choose one of the following two problems:

Problem 5: Duality for max flow and min cut (25 points)

Recall the linear program for the max flow problem. Given non-negative capacities $\{c_{ij}\}$ (where we take $c_{n1} = \infty$), maximize x_{n1} subject to

1. $\forall i, j, 0 \leq x_{ij} \leq c_{ij}$ (no capacity is exceeded, no negative flow)

2. $\forall i, \sum_{j \neq i} x_{ji} = \sum_{j \neq i} x_{ij}$ (flow in = flow out)

(a) 10 points: What is the dual linear program?

(b) 5 points: Show that any cut separating x_1 and x_n gives a feasible solution to the dual program.

(c) 10 points: Prove the max flow/min cut theorem (assuming strong duality) by showing that for any feasible solution of the dual program, there is a cut which has equal or smaller value (hint is available).

Problem 6: Linear Programming Strong Duality (25 points)

This problem gives a proof that strong duality holds for linear programming. Recall the slack primal and dual forms for a linear program.

Primal: Maximize $c^T x$ subject to

1. $Ax = b$

2. $x \geq 0$

where these inequalities are taken coordinatewise.

Dual: Minimize $y^T b$ subject to

1. $A^T y \geq c$

(a) 10 points: Assuming that the primal is feasible (i.e. there is an $x \geq 0$ such that $Ax = b$), prove that strong duality holds using Farka's lemma, which says the following:

Lemma 0.2 (Farka's Lemma). *If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then exactly one of the following holds:*

- (a) $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax = b, x \geq 0$
- (b) $\exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^T y \geq 0, b^T y < 0$

(hint is available)

Challenge question: What happens if the primal is infeasible?

- (b) 10 points: Prove Farka's lemma using the following version of the separating hyperplane theorem:

Theorem 0.3. *If X, Y are convex sets such that*

- (a) $X \cap Y = \emptyset$
- (b) X is compact.
- (c) Y is closed.

then there is a hyperplane H such that X is entirely on one side of H , Y is entirely on the other side of H , and neither X nor Y intersect H .

(hint is available)

- (c) 5 points: Show that if X and Y are convex sets and $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ has minimal distance (i.e. there is no $(x', y') \in X \times Y$ with $d(x', y') < d(x, y)$) then taking H to be hyperplane perpendicular to $y - x$ which passes through the midpoint between x and y , X is entirely on one side of H , Y is entirely on the other side of H , and neither X nor Y intersect H .

If you are familiar with compactness, complete the proof of the separating hyperplane theorem by proving that if X is compact and Y is closed then there must be a pair of points $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ of minimal distance.

Problem 7: Finite two person one round simultaneous zero-sum games (30 points)

Any finite two person zero-sum game which is played in one simultaneous round (i.e. both players choose a strategy and then simultaneously reveal them) can be represented in the following way. There is a payoff matrix M whose rows are labeled by strategies for player 1 and whose columns are labeled by strategies for player 2. M_{ij} is the amount that player 2 takes from player 1 if player 1 plays strategy i and player 2 plays strategy j . Thus, the row player is trying to minimize the resulting entry of M and the column player is trying to maximize the resulting entry of M .

For example, consider the game where both players simultaneously call out 1 or 2, player 1 wins if the sum of the numbers is odd, and player 2 wins if the sum is even. This game can be represented with the following payoff matrix: $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

- (a) 5 points: Give a payoff matrix for rock-paper-scissors.
- (b) 10 points: Now consider the general game with an arbitrary payoff matrix and assume that the rules are changed so that the row player must go first but can choose a probability distribution over his/her strategies. Give a linear program which finds an optimal strategy for the row player in this setting. What is the dual linear program? How does this relate to strong duality and Nash equilibria?
- (c) 15 points: Implement these linear programs to find a Nash equilibrium for the following payoff matrix:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 11 & 9 & 12 & 7 \\ 20 & 3 & 9 & 5 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 10 & 6 & 13 & 7 & 12 \\ 18 & 12 & 5 & 8 & 6 & 3 \\ 16 & 17 & 1 & 13 & 4 & 6 \\ 4 & 8 & 15 & 4 & 14 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hints

2b. For the examples, note that the linear programming relaxation always has a value of at most $\frac{n}{2}$ as it can always assign value $\frac{1}{2}$ to every vertex. For the proof, what happens if we take every vertex which has value at least $\frac{1}{2}$ in the output of the linear program?

3a. Use König's Theorem: For a bipartite graph G , the size of the maximum matching is equal to the size of the minimal vertex cover.

4a. There is an example where $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$.

4b. There is an example where $X = Y = \{-1, 1\}$.

4c. Consider the function $f(x, y) = xy$. If the y player plays first then $f(x) \leq 0$ so long x or y is 0 or x is chosen to have the opposite sign as y . On the other hand, if the x player plays first, he/she had better play $x = 0$. However, if the x player is penalized for playing $x = 0$...

5c. Show that any feasible solution for the dual can be written as a positive linear combination of a cut and another solution. For the cut, your dual linear program should involve weight variables w_{ij} for the edges of the graph where the sum of the weight variables along any path from $s = x_1$ to $t = x_n$ is 1. Take the cut (S, \bar{S}) where S is the set of vertices reachable from $s = x_1$ using edges of 0 or negative weight.

6a. Let z be a potential value for $c^T x$ which is larger than value for the given feasible point. Add the constraint $c^T x = z$ to the program by adding c as an extra row of A and z as an extra entry in b . Now use Farka's lemma to show that if the primal is not feasible with value z then the dual is feasible with some value less than z .

6b: Apply the separating hyperplane theorem to $X = \{0\}$ (the origin) and $Y = \{(b - Ax) : x \geq 0\}$