Lectures on Algebraic Statistics Ch. 3.1 & 3.2

Lukas Gustafsson

April 11, 2021

L. Gustafsson Lectures on Algebraic Statistics Ch. 3.1 & 3.2

< 3 > < 3

• Define Conditional Probability explicitly

3 🕨 🖌 3

- Define Conditional Probability explicitly
- Define Conditional Independence (CI)

- Define Conditional Probability explicitly
- Define Conditional Independence (CI)
- Describe discrete models with CI

- Define Conditional Probability explicitly
- Define Conditional Independence (CI)
- Describe discrete models with CI
- Describe Gaussian models with CI

- Define Conditional Probability explicitly
- Define Conditional Independence (CI)
- Describe discrete models with CI
- Describe Gaussian models with CI
- Graphical models, undirected

What is a conditional probability?

3 🕨 🖌 3

What is a conditional probability?

Recall that for two events A, B we define it to be

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = rac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Probability intro



2

э

白マ・ト・

Probability intro



 $\mathbb{P}(A) = 1/2$ while $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is much smaller.

How do we define conditional probability of random variables?

Let X be a random vector then for $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ let X = c denote

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = c\}$$

3

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

If X, Y are discrete

$$\mathbb{P}(X = i | Y = j) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = i, Y = j)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = j)}$$

Image: A marked black

э

If X, Y are discrete

$$\mathbb{P}(X = i | Y = j) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = i, Y = j)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = j)}$$

In other notation

$$\frac{p_{ij}}{p_{+i}}$$

□ ► < E ► < E ►</p>

э

Conditional probability

Let $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with density f_X .

A B F A B F

Let $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with density f_X .

If $A \subset [m]$, define subvector of X

$$X_A:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^A$$

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

э

Let $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with density f_X .

If $A \subset [m]$, define subvector of X

$$X_A:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^A$$

The marginal density

$$f_A(x_A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{A^C}} f_X(x) dx_{A^C}$$

- ∢ ≣ →

Given a continuous $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A, B \subset [m]$ disjoint. Then

$$f_{A|B}(x_A|x_B) := \frac{f_{A\cup B}(x_A, x_B)}{f_B(x_B)}$$

Defined conditional probability. Check!

• = • • =

Defined conditional probability. Check! Next?

• = • • =

Defined conditional probability. Check! Next?

Define conditional independence

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Ω

э



2

э

< ≣ >



Ω

P(B) = 18/49

2

э

< ∃ >

L. Gustafsson Lectures on Algebraic Statistics Ch. 3.1 & 3.2



Not independent!

3

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



L. Gustafsson Lectures on Algebraic Statistics Ch. 3.1 & 3.2



A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

A is independent of B if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$

A is independent of B if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$

A is conditionally independent of B given C if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B|C) = \mathbb{P}(A|C) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|C)$$

How do we translate this to random variables?

$X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$

Two discrete random vectors X, Y are conditionally indpendent given Z iff

$$\forall i, j, k \quad X = i \perp Y = j \text{ given } Z = k$$

글 > - < 글 >

For continuous random variables conditional independence means

$$f_{A\cup B|C}(x_A, x_B|x_C) = f_{A|C}(x_A|x_C) \cdot f_{B|C}(x_B|x_C)$$

Let $A, B, C, D \subset [m]$ be pairwise disjoint subsets.

(E)

Symmetry

 $X_A \perp\!\!\perp X_B | X_C \implies X_B \perp\!\!\perp X_A | X_C$

- * E > * E >

Decomposition

$X_A \perp\!\!\perp X_{B \cup D} | X_C \implies X_A \perp\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Decomposition

$X_A \perp\!\!\perp X_{B \cup D} | X_C \implies X_A \perp\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$

'If two combined items of information are judged irrelevant to A, then each separate item is irrelevant as well'

Judea Pearl. Causality: Reasoning and Inference.
Weak union

$X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B \cup D} | X_C \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_{C \cup D}$

御 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Weak union

.

$$X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B \cup D} | X_C \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_{C \cup D}$$

'Learning irrelevant information D cannot help the irrelevant information B become relevant to A'

글 > - < 글 >

Contraction

٠

 $X_A \perp \perp X_B | X_{C \cup D} \text{ and } X_A \perp \perp X_D | X_C \implies X_A \perp \perp X_{B \cup D} | X_C$

Contraction

٠

$$X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_{C \cup D} \text{ and } X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_D | X_C \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B \cup D} | X_C$$

'If we judge B irrelevant to A after learning some irrelevant information D , then B must have been irrelevant before we learned D'

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

٠

Intersection (only for strictly positive distributions)

 $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_{C \cup D} \text{ and } X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_C | X_{B \cup D} \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B \cup C} | X_D$

· • B • • B • B

Intersection (only for strictly positive distributions)

 $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_{C \cup D} \text{ and } X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_C | X_{B \cup D} \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B \cup C} | X_D$

'If B is irrelevant to A when we know C and if C is irrelevant to A when we know B, then neither C nor B (nor their combination) is relevant to A'

$X_4 \perp\!\!\perp X_1 | X_{2\cup 3} \text{ and } X_4 \perp\!\!\perp X_2 | X_{1\cup 3} \implies X_4 \perp\!\!\perp X_{1\cup 2} | X_3$



Define and gain intuition for conditional independence. Check!

コット イロット イロッ

Define and gain intuition for conditional independence. Check! Next?

向下 イヨト イヨト

Define and gain intuition for conditional independence. Check! Next?

For a discrete random vector, can we describe conditional independence of subvectors purely in terms of the 'probability tensor' $p_{i_1...i_m}$?

(E) < E)</p>

Notation:

Given a discrete random vector $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ we will denote

$$p_{i_1i_2\ldots i_m} := \mathbb{P}(X_1 = i_1, \ldots, X_m = i_m)$$

the distribution tensor.

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

If X is a discrete, then $X_A \perp \!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$ iff

 $p_{(i_A, i_B, i_C, +)} \cdot p_{(k_A, k_B, i_C, +)} - p_{(i_A, k_B, i_C, +)} \cdot p_{(k_A, i_B, i_C, +)} = 0$ for all $i_A, k_A \in \mathcal{R}_A$, $i_B, k_B \in \mathcal{R}_B$, and $i_C \in \mathcal{R}_C$.

Proof:

The first step is just to untangle the notation.

$$p_{(i_A,i_B,i_C,+)} := \mathbb{P}(X_A = i_A, X_B = i_B, X_C = i_C)$$

By definition all events $X_A = i_A$ must be conditionally independent of $X_B = i_B$ given $X_C = i_C$. This means exactly that

$$\frac{p_{(i_A,i_B,i_C,+)}}{p_{(+,+,i_C,+)}} = \frac{p_{(i_A,+,i_C,+)}}{p_{(+,+,i_C,+)}} \frac{p_{(+,i_B,i_C,+)}}{p_{(+,+,i_C,+)}}$$

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

This means that the matrix for a fix i_C , the conditional probability matrix $\frac{P(i_A, i_B, i_C, +)}{P(+, +, i_C, +)}$ is rank 1.

Thus $p_{(i_A, i_B, i_C, +)}$ must be rank 1 as well.

御 と く き と く き と … き

However, matrices of rank 1 is a famous variety, namely a Segre variety with well known equations, these are all the 2×2 minors of the matrix.

$$p_{(i_A,i_B,i_C,+)} \cdot p_{(k_A,k_B,i_C,+)} - p_{(i_A,k_B,i_C,+)} \cdot p_{(k_A,i_B,i_C,+)} = 0$$

For the other direction, we regain the conditional probabilities of A and B by taking marginals of the matrix.

A =
 A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

We define the conditional independence ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_{A \perp \perp B \mid C} = \langle p_{(i_A, i_B, i_C, +)} \cdot p_{(k_A, k_B, i_C, +)} - p_{(i_A, k_B, i_C, +)} \cdot p_{(k_A, i_B, i_C, +)} \rangle$$

It can be shown that this is prime (book omits it).

We define the conditional independence ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_{A \perp \perp B \mid C} = \langle p_{(i_A, i_B, i_C, +)} \cdot p_{(k_A, k_B, i_C, +)} - p_{(i_A, k_B, i_C, +)} \cdot p_{(k_A, i_B, i_C, +)} \rangle$$

It can be shown that this is prime (book omits it).

Satisfying several conditional statements amounts to adding the corresponding CI. ideals together.

글 > - < 글 >

The (marginal) independence statement $X_1 \perp \perp X_2$ (or $X_1 \perp \perp X_2 | X_{\emptyset}$) checks whether

$$\mathsf{rk}\begin{bmatrix}p_{11}&\ldots&p_{1r_2}\\\vdots&\ldots\\p_{r_11}&\ldots&p_{r_1r_2}\end{bmatrix}\leq 1$$

The (marginal) independence statement $X_1 \perp \perp X_2$ (or $X_1 \perp \perp X_2 | X_{\emptyset}$) checks whether

$$\mathsf{rk} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & \dots & p_{1r_2} \\ \vdots & \dots & \\ p_{r_11} & \dots & p_{r_1r_2} \end{bmatrix} \leq 1$$

More generally,

$$\forall i,j \quad X_i \perp\!\!\!\perp X_j \iff \mathsf{tensorrank}(p_{i_1i_2\ldots i_n}) \leq 1$$

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Theorem 3.1.11 (Binomial primary decomposition)

Can we paramterize these models?

글 🕨 🖌 글

Every primary component and associated prime of a binomial ideal is a binomial ideal. In particular, every irreducible component of a binomial variety is a toric variety, and is unirational.

Every primary component and associated prime of a binomial ideal is a binomial ideal. In particular, every irreducible component of a binomial variety is a toric variety, and is unirational.

Corollary: If C consists of CI statements of the form $A \perp B \mid C$ such that $A \cup B \cup C = [m]$, then every irreducible component of I_C is a unirational variety.

Discrete CI models. Check!

2

日本・モン・モン

Discrete CI models. Check! Next?

2

日本・モン・モン

Discrete CI models. Check! Next?

CI models of normal distributions.

A 10

- * E > * E >

The statement $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$ holds for $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ if and only

 $\mathsf{rk}\, \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{C},\mathcal{B}\cup\mathcal{C}} \leq \#\mathcal{C}$

@ + * 문 + * 문 + - 문

The statement $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$ holds for $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ if and only

 $\mathsf{rk}\,\Sigma_{A\cup C,B\cup C} \leq \#C$

$$\Sigma_{A\cup C,B\cup C} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{A,B} & \Sigma_{A,C} \\ \Sigma_{C,B} & \Sigma_{C,C} \end{bmatrix}$$

@ + * 문 + * 문 + - 문

The statement $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$ holds for $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ if and only

 $\mathsf{rk}\, \Sigma_{{\boldsymbol{A}}\cup{\boldsymbol{C}},{\boldsymbol{B}}\cup{\boldsymbol{C}}} \leq \#{\boldsymbol{C}}$

$$\Sigma_{A\cup C,B\cup C} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{A,B} & \Sigma_{A,C} \\ \Sigma_{C,B} & \Sigma_{C,C} \end{bmatrix}$$

These are varieties in the entries of Σ , $\sigma_{i_1...i_m}$.

御 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト 三 ヨ

$$\Sigma_{A\cup B\mid C} = (\Sigma_{A\cup B, A\cup B} - \Sigma_{A\cup B, C} \Sigma_{C, C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C, A\cup B})$$

$$\Sigma_{A\cup B|C} = (\Sigma_{A\cup B,A\cup B} - \Sigma_{A\cup B,C} \Sigma_{C,C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C,A\cup B})$$
$$X_A \perp \perp X_B | X_C \text{ iff}$$
$$(\Sigma_{A\cup B,A\cup B} - \Sigma_{A\cup B,C} \Sigma_{C,C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C,A\cup B})_{A,B} = 0$$

$$\Sigma_{A\cup B|C} = (\Sigma_{A\cup B,A\cup B} - \Sigma_{A\cup B,C} \Sigma_{C,C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C,A\cup B})$$

$$X_A \perp X_B | X_C \text{ iff}$$

$$(\Sigma_{A\cup B,A\cup B} - \Sigma_{A\cup B,C} \Sigma_{C,C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C,A\cup B})_{A,B} = 0$$

$$(\Sigma_{A\cup B,A\cup B} - \Sigma_{A\cup B,C} \Sigma_{C,C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C,A\cup B})_{A,B} = \Sigma_{A,B} - \Sigma_{A,C} \Sigma_{C,C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C,B})$$

日本・モト・モト・モ

$$\Sigma_{A\cup B|C} = (\Sigma_{A\cup B,A\cup B} - \Sigma_{A\cup B,C} \Sigma_{C,C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C,A\cup B})$$
$$X_A \perp \perp X_B | X_C \text{ iff}$$

$$\Sigma_{A,B} - \Sigma_{A,C} \Sigma_{C,C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C,B} = 0$$

白とくほとくほど

$$\Sigma_{A\cup B|C} = (\Sigma_{A\cup B,A\cup B} - \Sigma_{A\cup B,C} \Sigma_{C,C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C,A\cup B})$$
$$X_A \perp \perp X_B | X_C \text{ iff}$$

$$\Sigma_{A,B} - \Sigma_{A,C} \Sigma_{C,C}^{-1} \Sigma_{C,B} = 0$$

This is the 'Schur complement' of $\Sigma_{C,C}$ in

$$\Sigma_{A\cup C,B\cup C} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{A,B} & \Sigma_{A,C} \\ \Sigma_{C,B} & \Sigma_{C,C} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now using that $\Sigma_{C,C}$ has rank #C the Guttman rank additivity formula gives us the desired result

 $\mathsf{rk} \, \Sigma_{A \cup C, B \cup C} = \mathsf{rk} \, \mathsf{Schur} + \mathsf{rk} \, \Sigma_{C,C}$

3

A B + A B +
Now using that $\Sigma_{C,C}$ has rank #C the Guttman rank additivity formula gives us the desired result

$$\mathsf{rk} \, \Sigma_{A \cup C, B \cup C} = \mathsf{rk} \, \mathsf{Schur} + \mathsf{rk} \, \Sigma_{C, C}$$

$$\mathsf{rk}\,\Sigma_{A\cup C,B\cup C}=\#C$$

→ Ξ → → Ξ →

Given disjoint $A, B, C \subset [m]$, define

 $J_{A \perp\!\!\perp B \mid C} = \langle (\#C+1) \times (\#C+1) \text{ minors of } \Sigma_{A \cup C, B \cup C} \rangle.$

This is an ideal of $\mathbb{R}[\sigma_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq m]$.

· • B • • B • B

Let
$$C = \{1 \perp 1, 1 \perp 3 \mid 2\}$$
.

$$J_{C} = J_{1 \perp 1, 3} + J_{1 \perp 1, 3 \mid 2} = \{ \mathsf{rk}[\sigma_{13}] = 0 \} \text{ and } \{ \mathsf{rk} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \end{bmatrix} = 1 \}$$

→ ★ 문 ▶ ★ 문 ▶ ... 문

Let
$$C = \{1 \perp 1, 1 \perp 3 \mid 2\}$$
.

$$J_{C} = J_{1 \perp 1, 3} + J_{1 \perp 1, 3 \mid 2} = \{\mathsf{rk}[\sigma_{13}] = 0\} \text{ and } \{\mathsf{rk} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \end{bmatrix} = 1\}$$

$$\implies \langle \sigma_{13}, \sigma_{12}\sigma_{23} - \sigma_{13}\sigma_{22} \rangle.$$

□ ► ★ E ► ★ E ► E

Let
$$C = \{1 \perp 1, 1 \perp 3 \mid 2\}$$
.

$$J_{C} = J_{1 \perp 1, 3} + J_{1 \perp 1, 3 \mid 2} = \{ \mathsf{rk}[\sigma_{13}] = 0 \} \text{ and } \{ \mathsf{rk} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \end{bmatrix} = 1 \}$$

$$\implies \langle \sigma_{13}, \sigma_{12}\sigma_{23} - \sigma_{13}\sigma_{22} \rangle.$$

$$J_{\mathcal{C}} = \langle \sigma_{13}, \sigma_{12}\sigma_{23} \rangle = \langle \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{13} \rangle \cap \langle \sigma_{13}, \sigma_{23} \rangle = J_{1 \perp \lfloor \{2,3\}} \cap J_{\{1,2\} \perp \downarrow 3}$$

(세종) 세종) 등

Let
$$C = \{1 \perp 1, 1 \perp 3 \mid 2\}$$
.
 $J_C = J_{1 \perp 1, 3} + J_{1 \perp 1, 3 \mid 2} = \{ \mathsf{rk}[\sigma_{13}] = 0 \} \text{ and } \{ \mathsf{rk} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \end{bmatrix} = 1 \}$
 $\implies \langle \sigma_{13}, \sigma_{12}\sigma_{23} - \sigma_{13}\sigma_{22} \rangle.$

$$\begin{split} J_{\mathcal{C}} &= \langle \sigma_{13}, \sigma_{12}\sigma_{23} \rangle = \langle \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{13} \rangle \cap \langle \sigma_{13}, \sigma_{23} \rangle = J_{1 \perp \!\!\!\perp \{2,3\}} \cap J_{\{1,2\} \perp \!\!\!\perp 3} \\ \text{It follows that} \end{split}$$

 $X_1 \perp \perp X_3 \mid X_2 \text{ and } X_1 \perp \perp X_3 \iff X_1 \perp \perp (X_2, X_3) \text{ or } (X_1, X_2) \perp \perp X_3,$ holds for multivariate normal random vectors.

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト 二 ヨ

CI models of normal distributions. Check!

御 と く ヨ と く ヨ

CI models of normal distributions. Check! Next?

2

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨト

CI models of normal distributions. Check! Next?

Graphical models!

э

A B F A B F

A graph will describe the conditional independence relations. i.e. it describes C which can generate either I_C or J_C .

Suppose all edges in the graph G = (V, E) are undirected.

A random vector X satisfies the undirected pairwise Markov property associated to G iff

$$\forall (v,w) \notin E \qquad X_v \perp \perp X_w | X_{V \setminus \{v,w\}}$$

(E) < E)</p>

In this chain, every pair of non-neighbours are independent given the other two.



For Gaussians these conditions correspond to

$$\det(\Sigma_{(V\setminus\{w\})\times (V\setminus\{v\})})=0\iff (\Sigma^{-1})_{vw}=0.$$

It is a linear concentration model!

For Gaussians these conditions correspond to

$$\det(\Sigma_{(V\setminus\{w\})\times (V\setminus\{v\})})=0\iff (\Sigma^{-1})_{vw}=0.$$

It is a linear concentration model!

For discrete models this will correspond to the hierarchical model associated to the simplicial complex whose facets are maximal cliques of G.

The global Markov property corresponding to ${\cal G}$ is the set of constraints

A, B separated in
$$G_{V \setminus C}$$
 $X_A \perp X_B | X_C$

A and B non-empty

∃→ ∢ ∃→

Theorem 3.2.2 . If the random vector X has a joint distribution \mathcal{P}^X that satisfies the intersection axiom.

Then \mathcal{P}^X obeys the pairwise Markov property for an undirected graph G if and only if it obeys the global Markov property for G.

From this we could easily see that the conditions

$$X_i \perp \!\!\!\perp X_{\{1,2,\ldots,i-2\}} | X_{i-1}$$



Proof:

One direction is trivial. Every pairwise condition is a global condition since every pair of non-neighbouring vertices are separated by the complement.

Induction

$[A \perp\!\!\!\perp B | C] \leq [A' \perp\!\!\!\perp B' | C'] \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \#C \geq \#C'$

· • B • • B • B

Undirected global Markov property

Case 1: $A \cup B \cup C = [m]$



Induction gives us that

$$X_A \perp B_i | X_{B_j \cup C}$$

Intersection axiom

$$X_A \perp \!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$$

Undirected global Markov property

Case 2: $A \cup B \cup C \subsetneq [m]$



Induction gives us that

 $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_{\{v\} \cup C}$ $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{\{v\}} | X_{B \cup C}$ Intersection and decomposition gives

$$X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{B \cup \{v\}} | X_C \implies X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$$

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Proposition 3.2.3 (Completeness of the undirected global Markov property).

Suppose $A, B, C \subset V$ are pairwise disjoint subset with A and B non-empty. If C does not separate A and B in the undirected graph G, then there exists a joint distribution for the random vector X that obeys the undirected global Markov property for G but for which $X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B | X_C$ does not hold.

Thanks for listening!

3 🕨 🖌 3

э