

# How to Split UL/DL Antennas in Full-Duplex Cellular Networks

José Mairton B. da Silva Jr<sup>\*</sup>, Hadi Gauch<sup>\*</sup>, G. Fodor<sup>\*†</sup>, C. Fischione<sup>\*</sup>

IEEE ICC'18 - Workshop on Full-Duplex Communications for Future Wireless Networks May 20, 2018

> \*School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science KTH Royal Institute of Technology <sup>†</sup>Ericsson Research Stockholm, Sweden https://people.kth.se/~jmbdsj/index.html jmbdsj@kth.se

# Architectures in full-duplex cellular networks



- Split architecture  $\rightarrow$  current radios + select UL/DL antennas
- Shared architecture  $\rightarrow$  circulator + bad with # of antennas

#### Why select UL/DL antennas?

- $\bullet$  Severe self-interference (SI)  $\rightarrow$  reduce # DL antennas
- $\bullet$  Severe UE-to-UE interference  $\rightarrow$  reduce # UL antennas





- 1. Introduction
- 2. System Model & Problem Formulation
- 3. Solution Approach: Parallel Successive Convex Approximation
- 4. Numerical Results
- 5. Conclusion

# Outline



#### 1. Introduction

- 2. System Model & Problem Formulation
- 3. Solution Approach: Parallel Successive Convex Approximation
- 4. Numerical Results
- 5. Conclusion

# FD characteristics in cellular networks





#### Benefits

- Spectral efficiency:  $\sim 2\times$
- Medium access layer: mitigate hidden terminal, collision avoidance, low latency...

# FD characteristics in cellular networks





#### Challenges

- Severe SI
- UE-to-UE interference
- $\bullet\,$  Mitigate both interferences  $\to\,$  user-frequency assignment, power allocation and antenna splitting

Research gap in FD cellular networks



#### Understand impact of split antennas

- How to split the antennas?
  - $\bullet~{\rm Everett2016} \to {\rm fixed}$  splitting based on array geometry
  - Gowda2018  $\rightarrow$  split to minimize gap between demand and achievable rates

#### Lack of efficient splitting algorithms

- Initial assumption on the # split antennas
  - If SI high  $\rightarrow$  reduce # DL antennas
  - $\bullet~$  If UE-to-UE interference high  $\rightarrow~$  reduce #~ UL antennas
  - If UL/DL asymmetry  $\rightarrow$  increase # antennas with higher demand

[Everett2016] E. Everett et al., "SoftNull: Many-Antenna Full-Duplex Wireless via Digital Beamforming," IEEE TWC, Dec. 2016. [Gowda2018] N. M. Gowda et al., "JointNull: Combining Partial Analog Cancellation With Transmit Beamforming for Large-Antenna Full-Duplex Wireless Systems," IEEE TWC, Mar. 2018.

# Contributions



- $\bullet\,$  Antenna splitting with UE-to-UE interference + distortions
  - Sum MSE minimization  $\rightarrow$  maximize sum spectral efficiency
- Combinatorial problem for UL/DL antenna splitting
  - Equivalent problem reformulation  $\rightarrow$  quadratic and biquadratic terms + first-order Taylor approximation
- NP-hard binary quadratic problem
  - Binary relaxation to hypercube  $[0,1]^{M \times 1} \rightarrow$  solve with Parallel Successive Convex Approximation (PSCA)
- Show spectral efficiency gains over simple splitting
  - Realistic system simulations  $\rightarrow$  Yes, 23% with high SI cancellation!
  - $\bullet$  How and when to split  $\rightarrow$  Yes, # antennas is the key!





#### 1. Introduction

#### 2. System Model & Problem Formulation

- 3. Solution Approach: Parallel Successive Convex Approximation
- 4. Numerical Results
- 5. Conclusion

# Definitions (1/2)





- Single-cell cellular system  $\rightarrow$  only BS is FD-capable
- # M/1 antennas at BS/UE; # UL users  $\rightarrow I$ ; # DL users  $\rightarrow J$
- Channel for flat fading  $ightarrow \mathbf{h}^u_i,\,\mathbf{h}^d_j,\,g_{ij}$
- SI cancellation matrix  $ightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathsf{SI}} \in \mathbb{C}^{M imes M}$
- Tx and Rx distortion signals are present  $\rightarrow c^u_j, \, \mathbf{c}^d_i, \, \mathbf{e}^u_i, \, e^d_j$

# Definitions (2/2)



- Tx beamforming and UL power ightarrow fixed  $\mathbf{w}_j^d,~q_i^u$
- UL and DL antenna assignment vector  $ightarrow \mathbf{x}^u, \, \mathbf{x}^d \in \{0,1\}^{M imes 1}$

$$x_k^{u(d)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if antenna } k \text{ is } \mathsf{Rx} \text{ (Tx) in the UL (DL)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

UL and DL received signals

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{y}^{u} &= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \mathbf{h}_{i}^{u} \left( \sqrt{q_{i}^{u}} s_{i}^{u} + c_{i}^{u} \right) + \mathbf{H}_{\mathsf{SI}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mathbf{w}_{j}^{d} s_{j}^{d} + \mathbf{c}^{d} \right) + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{u} + \mathbf{e}^{u}, \\ y_{j}^{d} &= \mathbf{h}_{j}^{d^{\mathsf{H}}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{J} \mathbf{w}_{m}^{d} s_{m}^{d} + \mathbf{c}^{d} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{I} g_{ij} \left( \sqrt{q_{i}^{u}} s_{i}^{u} + c_{i}^{u} \right) + \eta_{j}^{d} + e_{j}^{d}, \end{split}$$

• Effective channels and received signals

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{i}^{u} = \mathbf{X}^{u} \mathbf{h}_{i}^{u}, \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{d} = \mathbf{X}^{d} \mathbf{h}_{j}^{d}, \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathsf{SI}} = \mathbf{X}^{u} \mathbf{H}_{\mathsf{SI}} \mathbf{X}^{d}, \quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{u} = \mathbf{X}^{u} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{u},$$
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}^{u} = \mathbf{X}^{u} \mathbf{e}^{u}, \quad \underbrace{\mathbf{X}^{d} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{J} \mathbf{w}_{m}^{d} s_{m}^{d} + \mathbf{c}^{d} \right)}_{m=1}, \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}^{u} = \mathbf{X}^{u} \mathbf{y}^{u}.$$

DL Tx signal

### Problem formulation



7/15

• MSE for UL and DL users with optimal MSE receivers  $\mathbf{r}_i^u, \, r_i^d$ 

$$\begin{split} E_i^u &= \left| \sqrt{q_i^u} \mathbf{r}_i^{u^H} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_i^u - 1 \right|^2 + \mathbf{r}_i^{u^H} \Psi_i^u \mathbf{r}_i^u, \\ E_j^d &= \left| r_j^{d^H} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}_j^{d^H}} \mathbf{w}_j^d - 1 \right|^2 + \left| r_j^d \right|^2 \Psi_j^d. \end{split}$$

• MSE minimization with UL/DL antenna assignment

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{x}^{u},\mathbf{x}^{d}}{\text{minimize}} & \sum_{i=1}^{I} E_{i}^{u} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} E_{j}^{d} & (\text{Objective}) \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{x}^{u} + \mathbf{x}^{d} = \mathbf{1}, & (\text{UL/DL orthogonality}) \\ & \mathbf{x}^{u}, \mathbf{x}^{d} \in \{0,1\}^{M \times 1}. & (\text{Binary association}) \end{array}$$

# General solution approach



8/15



- Equivalent problem reformulation
  - Sum MSE as two quadratic and one biquadratic terms of  $\mathbf{X}^u, \mathbf{X}^d$
  - ullet One quadratic and one quartic in terms of  $\mathbf{x}^u$
- Quartic term  $\rightarrow$  complicated
  - First-order Taylor approximation ightarrow quartic becomes linear in  $\mathbf{x}^u$
- NP-Hard binary quadratic problem
  - Relaxation into unit hypercube  $[0,1]^{M \times 1}$
  - Successive convex approximation





#### 1. Introduction

2. System Model & Problem Formulation

#### 3. Solution Approach: Parallel Successive Convex Approximation

4. Numerical Results

#### 5. Conclusion

# Problem reformulation



# $\begin{array}{l} \text{MSE minimization} \\ \text{minimize} \\ \underset{\mathbf{x}^{u}, \mathbf{x}^{d}}{\text{minimize}} & \sum_{i=1}^{I} E_{i}^{u} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} E_{j}^{d} \\ \text{s. t.} & \mathbf{x}^{u} + \mathbf{x}^{d} = \mathbf{1}, \\ & \mathbf{x}^{u}, \mathbf{x}^{d} \in \{0, 1\}^{M \times 1}. \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{l} \text{Equivalent problem} \\ \text{minimize} & f^{u}(\mathbf{x}^{u}) + f^{u,d}(\mathbf{x}^{u}, \mathbf{x}^{d}) + f^{d}(\mathbf{x}^{d}) \\ \text{s. t.} & \mathbf{x}^{u} + \mathbf{x}^{d} = \mathbf{1}, \\ & \mathbf{x}^{u}, \mathbf{x}^{d} \in \{0, 1\}^{M \times 1}. \end{array}$

- Biquadratic  $f^{u,d}(\mathbf{x}^u, \mathbf{x}^d) \rightarrow \text{quartic } f^{u,d}(\mathbf{x}^u)$
- First-order approximation of  $f^{u,d}(\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^u)$

$$g^{u}(\mathbf{x}^{u}) = f^{u,d}(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{u}) + \mathsf{Diag}\left(\nabla f^{u,d}(\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{u})\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}^{u}.$$

Binary quadratic problem 
$$\rightarrow NP$$
-Hard  
minimize  $\mathbf{x}^{u^T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^u - 2\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}^u$   
subject to  $\mathbf{x}^u \in \{0, 1\}^{M \times 1}$ .

# Iterative solution approach



- Relaxation into unit hypercube  $\rightarrow \mathbf{x}^u \in [0,1]^{M imes 1}$
- Iterative convex approximation required  $\rightarrow$  Taylor approx. for neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{x}^u$
- PSCA includes proximal operator  $\frac{\alpha}{2} \|\mathbf{x}^u \mathbf{x}^{u^{(n)}}\|_2^2 \rightarrow$ minimize objective and stay close to previous iteration

Relaxed MSE minimization problem (RLX-PROX)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{x}^{u}}{\text{minimize}} & \mathbf{x}^{u^{T}} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{x}^{u} - \mathbf{b}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{u} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left\| \mathbf{x}^{u} - \mathbf{x}^{u^{(n)}} \right\|_{2}^{2} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{x}^{u} \in [0, 1]^{M}. \end{array}$$

• Centralized solution with low computational complexity





#### 1. Introduction

2. System Model & Problem Formulation

#### 3. Solution Approach: Parallel Successive Convex Approximation

#### 4. Numerical Results

#### 5. Conclusion

# Simulation Parameters



- Small cell with I = J = 4 and  $M = 8, \ldots, 128$
- SI cancellation  $\beta = [-50, \dots, -100] dB$
- $\bullet~\text{BS/UL}$  user maximum power  $\rightarrow~30/23~\text{dBm}$
- Proposed algorithm
  - RLX-PROX: Relaxed solution to MSE minimization problem

compared to

- EXH: exhaustive search
- SPLIT: equal splitting between UL and DL antennas
- 600 Monte Carlo iterations

Optimality gap - M = 8, SI = -100 dB





UL/DL antenna splitting → substantial gains of 23% to 32%
 RLX-PROX close to optimal solution → 9% difference

Mairton Barros (jmbdsj@kth.se) | ICC'18 (W10-S4 FDCOMM) | Numerical Results

# Average sum spectral efficiency $\times$ SI cancellation





- $\bullet~$  Naive splitting  $\rightarrow~$  performance decreases quickly with SI
- UL/DL antenna splitting
  - Maintains performance across SI
  - Crucial for low SI cancellation

Mairton Barros (jmbdsj@kth.se)

ICC'18 (W10-S4 FDCOMM)

Numerical Results

# Average sum spectral efficiency $\times\,\#$ antennas





- RLX-PROX and naive splitting  $\rightarrow$  gap decreases with # antennas
- Role of antenna splitting small for large # antennas

Mairton Barros (jmbdsj@kth.se) | ICC'18 (W10-S4 FDCOMM) | Nu

Numerical Results





#### 1. Introduction

- 2. System Model & Problem Formulation
- 3. Solution Approach: Parallel Successive Convex Approximation
- 4. Numerical Results

#### 5. Conclusion

# Some takeaways



#### Conclusions

- Combinatorial problem to split UL/DL antennas
  - MSE minimization  $\rightarrow$  sum spectral efficiency maximization
  - $\bullet~$  NP-hard problem  $\rightarrow$  solved with successive convex approximation
- Gains with UL/DL antenna splitting
  - Gains for spectral efficiency
  - Reduced role for large number of antennas
- $\bullet\,$  Low and high SI cancellation  $\to$  maintains spectral efficiency

#### Future works

• Impact of DL/UL beamforming in the splitting  $\rightarrow$  joint beamforming and antenna splitting



# How to Split UL/DL Antennas in Full-Duplex Cellular Networks

José Mairton B. da Silva Jr<sup>\*</sup>, Hadi Gauch<sup>\*</sup>, G. Fodor<sup>\*†</sup>, C. Fischione<sup>\*</sup>

IEEE ICC'18 - Workshop on Full-Duplex Communications for Future Wireless Networks May 20, 2018

> \*School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science KTH Royal Institute of Technology <sup>†</sup>Ericsson Research Stockholm, Sweden https://people.kth.se/~jmbdsj/index.html jmbdsj@kth.se