



### Overview

Speech in noise can be made more intelligible without an increase in energy!

- Human strategies (Lombard speech) do this to a limited extent;
- Machines can go further but need to be controlled carefully.

An optimization-based framework for speech pre-emphasis may target

- Minimizing a perceptual distortion measure (improving audibility);
- Maximizing recognition accuracy (improving sound discrimination).

We show that the optimization of a measure of speech intelligibility at the text level (based on a clean speech model from ASR) for the parameters of a speech modification strategy can increase intelligibility significantly.

The method requires:

- A phonetic transcription of the message;
- An accurate phone-level waveform segmentation. A-priori available in TTS. Extracted for recorded & live speech;
- Disturbance statistics.

# **Modifications & Intelligibility Optimization**



Figure 1: Speech Communication Hierarchy.

### Modification-side perspective

- Low-level modifications
- -Efficient but limited;
- -Widely used in practice.

### High-level modifications

- -Need more prior knowledge;
- Opens wide modificiation space.

- **Optimization-side perspective**
- Low-level measure optimization -Low complexity;
- Modification-specific;
- Conceptually far from the true objective (message intel.).
- High-level measure optimization
- More general;
- -Closer to the true objective.

# Enhancing Subjective Speech Intelligibility Using a Statistical Model of Speech

# Petko N. Petkov<sup>1</sup>, W. Bastiaan Kleijn<sup>1,2</sup>, Gustav Eje Henter<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sound and Image Processing Lab, School of Electrical Engineering, KTH – Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden <sup>2</sup>School of Engineering and Computer Science, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand

**Text-Level Objective Intelligibility** 

Select the modification parameters c that maximize the probability  $p\left(\boldsymbol{t} \mid \widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\left(\boldsymbol{c}\right), \mathcal{V}\right)$  of the correct transcription, where

- t is the correct message transcription
- $\mathbf{F}_{u}$  are the estimated features of the noisy signal
- c are modification parameters
- $\blacktriangleright$  V is a clean speech model from an HMM-based ASR.
- A theoretically-equivalent form of  $p\left(\boldsymbol{t} \mid \widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\left(\boldsymbol{c}\right),\right)$

$$\mathcal{O}(\boldsymbol{c}) = \log\left(p\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{c}) \mid \boldsymbol{t}, \mathcal{V}\right)\right) - \log\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \neq \boldsymbol{t}} p\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{c}) \mid \boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathcal{V}\right) p\left(\boldsymbol{\tau} \mid \mathcal{V}\right)\right), \quad (1)$$

where  $\tau$  is an index over all possible transcriptions,

$$p\left(\widehat{F}_{y}(\boldsymbol{c}),\boldsymbol{s} \mid \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{J} p\left(\widehat{f}_{y}^{j}(\boldsymbol{c}) \mid \boldsymbol{s}^{j}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}\right) p\left(\boldsymbol{s}^{j} \mid \boldsymbol{s}^{j-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}\right)$$
(2)

for some state sequence s and  $\mathrm{p}\left(\widehat{F}_{y}\left(c\right)\mid\boldsymbol{ au},\mathcal{V}
ight)=\sum\mathrm{p}\left(\widehat{F}_{y}\left(c
ight)
ight)$ 

**Phone-duration compensation:** the duration of a phone is not representative of its contribution to intelligibility at the word level. Duration-invariance can be introduced through phone duration normalization.

**Practical considerations:** the optimization of (1) is computationally demanding when working with context-dependent speech models from ASR. Here we focus on the first term of (1) and evaluate the performance of an approximation to the desired discriminative measure.

**Optimization problem:** 

$$\boldsymbol{c} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{c}'} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{j=1}^{J_l} J_l^{-1} \log \left( p\left( \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^j \left( \boldsymbol{c}' \right) \mid \boldsymbol{s}^j, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \right) p\left( \boldsymbol{s}^j \mid \boldsymbol{s}^{j-1}, \boldsymbol{t}^l, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \right) \right).$$
(4)

**Modifications:** i) spectral-band gain and ii) phone-energy gain adjustment (both accommodate linear energy-preservation constraints).

# System Architecture



Figure 2: Diagram of the system operating on a single word.

$$,\mathcal{V}\Big)$$
 is:

$$(\mathbf{c}), \mathbf{s} \mid \boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathcal{V}$$
 (3)

### **Experiment** I

- Eight-band spectral gain r (word level/energy preserving)
- No phone-duration normalizat
- Multi-speaker babble noise  $-3 \,\mathrm{dB}$ , 30 sentences, 8 subjects

### **Experiment II**

- 50-band spectral gain mod. (word level/energy preserving);
- **Two noise types at two SNRs,** 120 **sentences,** 12 **subjects.**



Figure 3: Results from subjective evaluation using 12 sets from the Harvard database and 12 subjects. (ref. method: Taal et al., 'A Speech Preprocessing Strategy for Intelligibility Improvement in Noise Based on a Perceptual Distortion Measure', ICASSP 2012. )

### System Behaviour



Figure 4: Effect of the adopted r

# **Future Work**

- Evaluate the performance of the discriminative measure;



### Results

nod.	Utterance-level word recognition:
);	$r_n = 0.38$ (natural speech)
ion;	$r_m = 0.59$ (modified speech)
at	High improvement significance.
S.	

# Phone-gain mod. (word level/energy preserving) follows spectral mod.;

P	FER	WAANT	AHV SL	P_
			warman and the second sec	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Spectral Modifie	cation			
Followed by Tem	poral Modifcatio	n		
anan <b>ahihihi</b> hilala		under abilition.	fier (	
I				

Phone-level spectral modifications (sound-specific modifications); **Extend application domain: TTS, live speech, accent adaptation.**