

#### UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

Radial Basis Functions generated Finite Differences to solve High-Dimensional PDEs in Finance

> Slobodan Milovanović supervised by: Lina von Sydow

Uppsala University Department of Information Technology Division of Scientific Computing

> June 1, 2014 Stockholm

The standard Black-Scholes-Merton model

$$dB(t) = rB(t)dt,$$
(1)

$$dS(t) = \mu S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dW(t), \qquad (2)$$

where B is the bond value, S is the stock value, r is the interest rate,  $\mu$  is the drift constant,  $\sigma$  is the volatility and W is a Wiener process.

- ► How to price a contingent claim issued on a stock S, maturing at time T, with a payoff function g(S(T))?
  - Itō calculus and Feynman-Kac theory

$$u(S(t),t) = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}^Q_{S(t),t}[g(S(T))].$$
(3)

 $\blacktriangleright$  Under Q measure the underlying dynamics is the following

$$dS(t) = rS(t)dt + \sigma S(t)d\tilde{W}(t).$$
 (4)



The Black-Scholes-Merton equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t + rsu_s + \frac{1}{2}s^2\sigma^2 u_{ss} - ru = 0, \\ u(s,T) = g(s). \end{cases}$$
(5)

- Analytical solution exists for certain contracts.
- Options

A European call/put option is a financial contract which gives the **right** to its owner, but **not the obligation**, to buy/sell a particular financial instrument (i.e. a stock S) at a certain expiration time T for a certain strike price K.

Payoff function for European call

$$g(s) = \max(s - K, 0) = (s - K)^+.$$
 (6)

Boundary conditions?







Figure 1 : The solution of the Black-Scholes-Merton equation for a call option with r=0.05,  $\sigma=0.3$  and T=5.



#### ► In higher dimensions

$$\begin{cases} dB(t) = rB(t)dt, \\ dS_1(t) = \mu_1 S_1(t)dt + \sigma_1 S_1(t)dW_1(t), \\ dS_2(t) = \mu_2 S_2(t)dt + \sigma_2 S_2(t)dW_2(t), \\ \vdots \\ dS_D(t) = \mu_D S_D(t)dt + \sigma_D S_D(t)dW_D(t). \end{cases}$$
(7)

▶ The Black-Scholes-Merton equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t + r \sum_{i}^{D} s_i u_{s_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^{D} [\Sigma \cdot \Sigma^T]_{i,j} s_i s_j u_{s_i s_j} - ru = 0, \\ u(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_D, T) = g(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_D). \end{cases}$$
(8)

## Test Problem





Figure 2 : The terminal condition.

## Test Problem





Figure 3 : The computed solution with T=1,~K=1,~r=0.05,  $\Sigma=[0.3,0.05;0.05,0.3].$ 



## Solutions

- Lower-dimensional problems are solved either analytically or using *finite difference* methods (FD).
- Higher-dimensional problems are solved using *Monte-Carlo* methods (MC).
- Problems
  - MC converges slowly.
  - ► FD becomes harder to implement in higher dimensions and suffers from the curse of dimensionality.
- Goals
  - Price options using mesh-free methods whose complexity does not increase severely with the dimensionality of the problem.

### Radial basis functions methods (RBF)?

## Radial Basis Functions Method



- Discretize space using N nodes.
- Approximate solution

$$u(s,t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k(t) \phi(\varepsilon \| s - s_k \|), \ k = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (9)$$

where  $\phi$  is a radial basis function and  $\varepsilon$  is a shape parameter.

- The linear combination constants λ<sub>k</sub> are found by enforcing the interpolation condition.
- This global approximation leads to a dense linear system of equations which tends to be ill-conditioned when ε is small.

A localized RBF method might be better!

# Radial Basis Functions generated Finite Differences



- Try to exploit the best properties from both FD and RBF with the minimal loss.
- For each point s<sub>i</sub> in space, define its neighborhood of M − 1 points.
- Approximate the differential operator at every point

$$[Lu(s)]_i \approx \sum_{k=1}^M w_k^{(i)} u_k^{(i)}.$$
 (10)

Compute the weights and put them in the matrix W

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi(\|s_1^{(i)} - s_1^{(i)}\|) & \dots & \phi(\|s_1^{(i)} - s_M^{(i)}\|) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(\|s_M^{(i)} - s_1^{(i)}\|) & \dots & \phi(\|s_M^{(i)} - s_M^{(i)}\|) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [L\phi(\|s - s_1^{(i)}\|)]_{s=s_i} \\ \vdots \\ [L\phi(\|s - s_M^{(i)}\|)]_{s=s_i} \end{bmatrix}$$

## Implementation



 Discretize the Black-Scholes-Merton equation operator in space using RBF-FD

$$u_t = -\left[r\sum_{i}^{D} s_i u_{s_i} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}^{D} \left[\Sigma \cdot \Sigma^T\right]_{i,j} s_i s_j u_{s_i s_j} - ru\right] \approx Wu.$$

- Integrate in time using the standard implicit schemes
  - BDF-1,
  - BDF-2.
- How to choose a stencil, boundary conditions, an RBF kernel and a shape parameter ε?

## Results



Figure 4 : The absolute error computed using 41 point in each dimension and a 5-point stencil.





- The method shows to be reliable with an expected error distribution.
- Performance of the method is high due to the very sparse linear system.
- The method promises competitiveness with the standard methods in the field.

## Thank you for your attention!



#### UPPSALA UNIVERSITET