

Erlang - functional programming in a concurrent world

Johan Montelius

KTH

HT15

Concurrent Oriented Programming

- processes have state
- communicate using message passing
- access and location transparent
- asynchronous

Functional programming

- evaluation of expressions
- recursion
- data structures are immutable

1 / 1

2 / 1

History

Today

Developed at Ericsson in late eighties, early nineties.

Targeting robust applications in the telecom world.

Survived despite “everything must be Java”

Growing interest from outside Ericsson.



3 / 1

4 / 1

- concurrency built-in
- multicore performance
- simple to implement fault tolerance
- scales well in distributed systems

- the functional subset
- concurrency
- distribution
- failure detection

5 / 1

6 / 1

Data structures

- Literals
 - atoms: foo, bar, ...
 - numbers: 123, 1.23 ..
 - bool: true, false
- Compound structures
 - tuples: {foo, 12, {bar, zot}}
 - lists: [], [1,2,foo,bar]

Variables

- lexically scoped
- implicit scoping - the procedure definition
- untyped - assigned a value when introduced
- syntax: X, Foo, BarZot, _anything

7 / 1

8 / 1

Assignment of values to variables is done by pattern matching:

$\langle \text{Pattern} \rangle = \langle \text{Expression} \rangle$

A pattern can be a single variable:

- $\text{Foo} = 5$
- $\text{Bar} = \{\text{foo}, \text{zot}, 42\}$

or a compound pattern

- $\{A, B\} = \{4, 5\}$
- $\{A, \{B, C\}\} = \{41, \{\text{foo}, \text{bar}\}\}$
- $\{A, \{B, A\}\} = \{41, \{\text{foo}, 41\}\}$

Pattern matching is used to extract elements from a datastructure.

$\{\text{person}, \text{Name}, \text{Age}\} = \text{find_person}(\text{Id}, \text{Employees}),$

9 / 1

10 / 1

Pattern matching

no circular structures

Pattern matching can fail:

$\{\text{person}, \text{Name}, \text{Age}\} = \{\text{dog}, \text{pluto}\}$

You can not construct circular data structures in Erlang.

Pros - makes the implementation easier.

Cons - I like circular structures.

```
area(X, Y) -> X * Y.
```

```
fac(N) ->
  if
    N == 0 -> 1;
    N > 0 -> N*fac(N-1)
  end.
```

13 / 1

14 / 1

```
sum(L) ->
  case L of
    [] ->
      0;
    [H|T] ->
      H + sum(T)
  end.
```

```
member(X,L) ->
  case L of
    [] ->
      no;
    [X|_] ->
      yes;
    [_|T] ->
      member(X, T)
  end.
```

15 / 1

16 / 1

```
F = fun(X) -> X + 1 end.
```

```
F(5)
```

```
map(Fun, List) ->
  case List of
    [] ->
      [];
    [H|T] ->
      [Fun(H) | map(Fun, T)]
  end.
```

17 / 1

18 / 1

```
-module(lst).
-export([reverse/1]).

reverse(L) ->
  reverse(L, []).

reverse(L, A) ->
  case L of
    [] ->
      A;
    [H|T] ->
      reverse(T, [H|A])
  end.
```

```
-module(test).
-export([palindrome/1]).

palindrome(X) ->
  case lst:reverse(X) of
    X ->
      yes;
    _ ->
      no
  end.
```

19 / 1

20 / 1

Concurrency is explicitly controlled by creation (spawning) of processes.

A process is when created, given a function to evaluate.

no one cares about the result

Sending and receiving messages is the only way to communicate with a process.

no shared state (...well, almost)

```
-module(account)
```

```
start(Balance) ->
    spawn(fun() -> server(Balance) end).
```

```
server(Balance) ->
    :
    :
    :
```

21 / 1

22 / 1

receiving a message

```
server(Balance) ->
    receive
        {deposit, X} ->
            server(Balance+X);

        {withdraw, X} ->
            server(Balance-X);

    quit ->
        ok

end.
```

sending messages

```

    :
Account = account:start(40),
Account ! {deposit, 100},
Account ! {withdraw, 50},
    :
```

23 / 1

24 / 1

```

server(Balance) ->
  receive
    :
  {check, Client} ->
    Client ! {saldo, Balance},
    server(Balance);
  :
end.

```

```

friday(Account) ->
  Account ! {check, self()},
  receive
    {saldo, Balance} ->
      if
        Balance > 100 ->
          party(Account);
        true ->
          work(Account)
      end
    end.
end.

```

25 / 1

26 / 1

implicit deferral

registration

A process will have an ordered sequence of received messages.

The first message that matches one of several program defined patterns will be delivered.

Pros and cons:

- one can select which messages to handle first
- risk of forgetting messages that are left in a growing queue

A node register associate names to process identifiers.

```
register(alarm_process, Pid)
```

Knowing the registered name of a process you can look-up the process identifier.

The register is a shared data structure!

Erlang nodes (an Erlang virtual machine) can be connected in a group .

Each node has a unique name.

Processes in one node can send messages to and receive messages from processes in other nodes using the same language constructs

```
moon> erl -sname gold -setcookie xxxx
:
:
(gold@moon)>
```

29 / 1

30 / 1

- a process can monitor another process
- if the process dies a messages is placed in the message queue
- the message will indicate if the termination was normal or abnormal or if the communication was lost

```
Ref = erlang:monitor(process, Account),
Account ! {check, self()},

receive
    {saldo, Balance} ->
        :

    {'DOWN', Ref, process, Account, Reason}->
        :

end
```

31 / 1

32 / 1

- A process can link to another process, if the process dies with an exception the linked process will die with the same exception.
- Processes that depend on each other are often linked together, if one dies they all die.

```
P = spawn_link(fun()-> server(Balance) end),  
do_something(P),
```

33 / 1

Summary

34 / 1

- functional programming
- processes
- message passing
- distribution
- monitor/linking

35 / 1