

## Bytewalla IV Routing and Application Layer Optimizations for Delay-Tolerant Networks

Implement the PRoPHET's queuing mechanism and design a solution for applications over  $\mathsf{DTN}$ 

MICHEL HOGNERUD

Master's Thesis at TSLab Supervisor: Hervé Ntareme Examiner: Peter Sjödin

#### Résumé

Recently, a new technology known as Delay-Tolerant Networking (DTN) has emerged. DTN seeks to address technical issues in networks that may lack continuous network connectivity. For instance, remote villages which do not have a permanent connectivity due to the lack of infrastructure. Several practical projects have been developed based on DTN. One of them, named Bytewalla, is a project developed at KTH since 2009. It is a DTN implementation running on the Android-platform and its goal is to bring Internet connectivity to remote villages. However, the DTN applications are still very few compared to the ones available for Internet. They are also difficult to integrate as compared to regular Internet applications. This can be explained by the fact that nowadays protocols were not designed for partly connected and disruptive environments. This thesis aims to improve the DTN implementation in Bytewalla for better performances and to design and implement an architecture to offer a better support to network applications. As part of this thesis, a SMTP application (mail client) will be integrated over DTN as a proof-of-concept for the Android and the Ubuntu operating systems.

This report is prepared as a partial fulfillment of my Master's thesis on "Routing and Application Layer Optimizations for Delay-Tolerant Networks".

Keywords : DTN, PRoPHET, Android, Bytewalla, SMTP

# Acknowledgments ...

iv

## Abbreviations and Acronyms

RFC	Request for Comments
IPND	DTN IP Neighbor Discovery
IP	Internet Protocol
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
DTN	Delay-Tolerant Networking
DTNRG	Delay-Tolerant Networking Research Group
SMTP	Simple Mail Transfer Protocol
PRoPHET	Probabilistic Routing Protocol for Intermittently Con-
	nected Networks
KTH	Kungliga Tekniska högskolan
IPN	Interplanetary Internet
SDNV	Self-Delimiting Numeric Values
OSI	Open Systems Interconnection
JPL	Jet Propulsion Laboratory
$\operatorname{SQL}$	Structured Query Language
EID	Endpoint Identifiers
RIB	Routing Information Base
TLV	Type-Length-Value

# Contents

Co	onter	ats	vi
1	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1	Overview	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	1
	1.3	Criteria	4
	1.4	Thesis Organization	5
2	Bac	kground and Related Work	7
	2.1	Motivation	7
	2.2	DTN Concept	7
		2.2.1 Early research	8
		2.2.2 NASA and IPN	8
		2.2.3 DTNRG	8
	2.3	DTN Applications	9
		2.3.1 DakNet	9
		2.3.2 N4C	9
		2.3.3 Sámi Network Connectivity (SNC)	10
		2.3.4 Bytewalla	$10^{-5}$
	2.4	Routing in Delay-Tolerant Network	10
	2.5	Summary	10
3	Drit	ewalla	13
J	Буї 3.1	Bytewalla I	<b>13</b>
	$3.1 \\ 3.2$	Bytewalla II	13
	э.∠ 3.3		13 14
	э.э 3.4	Bytewalla III	14 14
	0.4	Summary	14
4	$\mathbf{Spe}$	cifications	15
	4.1	The Bundle Protocol	15
		4.1.1 DTN Architecture	15
		4.1.2 Application Data Units, Bundles, Blocks	16
		4.1.3 Bundle Status Reports	17
	4.2	Routing	18

		4.2.1	Epidemic routing	
		4.2.2	PRoPHET 19	9
	4.3	Neight	oor Discovery	0
	4.4	Summ	ary	0
5	$\mathbf{Des}$	ion	21	1
9	5.1	0	$^{21}$ ng mechanism	
	0.1	5.1.1	-	
	5.0		• 0	
	5.2		ation Layer Optimization	
		5.2.1	Big Picture	
		5.2.2	Application Identification	
		5.2.3	The Client Components	
		5.2.4	The Server Components $\dots \dots \dots$	
		5.2.5	Application/DTN Interfaces $\dots \dots \dots$	
		5.2.6	Centralizing the traffic for better performances	
	5.3	SMTP	over DTN	
		5.3.1	SMTP over DTN Architecture	7
		5.3.2	SMTP Protocol Spoofing 28	8
	5.4	More (	Considerations	9
6	Imr	lement	tation 31	1
Ŭ	6.1		re Development Approach	
	6.2		ng Mechanism	
	0.2	6.2.1	Design in Bytewalla	
		6.2.2	Queuing Policies	
	6.3	-	ation Layer Optimization $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 3^4$	
	0.0	6.3.1	Python Implementation	
		6.3.2	Common files $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 34$	
		6.3.2	The Client         34	
		6.3.4		
		$\begin{array}{c} 0.3.4 \\ 6.3.5 \end{array}$		
	6 1			
	6.4		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
		6.4.1	Overview	
		6.4.2	Modifications to the Bytewalla application	
		6.4.3	The SMTP/DTN interface application	9
7	Test		Analysis 41	1
	7.1	Queuir	ng Mechanism	1
	7.2	Applic	ation Layer Optimization	3
		7.2.1	Testing	3
		7.2.2	Measurements	4
8	Cor	clusior	a 47	7
5	8.1		$ary \dots \dots$	
	U.T	~ amm		•

CONTENTS
----------

8.2	Future Work	 ••••	 	 	47
Bibliog	graphy				49

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

## 1.1 Overview

Internet allows people to communicate from far distances. It is a great opportunity for many people and the economy. Nevertheless, not everyone has access to these technical facilities. Some areas, especially developing countries and rural areas do not have this chance, hence increasing the gap between developed and developing countries. In other situations, such as recently in the Arab world, access to Internet is disabled and prevents people from communicating with the rest of the world.

In order to provide connectivity to remote areas, Bytewalla was started at KTH in Fall 2009. Bytewalla provides an application which helps to carry data in mobiles from the source to the destination, similar to a postman collecting letters to offices.

Several applications have already been developed with Bytewalla. For example mail integration and a healthcare application. However DTN has been optimized for developing applications easily on it. A solution has been studied and implemented in order to reply to this issue. Also, optimization techniques have been considered and partially implemented to improve applications communications over DTN. These improvements should help DTN to penetrate in real-world situations and make it easier to implement applications in DTN environments.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Since the birth of computer-mediated communication was first implemented in the US in the early 1960s, Internet has known a high adoption rate in the industrialized countries. It has now become part of many people's lives as a convenient real-time communication solution, and plays a major role in the economy.

However, "great disparities in opportunity to access the Internet and the information and educational/business opportunities tied to this access ... between developed and developing countries". This has been described as the term "global digital divide". Global digital divide points out the geographical division for Internet access. The emergence of the information revolution in states like Sweden and United Stated has reinforced their lead in the economy, while developing countries did not get profit from it, increasing the gap between these countries. [34]

Recent surveys show great differences in Internet usage between world regions [43]. Hence, 77.3% of the population of the United States has access to Internet. Scandinavia has even greater penetration, with 86.1%, 92.5%, 94.8% for Denmark, Sweden and Norway respectively. On the opposite, states such as Burkina Faso, Congo and Bangladesh have respectively 1.1%, 0.5% and 0.4% of their population which have access to Internet. On a more global scale, we see that Europe, North America and Oceania have the highest rates on contrary to world regions such as Africa and Asia.

In order to provide connectivity to rural areas and challenged networks, a new approach known as Delay-tolerant networking (DTN) was developed. DTN is meant to provide connectivity in heterogeneous networks that may lack continuous connectivity due to disruptions or considerable delay.

However, in these challenge environments, popular ad hoc routing protocols such as AODV (Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector Routing) fail to establish routes. A routing protocol named PRoPHET for "Probabilistic Routing Protocol for Intermittently Connected Networks" was developed since 2003 by Lindgren, et al [2]. In realistic situations, data mules encounters are rarely random. They move in a society and tend to have greater probabilities to meet certain mules than others. Hence, PRoPHET makes use of their history of encounters to maintain a set of probabilities for successful deliveries to known destinations and to route the data through the mules which have the best chances of delivering the data to its final destination.

The Bytewalla project was started at KTH in Fall 2009. Bytewalla is the DTN implementation on the Android-platform. The purpose is to connect African rural villages using Android phones with delay-tolerant networking [13]. The idea behind it is that people traveling between villages and cities while carrying their phones will carry data along their movements. The scenario is explained it the Figure 1.2. A "mule" (an Android phone) will connect a WiFi access point located in a village with no connectivity to Internet, and download the data. Once it reaches the city, the data's destination, the phone connects to the local WiFi access point and uploads the data. This works also on the other way, city to village.

Bus and cars doing regular trips between villages and cities could be used as mules by carrying an Android-phone running Bytewalla.

Bytewalla also includes the PRoPHET routing protocol. However the queuing mechanism which is necessary to know which bundles to drop when the storage gets full is missing from the implementation. Mobile phones storage space may be overloaded in case the mule receives a lot of data and it should have mechanisms to determine what data to drop first according to characteristics such as their priority




Figure 1.1. The Bytewalla System

or their delivery probabilities.

Along with the implementation of DTN on the Android-platform, a few applications such as emails, management tool and healthcare have been developed making use of DTN. However, integrating applications over DTN is still not a convenient process as most applications are optimized for TCP/IP communications.

In his paper [40], Jörg Ott talks about the difficulties met with integrating an application protocol with a mobile Internet. As he says, "the semantics of many of today's non-real-time-applications are perfectly compatible with disruptive mobile environments, it is just the protocol designs that are not". He points out some issues such as working with intermediaries and protocol operations. For example, sending a mail on Internet requires to go through intermediaries known as mail servers. In order to avoid single points of failures, applications should be designed for direct end-to-end operation, while the intermediaries would only focus on message forwarding. Also, entities need to be more proactive and communicate all their intentions at once rather than iteratively interacting with a peer, as each iteration would brings much more delay to the operation.

With Bytewalla, using emails with DTN requires to setup and configure many tools such as DNS, Postfix and Python [21] on the machine from which the mail is being sent. This is a complicated and a very resource hungry system, especially for devices which do not have regular energy supply.

## 1.3 Criteria

This thesis was carried at KTH in Spring 2011. A set of requirements have been established as defined below. But first, a literature study is carried out considering DTNs specifications.

The main objective is to provide an architecture which improves applications integration on DTN, their management as well as their reliability and delays. At the end, the system is tested to check that its functionalities are working accordingly with our goals. The other objective is the improvement of the PRoPHET implementation with queuing mechanisms. Hence, the main requirements are:

#### 1. Implementation of PRoPHET's queuing mechanisms.

The implementation will strictly follow the PRoPHET specification from "Lindgren, et al" and it will be a part of the existing Android application Bytewalla. The implementation must be flexible enough to be able to use different queuing policies according to the application's configuration. We will also implement two queuing policies depending of their efficiency which will be discussed in Chapter 5.

- 2. Design and implementation of the Application Layer Optimization. The application layer stands over the Bundle Protocol layer. The thesis goal is to ease the development of applications on top of DTN.
  - As for now, it is difficult to use DTN with multiple applications. The Bundle Protocol does not provide any information about the applications to which the bundles is intended to be delivered, as ports do in the TCP/IP model. Hence, we will provide a mechanism for using multiple applications in the same DTN network.
  - Developing an application for DTN is not an easy process as the email integration in Bytewalla 1 shows. Hence, we will propose an interface to run applications on a DTN network (e.g. Bytewalla) and to help building applications on top of DTN without having to worry about the underlying DTN layers.
  - The Application Layer Optimization will also give the ability to include optimization techniques to improve delay, reliability such as protocol spoofing. Protocol spoofing will let the applications communicate "all-at-once" instead of iteratively.
  - A management interface will be developed to provide administrators with statistics and information about the application communications over DTN.
  - Based on this system, it will also be possible to implement more tools.
     For example a subscription service: a village in a remote area could receive courses on a regular basis with DTN.

In order to achieve this we will present its design and implement a proof-ofconcept tool for the DTN nodes. This tool will include optimization techniques and interfaces to the applications willing to communicate through DTN. Be-

#### 1.4. THESIS ORGANIZATION

sides, we will deliver a management interface allowing the administrator to view and configure the network. This is more thoroughly described in Chapter 5.

#### 3. Performance analysis.

The existing tools (Bytewalla, Application Layer, DTN daemon) will be tested in order to ensure it works efficiently.

## 1.4 Thesis Organization

The thesis is organized in 8 chapters. The Chapter 2 presents the background of the DTN concept and the related work. The related work is a set of DTN related projects which have been conducted in the past or are still continued. One of this related project is named Bytewalla or is the project on which this thesis is based. Bytewalla has been through three iterations already and the work performed as part of this project is presented in Chapter 3. Then in Chapter 4, we present the technical background and the specifications required as part of this thesis. This includes the Bundle Protocol and its some of its companion concepts such as the DTN routing protocols and the Neighbor Discovery mechanism. Following this chapter, we present in Chapter 5 the design of our implementation. There we discuss about the available solutions and we explain our choices. Logically, we then explain the implementation part in Chapter 6. Finally we test the implementation and measure its performance in Chapter 7.

## Chapter 2

## **Background and Related Work**

This chapter first explains the need for the DTN technology. Then we identify the different research groups involved in the field, and we present their contributions which led to the current standards of DTN. Later on, we give an overview of some the practical applications which have been developed with the idea of delay and disruption-tolerant networks. Finally, we focus on some of the research which was performed for routing protocols in Delay-Tolerant Networking.

## 2.1 Motivation

The current Internet protocols do not perform well in some environments because of some of their fundamental assumptions which are built-on their architectures:

- 1. An end-to-end path exists between a data source and its peer
- 2. The maximum round-trip time between any node pairs in the network is not excessive
- 3. The end-to-end packet drop probability is small

Unfortunately, challenged networks may not be able to meet these assumptions. Such examples are Inter-planetary networks and Terrestrial Mobile Networks (unexpected partition due to nodes mobility).

In an effort to adapt Internet to unusual environments, research in this area was conducted since a few decades ago as described in the next section. First for Inter-planetary networks, but only recently it has evolved into terrestrial networks and the concept of Delay Tolerant Networks.

## 2.2 DTN Concept

The DTN history goes back to many years ago. It started with a project led by several space agencies and later evolved into a terrestrial network based on the previous work. Today, a whole research field has emerged around the DTN concept.

#### 2.2.1 Early research

The Consultative Committee for Space DataSystems (CCSDS)[20] composed of world's space agencies was created in January 1982 at an International Workshop on Space Data Systems held in Washington, DC, USA by . The CCSDS's goal was to develop advanced standardized solutions for exchanging space mission data. As part of this, the members created the final CCSDS Recommendations which served to guide the internal development of standards by each of the members.

#### 2.2.2 NASA and IPN

In 1998, Vint Cerf and scientists from NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) started working on Interplanetary Internet (IPN). In the IPN scenario, transmission is subject to significant delays and intermittent connectivity due to planets and spacecrafts movements.

In August 2002 the IPN research group (IPNRG) published the draft "Delay-Tolerant Network Architecture: The Evolving Interplanetary Internet" [15] which describes the architecture designed for IPN. This work led to the concept of bundles as a way to address the Store-and-Forward problem. Bundles are an area of new protocol which sits above the Transport layer in the OSI model.

#### 2.2.3 DTNRG

The DTNRG (DTN Research Group) was formed in 2002 to generalize the IP-NRG's work to networks other than those operating in deep space. It proposes an alternative to the Internet TCP/IP end-to-end interactive delivery model and employs hop-by-hop storage and retransmission as a transport-layer overlay [28]. The main difference between interplanetary-networks and terrestrial networks is that IPN works in a scheduled manner while terrestrial networks are in general opportunistic. However they have in common that they both deal with delays and disruptions.

The DTNRG released a description of the architecture of DTN [18] in 2003. Since then, the DTNRG published more documents. Some of the more important ones are:

- 1. RFC 4838 "Delay-Tolerant Networking Architecture" [14]
- 2. RFC 5050 "Bundle Protocol Specification" [10]
- Delay Tolerant Networking TCP Convergence Layer Protocol (Internet Draft) [39]
- 4. UDP Convergence Layers for the DTN Bundle and LTP Protocols(Internet-Draft [38]




Figure 2.1. The DakNet concept

## 2.3 **DTN Applications**

Here we present some of the practical applications for delay and disruptiontolerant networks. All these applications have different goals such as animal tracking or providing Internet connectivity but they are all more or less working with delaytolerant networks.

### 2.3.1 DakNet

DakNet [4], developed by MIT Media Lab researchers, was one of the first practical application with DTN. Its goal is to provide very low-cost digital communication to remote villages. It has been deployed in remote parts of both India and Cambodia. In Figure 2.3.1, a bus carrying a mobile access point travels between village kiosks and a hub with Internet access. Data automatically uploads and downloads when the bus is in range of a kiosk or the hub.

It has been used to send voice messages and emails.

### 2.3.2 N4C

The Networking for Communications Challenged Communities (N4C) project is funded by the European Union to provide connectivity to remote European regions. Indeed, many regions do not have links to the world networks because they are sparsely populated or have a relatively poor economic base.

Hence, with the help of DTN, N4C aims to create an 'opportunistic networking architecture. Two testbeds are set up in Swedish Lapland and Slovenian's mountain.

Three application tests were carried out on animal tracking, pod cast application and meteorological data. [37]

#### 2.3.3 Sámi Network Connectivity (SNC)

SNC is a project which seeks to establish Internet communication for the Saami population who live in remote areas in Northern Scandinavia. This community is nomadic and has poor infrastructure to access information.

SNC is conducted at the Luleå University of Technology (Sweden).

### 2.3.4 Bytewalla

Bytewalla is the project which serves as a basis for this thesis. Bytewalla was started in Spring 2009 at KTH. Its objective is to connect rural and remote areas to Internet. In order achieve this, the DTNRG implementation was ported on the Android platform along with the development of some DTN applications. Bytewalla is described more precisely in the Chapter 3

## 2.4 Routing in Delay-Tolerant Network

Traditional TCP/IP routing protocols cannot be used with DTN. These protocols try to establish and complete end-to-end route, and then forward the data. In the DTN case, this is not possible as end-to-end paths are difficult or impossible to establish. Hence, a "store and forward" approach is adopted.

Several routing protocols have been designed based on this approach. Epidemic routing was the first routing protocol designed for DTN [45]. It is flooding-based in nature: nodes continuously replicate the data to other nodes as they meet, so that the data eventually reaches its destination. Epidemic routing is resource hungry as it makes duplication of the data without attempting to eliminate the duplications which do not improve the delivery probability. With mobile devices having limited storage and energy capacities, resources should be used wisely.

Hence, PRoPHET is a variant on the epidemic routing protocol and aims to reduce resource usage and still attempt to achieve the best case routing capabilities for epidemic routing. The key idea is that in real-world situations, encounters between data mules are not random as the mules move in a society. So if a mule has already met another mule, it is likely that they will meet again. So PRoPHET keeps track on the encounters a mule makes and computes the delivery probabilities for each known node. This way, data is passed from a node to another one only if it increases the chances of delivery.

## 2.5 Summary

In this chapter we introduced the concept of Delay Tolerant Networking. First we explained the motivation for developing Delay Tolerant Networking, then we an overview of its historical background, and finally, we showed some of its practical applications along with the development of the routing protocols in DTN.

## 2.5. SUMMARY

The next chapter will focus on Bytewalla, on which this thesis bases itself.

## Chapter 3

## Bytewalla

In this chapter we present the three steps that compose the Bytewalla project. Bytewalla consists of two semester group projects and one thesis which precisely focused on the security considerations. The two other iterations led to the implementation of DTN on the Android platform along with a couple of DTN applications.

### 3.1 Bytewalla I

Bytewalla I was the first iteration of the Bytewalla Project. It was held in Fall 2009 in KTH. The main objectives were:

- 1. Porting the standard DTN implementation on the Android platform. The standard DTN implementation was developed by DTNRG [29].
- 2. Email integration.

Bytewalla chose to develop an email application which serves as a proof-ofconcept for their DTN implementation. The application can support MIME types ([24], [25], [35], [36], [22]) as payload. Hence, the users can attach any digital files such as images, videos, voices to the email as well.

The integration relies on the mail system POSTFIX and a DNS server which need to be installed to send and receive emails. Some Python scripts are also required in order to convert the mails into bundles and vice versa. The setup must be configured following two documents: Postfix DTN2 Integration [7] and Postfix and DNS [6]. It is a long process (17 pages of instruction in total) and requires many tools (Postfix, DNS, Python).

## 3.2 Bytewalla II

Bytewalla II was a thesis conducted in Spring 2010 [17].

The thesis main objective was to deploy a standardized security solution for DTN networks in Android, which could be implemented in Bytewalla. The work

was based on several documents such as DTN Security Overview [19] and Bundle Security Protocol Specification [44].

## 3.3 Bytewalla III

Bytewalla III was a group project conducted in Summer 2010 and was focusing on several objectives:

1. Implementation of PRoPHET

PROPHET was integrated into Bytewalla, following the PROPHET Internet-Draft version 8 [3].

2. Neighbor Discovery

Before then, the addressing was static. Thus, nodes were not able to discovery each other. With Neighbor Discovery, nodes can discover their neighbors and start exchanging information. This work was an implementation of the DTN IP Neighbor Discovery (IPND) InternetDraft [9].

3. Network Management Tool [11]

One of the two applications that were developed in Bytewalla 3 is the Network Management Tool. This tool informs the administrators about the statistics of the DTN network, such as the number of bundles delivered, transmitted, and so on. Besides that, it also let the administrator generate a new configuration file for the DTN daemon. However the administrator would need to replace the actual configuration file manually. Also, this tool only gives global statistics and does not provide information specific to a bundle such as whether it was delivered.

4. Sentinel Surveillance Application [12]

The other one is the Sentinel Surveillance Application. This is a healthcare application whose goal is to provide communication to doctors in remote areas. Doctors can register records about their patients and everything is synchronized with a remote server. Every time a record is added to the database, the SQL query is bundled and sent to the remote host through the Bundle Protocol. The receiver then unpacks the bundle and execute the SQL query on its own database.

## 3.4 Summary

In this chapter we discussed about the improvements brought by each iteration. Bytewalla I brought the mail application as well as the DTN implementation on Android. Bytewalla focused on its security, and Bytewalla III developed a few more applications and implemented the PRoPHET specification.

## Chapter 4

## Specifications

This chapter presents the specification necessary to understand this thesis. This includes the DTN architecture and the Bundle Protocol, as well as the DTN routing protocols and the Neighbor Discovery mechanism. All these concepts will be involved in the design and the implementation part of this thesis.

## 4.1 The Bundle Protocol

### 4.1.1 DTN Architecture

The RFC 4838 describes the architecture for Delay-Tolerant Networks. As stated before, the Internet architecture relies on assumptions like end-to-end connectivity and low round-trip delays. To circumvent these requirements, the DTN architecture has adopted a store-and-forward approach. Data are packed into bundles which are saved with persistent storage. Hence the nodes can keep the data even over long network disruptions.

According to the DTN architecture, the bundle layer is above the transport layer. Not all transport protocols provide the exact same functionality, so some adaptation is required between the transport protocols and the bundle protocol. This is accomplished by a set of convergence layers placed between the bundle layer and underlying protocols. The convergence layer takes care of the specificities of the transport protocol and presents a consistent interface to the bundle layer. The complexities of the convergence layers depend on the transport protocol. For example the TCP convergence layer [39] would not have to worry about reliability as it is already implemented in TCP, while the UDP convergence layer [38] may handle it itself.

Nodes are identified with Endpoint Identifiers (EID). Each node is required to have a unique EID. An EID is a name using the syntax of URI [8].

For reliability, the bundler layer provides two options: end-to-end acknowledgments (Bundle Status Reports) and custody transfer. DTN applications may also




Figure 4.1. The Bundle Primary Block

implement their own reliability mechanism.

#### 4.1.2 Application Data Units, Bundles, Blocks

Applications communicate with the bundle layer to send and receive data. When an application sends an application data unit to the bundle layer, the bundle layer will pack the data into one or more bundles (Bundle Protocol Data Units).

Each bundle is composed of at least two blocks:

- 1. **Primary Bundle Block** (see Figure 4.1) This is the first block. It contains information such as the source, the destination, lifetime, creation timestamp.
- 2. **Bundle Payload Block** (see Figure 4.2) This block contains the payload received from the application layer.
- 3. Extension blocks (see Figure 4.3)

These blocks are optional. They are used for specific cases.

Extension blocks and the Bundle Payload Block all follow a common format (block type, flags, length, content). The Bundle Payload Block's type code is 1.

+	++-	++	+
Block type	Proc. Flags (*)	Block length(*)	
+	++-	++	+
/	Bundle Payload	(variable)	/
+			+

#### Figure 4.2. The Bundle Payload Block

	++   Block process		•	
1 11	++	5	5	
	Block length		+	 +
/ B	lock body data	(variable	e)	/
+	++		-+	+

Figure 4.3. The Bundle Extension Block

#### 4.1.3 Bundle Status Reports

As we saw in the Subsection 4.1.1, the end-to-end reliability mechanism is ensured by Bundle Status Reports. Bundle Status Reports are standard bundles whose payload is a status report. The reports can inform the node indicated in the Report-To field about different types of events:

- 1. The reception of a bundle
- 2. The forwarding of a bundle
- 3. The delivery of a bundle
- 4. The deletion of a bundle

It includes the time of the event and provides the identifiers of the bundles concerned by the status reports.

The Figure 4.4 shows the representation of a bundle status report.

The status flag informs about what the status report is reporting (e.g. received bundle, forwarded bundle, etc.).

The reason code informs about the reason for the event that is being reported (e.g. lifetime expired, transmission canceled, depleted storage, etc.).

The fragment fields are only used for fragmented bundles.

+		++-		
		Fragment off		
present)	Fragment le	ength (*) (if prese	ent)	
Time of re	eceipt of bundle 2	K (a DTN time, if <u>p</u>	present)	
Time of custody	acceptance of b	undle X (a DTN time	e, if present)	
Time of forv	varding of bundle	X (a DTN time, if	present)	
Time of delivery of bundle X (a DTN time, if present)				
Time of deletion of bundle X (a DTN time, if present)				
Copy of bundle X's Creation Timestamp time (*)				
Copy of bundle X's Creation Timestamp sequence number (*)				
Length of X's source endpoint ID (*)   Source				
endpoint ID of bundle X (variable)				
		++-		

Figure 4.4. Bundle Status Report

The "Time of" fields report the time of the event The status flag informs about what the status report is reporting (e.g. received bundle, forwarded bundle, etc.).

The "Copy of bundle X's Creation Timestamp time" field is a copy of the creation timestamp time of the bundle that the status report concerns. It helps to identify the bundle whose the status report is originating from.

The "Copy of bundle X's Creation Timestamp sequence number" field is a copy of the creation timestamp sequence number of the bundle that the status report concerns. It helps to identify the bundle whose the status report is originating from.

The last fields (i.e. "Length of X's source endpoint ID" and "Source endpoint ID of bundle X" gives the source of the bundle for which the status report was sent.

## 4.2 Routing

Routing is a really important part of DTN. It impacts on the delay and the bundles delivery success rate. The simplest one is known as Epidemic routing but some other solutions have been developed. One of them, briefly presented in the previous chapter, is known as PROPHET. Hence we will focus especially on the PROPHET specification.

#### 4.2. ROUTING

#### 4.2.1 Epidemic routing

Epidemic routing is a flooding-based type of routing protocol. Nodes will continuously replicate and transmit bundles to the other nodes their meet. No effort is made to limit the resource usage; however it offers high delivery probabilities.

#### 4.2.2 PRoPHET

On contrary to epidemic routing, PRoPHET aims to a more efficient routing protocol which reaches as good delivery predictabilities as epidemic routing while using less resource.

When two nodes discover each other, they start the Information Exchange Phase. First, the node will send a Routing Information Base Dictionary (RIB Dictionary) TLV (type-length-value) to the node it is peering with. This is a dictionary of the Endpoint Identifiers (EIDs) of nodes will be referenced in the Routing Information Base. The next step is to send the Routing Information Base (RIB) TLV. This contains the list of EIDs that the node has knowledge of with corresponding delivery predictability. Upon reception of the RIB, the node updates its delivery predictabilities and determines which of its stored bundles it wished to offer. After the decision is made, it sends a Bundle Offer TLV containing the bundle identifiers and their destination that the node wishes to offer.

PRoPHET contains an algorithm to calculate the delivery predictabilities according to the node's history. The mule A stores delivery predictabilities P(A, B) for each known destination B. If the mule A has no delivery predictability stored for mule B, the value is assumed to be zero. The delivery predictabilities are recalculated according to three rules:

1. When the mule A encounters the mule B, the predictability for B is increased:

$$P(A, B) = P(A, B)_{old} + (1 - \delta - P(A, B)_{old}) * P_{encounter}$$

2. If the mule A does no encounter another mule B during some interval, the predictability is "aged":

$$P(A,B) = P(A,B)_{old} * \gamma^K$$

, where  $\gamma$  is the "aging constant" and K is the number if time units that has elapsed since the last aging.

3. Predictabilities are exchanged between A and B and the transitive property of predictability are used to update the predictability of destinations C for which B has a stored P(B, C):

$$P(A,C) = MAX(P(A,C)_{old}, P(A,B) * P(B,C)_{old} * \beta$$

, where  $\beta$  is a scaling constant

```
0
       1
              2
                     3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
 | Interval
          Total Length
 CL Type
 IP Address
Length of EID
    Port
 EID (variable length)
```

Figure 4.5. The beacon format

## 4.3 Neighbor Discovery

DTN IP Neighbor Discovery (IPND) is documented in the Internet-Draft [9]. Shortly, it is a method for nodes to learn of the existence, availability and addresses of other nodes. IPND periodically sends (broadcast) and receives beacons to/from other nodes. These beacons are small UDP messages and contain information such as the address of the node. Upon reception of a beacon, a node will establish contact with the discovered node. IPND has been implemented in the DTNRG implementation.

In the DTNRG implementation, the beacon format is presented in the Figure 4.5.

CL Type: The convergence layer type informs the type of convergence layer option advised.

Interval: The interval for periodically sending beacons.

Port: Usually set to 4556 (the standard DTN port)

Length of EID/EID: The EID of the node sending the beacon

## 4.4 Summary

In this chapter we have presented the specification and the technical aspects of the Bundle Protocol, the routing in DTN and the Neighbor Discovery mechanism. Next chapter show the design of our solutions for the Queuing mechanism and the Application Layer

## Chapter 5

## Design

In this chapter we present the overall architecture for the queuing mechanism and for the application layer optimization. We explain what solutions we have adopted an the reasons for choosing them. This chapter first explains the queuing mechanism architecture and the queuing policies that are included as part of the implementation. Then we focus on the Application Layer. First on the big picture to show the overall architecture of the Application Layer over DTN and then we discuss in details its functionalities.

## 5.1 Queuing mechanism

Every time a bundle is added to the storage, the application should check for maintaining the quota. This is where the queuing mechanism intervenes. This should be developed in a modular way, so that the user can easily switch from one queuing policy to another one, or even add its own queuing policy. Each policy will be responsible for returning the "first" bundle in the queue, i.e. the bundle to be deleted first. The quota maintenance and the bundle deletion procedure is common to all queuing policies.

Hence, as the Figure 5.1 shows, the queuing policies will be implemented in their own classes and they will all inherit from a common ProphetQueuing class.

#### 5.1.1 Queuing Policies

We chose which policies to implement according to their efficiency in terms of delay and deliverability. Lindgren, A. and Phanse, K.S. have proposed and evaluated some policies [33]. The queuing management policies which are evaluated in this paper are:

- 1. FIFO First in first out.
- 2. MOFO Evict most forwarded first.




Figure 5.1. Queuing Classes UML

- 3. MOPR Evict most favorably forwarded first. The node keeps a value FP for each message. Each time a message is forwarded, its value FP is updated:  $FP = FP_{old} + P$ , where P is the deliverability predictability for the receiving has for the message. The message with the lowest FP value will be dropped first.
- 4. SHLI Evict shortest life time first.
- 5. LEPR Evict least probable first. Drop the message which has the destination with the lowest predictability.

According to the paper, each queuing policy has been tested with 5 forwarding strategies and different queue sizes. However MOPR and LEPR are not applicable to two forwarding strategies. Hence we focus only on the three other remaining queuing policies.

About the deliverability, it appears that MOFO is the most efficient one no matter the queue size with three of the 5 forwarding strategies. Of the three policies applicable to all forwarding strategies, SHLI is in most cases the least efficient one.

Regarding the average delay, MOFO and FIFO reach similar results while SHLI is in any case less efficient that the two others.

Therefore, we decided to implement the MOFO and the FIFO queuing policies.

### 5.2 Application Layer Optimization

#### 5.2.1 Big Picture

There are two main components besides the data mules. The node running the client application, which acts as a DTN client and the node running the server application, which acts as a server. The client sends a message through DTN to




Figure 5.2. A simple Client to Server DTN communication

the server. Note that a node may be both a client and a server, thus being able to send and receive messages, but this is not mandatory. The Figure 5.2 shows the big picture of the simple system. The nodes may be smartphones, mobile devices, desktop computers or any other type of devices.

Also, as a proof-of-concept we have decided to implement a SMTP[32]/DTN interface. The reason for this choice is that SMTP is a popular protocol for emails which is itself a popular application. Also, this new architecture will replace to solution developed by Bytewalla 1. The last section discusses the issues specific to the SMTP/DTN interface.

#### 5.2.2 Application Identification

As explained in the first chapter, there is no identifier wish helps to identify the applications. Hence for example, when a bundle is received, nothing informs about whether it is intended for the email or the healthcare application. This could either be indicated in the payload by adding a "payload header" containing such information, or in an extension block as described in the Subsection 4.1.2.

Adding the application information in the payload is certainly not a good solution in that it would not be possible to differ the bundles from other standard bundles such as Status Reports. On the contrary, adding an extension block will help to efficiently identify the bundles.

This new type of bundles, identified as application bundles in this document, will now be composed of three blocks. The Primary Block, the Payload Block and the Service Block which tells about the type of service the bundle is intended to be used for. [10] states that the block type codes 192 through 255 are available for private and/or experimental use. For this experiment, we will use the block type 200.

At this moment the application block payload consists of only one field called the "application type". To identify SMTP applications, we will use the value 1 as its "application type".

#### 5.2.3 The Client Components

The Clients consist of a DTN daemon such as Bytewalla (the one we are using for this thesis), applications such as a mail client, and an Application/DTN interface for each supported application, such as the interface between SMTP and DTN.




Figure 5.3. The gateway

The internal communications are explained in Subsection 5.2.5.

#### 5.2.4 The Server Components

The servers are composed of a DTN daemon like any other node, as well as an internal proxy. The proxy captures the bundles going to and leaving from the server. The bundles then go through several processing steps (for example storage, reports invocation, caching, etc.). The Figure 5.3 shows how the bundles are captured. The proxy listens to the standard DTN TCP port (4556) and forwards the data to the DTN daemon which is listening on another port (e.g. 4557). Upon new connections, the proxy establishes a new connection with the DTN daemon. Each remote host is associated with a port on which the proxy created a connection with the daemon, and the data is forwarded accordingly.

The internal communications are explained in Subsection 5.2.5.

#### 5.2.5 Application/DTN Interfaces

Application protocols are usually not suited for DTN because they expect no long delays and permanent connectivity. Hence the application cannot communicate directly with the other peer host. The messages should be processed all together locally and bundled to be transmitted through the DTN network. This is called protocol spoofing.




Figure 5.4. Application/DTN Interfaces

The applications must then communicate with another local application which will process the exchange with the application and sends it as a bundle to the DTN daemon. Upon reception on the other end, the bundle will be converted back to the original application messages as Figure 5.4 shows.

The Application/DTN Interface is composed of:

- 1. A DTN daemon taking care of the Bundle Protocol.
- 2. And:
  - An Application-to-Bundle interface (A2BI) listening on a specific port for messages from the local application.

And/Or

 A Bundle-to-Application interface (B2AI) responsible for forwarding the message to the application upon reception of a bundle.

There may be several different applications running concurrently on one host. In this case, an application server or client is required for each type of application protocol, but only one DTN daemon is needed. The Figure 5.5 shows the representation of an application/DTN interface:

A2BI 1 and A2BI 2 listen for application 1 protocol and application 2 protocol requests respectively, and convert the messages into bundles for the DTN Daemon. B2AI 1 and B2AI 2 wait for incoming bundles from the DTN Daemon and convert them into application 1 protocol and application 2 protocol messages.

#### 5.2.6 Centralizing the traffic for better performances

We want to be able to provide optimization techniques such as caching and services such as subscription. Subscription is a proactive service allowing service




Figure 5.5. Applications interface to the Bundle Protocol

to receive data at regular time intervals without requesting for it, thus avoiding a longer delay. With caching we could deliver the results to the requests which have already been sent recently. This reducing considerably the delay since the request will not need to go through the whole DTN network.

Sometimes also, all the members of a community may need to receive common data. For example, all the students living in a remote area would receive their class contents from a remote city. This can be implemented with a gateway responsible for gathering all the data common to many people. The gateway could for example be registered to a subscription service and receive data such as news on a regular basis.

For these reasons, the system should be centralized. This means all the application bundles will go through a specific node. Moreover, this will help managing the DTN network bundles more efficiently. However, this should only be used by the nodes which have good delivery predictability with the gateway, for example a small village community. Otherwise, the advantages of using a gateway will be obliterated by long-delays and high drop rates.

Also the gateway will be able to provide reliability improvement. The bundles going through the server should be stored and retransmitted if a bundle does not reach its destination because of time out or deletion. Hence the gateway must ask status reports for all the application bundles which are passing by, and handle these reports to be aware of their delivery or their deletion.

The gateway will also include the management tools to provide information about the application bundles (delivered, deleted, etc.) and to configure the node. The management tools will be available through a Web interface. This is a PHP [30]




Figure 5.7. A DTN network as in Figure 5.2 including a gateway

application, which accesses the MySQL database and outputs the results in HTML.

In order to transmit all application bundles through the gateway, we need to set the destination as the gateway EID. Hence to keep track of the application bundle's source and the application bundle's destination, we add two fields to the Application Block. The two fields are the application data unit (ADU) source and the ADU's destination. The first one identifies where the application ADU is sent from while the second one identifies to whom it is sent. On the DTN level, the bundle will first be sent to the gateway which will then retransmit it to the ADU's destination after it has been processed. The bundle may go through other intermediary nodes but its final destination is always the ADU's destination. The final application block payload is shown in Figure 5.6. All fields are separated by a null character (0x00).

The gateway is not mandatory (the bundles may be send directly to their final destination) but it brings some performance optimization to the DTN network. The picture of the system with a gateway is shown in the Picture 5.7.

## 5.3 SMTP over DTN

In this section we present how to run SMTP over our designed DTN architecture. We first show how the communication between a mail client and a SMTP server connected to Internet is performed, and then we focus on the data model used for carrying the SMTP data within the Bundle Protocol.

### 5.3.1 SMTP over DTN Architecture

The purpose of implementing SMTP over DTN is to be able to communicate by emails with a peer connected to Internet. As Internet connectivity is not guaranteed, we send the SMTP data through the Bundle Protocol to a DTN application server




Figure 5.8. SMTP Protocol Spoofing

which will be responsible for contacting a local mail relay server in order to deliver the mail. The DTN server node must be connected to Internet, but not the DTN client node.

Postfix [1] was chosen as for the local mail relay.

### 5.3.2 SMTP Protocol Spoofing

Upon the exchange with the mail application, the DTN/SMTP interface prepares the data for the bundle, see Figure 5.8. The Figure a shows the conversion from SMTP to bundle while Figure b shows the conversion from bundle to SMTP.

The SMTP messages need to be packed up by the local SMTP server application into bundles before being transmitted with the Bundle Protocol. The SMTP/DTN interface takes three parameters from the mail client: the "from address", the "recipients" and the data containing the mail (header, subject, body, ...). In order to keep the proof-of-concept simple and the bundles as short as possible due to the limited storage capacity of the mobile devices, we concatenate the three parameters, separated by a null character (see Figure 5.9). As there might be several recipients, the list of recipients is also concatenated with a null character as a separator. The number of recipients is added to the front of the resulting string, again with a null character to separate both.

Note that the MX records should be updated by the SMTP/DTN interface [41].




Figure 5.9. The SMTP Bundle Payload

### 5.4 More Considerations

It is good to notice that in some cases, the applications may have to be redesigned for DTN due to the long-delays and the low-reliability compared to interconnected networks such as Internet. For example, the application must not wait for an instantaneous response (e.g. a web browser requesting a page). The request and the response are not synchronized. A response may be received and handled at any time. So the application must be always be ready to handle a message containing the response to one of its previous requests.

This also implies that the user-interface may need to be redesigned as well. The user may not be able to have instantaneous feedbacks from the application, as the response will in most cases be delayed.

In the case of SMTP, we are simply sending a mail, so the application does not wait a particular response. However, it would be different with the POP protocol [23] for example. POP aims to retrieve emails. In the case of DTN, POP is not applicable because it asks for emails and hopes to get an immediate response which is not guaranteed in DTN. This should then be replaced by a mechanism where we first send a request for emails through the Bundle Protocol, and then wait for handling the response bundle containing the emails whenever we receive it. This is represented in the Figure 5.10. The request may also be replaced by a subscription service, sending emails periodically instead of having to explicitly request for them. However, once the emails have been received, it would be possible to access them through a regular POP application (e.g. Thunderbird [16]) connecting to a local POP server delivering the emails received through the Bundle Protocol.

It should also be noted that more efforts should be put on reliability, as the DTN mail client can not know instantaneously whether its request could be sent. For example in the case of SMTP, we forward all SMTP requests into bundles. However, if the identifiers are wrong, we will be aware of it only when the DTN server will be able to forward the SMTP message. If it fails, the client should be informed by an application feedback mechanism, that the mail message failed to be sent.




Figure 5.10. How to retrieve emails over DTN

# Chapter 6

# Implementation

The Implementation chapter is divided into three sections. First we introduce the reader to the development approach. The second section concerns the implementation of the queuing mechanism. We discuss about the possible queuing policies, the design of the queuing mechanism in Bytewalla and its implementation process. Finally, the third section focuses on the Application Layer. There we thoroughly present the technical challenges and how they are solved.

## 6.1 Software Development Approach

For the implementation, I chose to follow the Evolutionary Prototyping Model [42]. This model is an incremental approach. The system is developed in increments so it can easily be modified according to its results and to follow the objectives of this thesis.

The implementation was done with Python 2.6 [21] and it only aims to be a proof-of-concept, not a robust, optimized and definitive implementation. Especially because of the limited time allocated to the implementation.

MySQL[5] is used as the database management system.

# 6.2 Queuing Mechanism

#### 6.2.1 Design in Bytewalla

The purpose of implementing queuing mechanism in Bytewalla is to keep the total size below the specified quota. Hence, every time a bundle would be stored on the disc, we would maintain the quota by deleting bundles if necessary and according to priority order depending on the queuing policy.

#### Overview

The core resides in the ProphetQueuing class. It is an abstract class and it

provides common functions such as getInstance, maintainQuota, delete and removeNextBundle. The queuing policies are implemented in their own file, inherit from ProphetQueuing and have only one function "getLastBundle". This function which is unique to each policy returns the last bundle id according to their priority in the queuing policy. For example the FIFO policy will returns the id of the bundle which was added at first.

Small modifications were made to the DTNConfigurationParser and Bundle-Store classes. Respectively to add a policy setting in the configuration file and to give the handle to the queuing policy when it comes to maintain the quota after a new bundle was stored on the disc.

#### Configuration

The user can specify the queuing policy that he wants to use in the configuration file. This is achieved in the DTNConfigurationParser by simply adding a new setting "Queuing\_policy".

#### Storage and maintaining the quota

Inside the BundleStore's add function, after the bundle has been stored and if the type of router being used is PRoPHET, we give the handle to the PRoPHET's queuing mechanism. This checks if the quota has not been exceeded, and if this is the case, delete as many bundles as needed to free enough space.

#### 6.2.2 Queuing Policies

Two queuing policies have been implemented. "First In First Out" (FIFO) and "Evict most forwarded first" (MOFO).

#### First In First Out

This policy has been implemented in the Fifo class. The getLastBundle() function simply takes the smallest id (the oldest one) from the database and returns it.

The function is shown in the Figure 6.1.

Listing 6.1. The FIFO function

```
public int getLastBundle() {
    Cursor cursor = db.query("bundles", null, null, null, null, null, null,
                                "id Asc", null);
    int fieldColumn = cursor.getColumnIndex("id");
    if (cursor == null) {
        Log.d(TAG, "Row not found!");
        return -1;
    }
    if (!cursor.moveToFirst()) {
```

}

```
return -1;
}
int result = cursor.getInt(fieldColumn);
cursor.close();
return result;
```

#### **Evict Most Forwarded First**

This policy has been implemented in the Mofo class. The getLastBundle() function simply returns the id of the bundle which has the greatest forwarded\_times which contains the number of times the bundle has been forwarded.

The function is shown in the Figure 6.2.

Listing 6.2. The MOFO function

```
public int getLastBundle() {
        Cursor cursor = db.query("bundles", null, null, null, null, null,
                         "forwarded_times Desc, id Desc", null);
        int forwardColumn = cursor.getColumnIndex("forwarded times");
        int fieldColumn = cursor.getColumnIndex("id");
        if (cursor == null) {
                Log.d(TAG, "Row not found!");
                return -1;
        }
        if (!cursor.moveToFirst()) {
                return -1;
        }
        Log.i("Queuing", "Deleting bundle ft: " + cursor.getInt(forwardColum
        int result = cursor.getInt(fieldColumn);
        cursor.close();
        return result;
}
```

This is very similar to the Fifo's getLasBundle() function, however, we also need to keep track of the number of times the bundle has been forwarded.

This is done in the handle\_bundle\_transmitted(..) function inside the BundleDaemon class. There we simply increment the forwarded\_times field for the bundle which has been transmitted.

### 6.3 Application Layer Optimization

As a proof-of-concept it was chosen to implement SMTP over DTN, replacing the first solution implemented in Bytewalla 1.

The DTN application server has been implemented in Python on Ubuntu. The DTN application client has been implemented both on Ubuntu in Python, and on Android in Java. The reason for having the DTN application server on Ubuntu is that the server is not expected to be mobile as it should have a permanent connection to Internet (for example to contact SMTP mail relays). Also it is quite resource consuming as many services may be running on the machine (MySQL, multiple types of application, etc.). The reason for developing the DTN application client both on Ubuntu and Android is that it was easier to test and create prototypes on a scripting language such as Python, and along with the development of the server on the same system. However, the final objective is to be able to use such applications on any type of devices, especially mobile ones. Hence it was decided to implement it on the Android phones as well with the Bytewalla application.

The whole implementation is divided into modules (python files) and they are described below, in two sections. One for the Python implementation and one for the Android implementation.

#### 6.3.1 Python Implementation

#### 6.3.2 Common files

These modules must be included both on the clients and for the proxies.

Config.py	This is the configuration file. It includes settings such		
	as the proxy TCP/UDP ports, the DTN daemon		
	TCP/UDP ports and the EIDs.		
Bundle.py	This module contains the Bundle class and functions		
	to convert between raw data and bundles.		
serviceBlock.py	This module contains the serviceBlock class which		
	contains the type of application, the application source $% \left( {{{\bf{x}}_{i}}} \right)$		
	and the application destination.		
Sdnv.py	Handles the conversion between integers and Self-		
	Delimiting Numeric Values (SDNV). SDNVs are used		
	in the Bundle Protocol and PRoPHET.		

The other files may be included only if needed. This is specific to the functionalities you want to use.

#### 6.3.3 The Client

For sending messages, such as emails in the case of SMTP, you only need SMTPServer.py:




Figure 6.1. Data flow in the client

**SMTPServer.py** This module runs a SMTP server which handles the communications with the local SMTP client. After the exchange has been processed, it packs the data and sends it to the dtninterface.py module.

any application you want to support for DTN, a module specific to the application protocol must be implemented similarly to SMTPServer.py

**DTNinterface.py** This module manages the transmission with the local DTN daemon. It receives the packed data, creates the application bundle, and deliver it to the DTN daemon to be transmitted through the DTN network.

for the client, you need a specific module for each application you want to support on the proxy.

The Figure 6.1 shows the data flow in the client. The messages are received from the applications, packed in the applications servers, and sent as bundles with the DTNInterface.

#### 6.3.4 The Server/Gateway

DTNproxy.py	This is the core file. It eavesdrops the communications
	between the local DTN node and the other nodes. This
	way it can captures the application bundles and let the
	other modules process them.
bundleHandler.py	This is where is the application bundle goes through
	when being processed. If you want to add or remove a
	processing function, this is where you do it.
Reports.py	The reports.py module takes care of the status reports.
	It parses them and update the records for the stored
	bundles.
statusreports.py	status reports.py is used to parse status reports and
	instantiate them with the StatusReport class.
storage.py	This module handles the access to MySQL for storing
	and updating the bundles records.
Reliability.py	This tool may be used to resend, for example with a
	cron, the bundles which could not reach their destina-
	tion.
service.py	This module contains information about the applica-
	tions the proxy can handle, and dispatch them to the
	right handler.
$\mathbf{smtpclient.py}$	This module handles the SMTP application bundles.
	It recreates the messages out of the bundles it receives.

The Figure 6.2 shows the data flow in the server. All application bundles are captures with the DTN proxy, processed by the bundle handler, and then dispatched to the right application [27].

#### 6.3.5 The Gateway administration interface

The administration interface is developed in PHP and runs on a HTTP Apache such as Apache. The application consists of modules. Each module is specific to a ask.

4 modules have been implemented.

- 1. **bundles.php**: This module shows the application bundles which passed through the gateway. It provides information like the bundle creation date, its source, its payload and whether it was delivered or not.
- 2. **config.php**: The config.php module helps to generate a new configuration file for the local DTN daemon.
- 3. **default.php**: This is the default module, which only refers to the other modules.

36




Figure 6.2. Data flow in the server

4. **stats.php**: The statistics module gives an overall information about the gateway. For example the number of stored bundles, the number and percentage of bundles delivered and undelivered, the average delay, and so on.

## 6.4 Android Implementation

#### 6.4.1 Overview

For the Android implementation we are using the Bytewalla application which is responsible for bundling the data and send it through the DTN network. However, the Bytewalla 3 application does not support the application block as presented in the Chapter 5 and does not provide an interface to the other applications for sending bundles.

Hence the implementation is divided in two parts. How to provide an interface in Bytewalla for sending bundles from other applications, and the development of




Figure 6.3. Overview of the implementation on Android

the application handling SMTP messages.

So finally, there will be three components:

1. The SMTP client - The default Android mail application It is a regular mail application. It must be configured to use the SMTP/Bundle interface as the SMTP server.

#### 2. The SMTP/Bundle interface application

It receives the message from the mail application, handles the messages, packs the data, and forward them to the Bytewalla application for the Bundle Protocol.

#### 3. The Bytewalla application

It handles the Bundle Protocol.

When running, the system can be represented as in Figure 6.3.

The SMTP/DTN interface application communicates with the Bytewalla by the help of Android broadcasts. The interface application sends a message to the Android system along with some parameters such as the packed data (payload) and the type of service (1 for SMTP). Then the Bytewalla application catches the message and process it.

#### 6.4.2 Modifications to the Bytewalla application

All the files added to the Bytewalla as part of this thesis are stored together in the package "se.kth.ssvl.tslab.bytewalla.androiddtn.applications"

#### Receiving data from other applications

In order to perform this task, we must add a declaration to the Bytewalla application's manifest file. This declaration (see 6.3) informs the Android system about what messages the Bytewalla application is ready to handle.

```
Listing 6.3. Bytewalla Manifest Declaration

<receiver android:name=".applications.PayloadReceiver">

<intent-filter>

<action android:name="se.kth.ssvl.tslab.bytewalla.androiddtm

</intent-filter>

</receiver>
```

#### 6.4. ANDROID IMPLEMENTATION

The declaration also indicates which class is responsible to handling the message. In our case, the PayloadReceiver does it. Once received, a new service (see [27]) defined in the ProcessPayload class is started to process the message and send it through the Bundle Protocol. The ProcessPayload service defines the bundle specification and ask the Bytewalla application to send the bundle.

#### Application Block

The Bytewalla 3 application only supports the primary and the payload block. Hence the application block has been integrated with the ApplicationBlockProcessor class.

#### 6.4.3 The SMTP/DTN interface application

For this application I have used the SubEtha SMTP library [31]. This library allows the application to receive SMTP mail with a simple, easy-to-understand API.

The application listens to a specific port for new mails. For each processed mail, the application packs up the data as shown in Subsection 5.3.2 and send it to the Bytewalla application along with the type of service.

# Chapter 7

# Testing & Analysis

A series of tests were carried out on the implementation to ensure it has been done correctly. We verify that the queuing mechanism deletes the right bundles and keeps the occupied disk space below the quota. For the application layer optimization, we test several functionalities and check that we get the expected results. Finally, we measure the bundle transmission delay with and without the Application Layer optimization between two connected nodes. Based on the results we discuss whether the proxy adds significant delays.

## 7.1 Queuing Mechanism

For these tests we are the final version of the Bytewallla application as of the writing of this thesis. The application is running on a HTC Wilfdire with Android 2.2.1. We have reduced the storage capacity to only 32Kb so that we reach the maximum storage capacity and trigger the queuing mechanism more easily.

It is difficult to simulate a large DTN network and the encounters, especially with the Android platform, as no tools are available for this purpose. However some simulations have already been conducted to measure the queuing mechanism performances in the paper from Lindgren, A. and K. Phanse [33]. Hence, here, we simply verify that the queuing mechanism implementation is working as it is supposed to.

We test both queuing policies FIFO and MOFO. For each policy we have 20 iterations. For each iteration we create a new bundle (whose id corresponds to the iteration where it was created) and check the occupied disk space after the bundle has been created. The bundles are created manually through the Bytewalla DTNSend application. Whenever a bundle is deleted, we check its attributes such as its ID and the number of times it was forwarded. Hence, we can ensure that the queuing mechanism is deleting the bundles according to the policy.

The storage consumption is retrieved from the Bytewalla application interface. The bundle attributes are retrieved from the Android debugger tool. The Table 7.1 shows that the FIFO queuing mechanism starts deleting bundles when the quota (32KB) gets exceeded by the storage consumption. Thus, maintaining the storage consumption below the specified quota. As the FIFO policy requires to delete the oldest bundle first, we can notice that the queuing mechanism starts by deleting the oldest bundle (bundle 1), the the bundle 2, and so on.

Iteration	Storage Consumption (MB)	Number of bundles	Deleted bundle's id
0	0.0059	0	-
1	0.0097	1	-
2	0.0136	2	-
3	0.0175	3	-
4	0.0213	4	_
5	0.0252	5	_
6	0.0291	6	_
7	0.0329	7	-
8	0.0329	7	1
9	0.0329	7	2
10	0.0329	7	3
11	0.0329	7	4
12	0.0329	7	5
13	0.0329	7	6
14	0.0329	7	7
15	0.0329	7	8
16	0.0329	7	9
17	0.0329	7	10
18	0.0329	7	11
19	0.0329	7	12
20	0.0329	7	13

 Table 7.1. Storage consumption with the FIFO queuing policy

The bundles listed in the Table 7.3 have been created and forwarded accordingly before the other bundles (from iteration 6) were created according to the Table 7.2.

Similar as the FIFO policy, in the Table 7.2, the MOFO policy starts deleting the bundles when the storage consumption reaches the quota limit. However, the order of deletion is different. The Table 7.3 shows how many times each bundle has been forwarded. The MOFO policy deletes the bundles by descending order of "forwarded times". Hence, the first bundle to be deleted is the bundle 1, then 3, 2, 5 and 5. From this point, it deletes the bundles exactly like the FIFO policy because all the bundles have been forwarded 0 times.

#### 7.2. APPLICATION LAYER OPTIMIZATION

Laste Storage consumption with the first of quoting points				
Iteration	Storage Consumption (MB)	Number of bundles	Deleted bundle's id	
5	0.0252	5	-	
6	0.0291	6	-	
7	0.0329	7	-	
8	0.0329	7	1	
9	0.0329	7	3	
10	0.0329	7	2	
11	0.0329	7	5	
12	0.0329	7	4	
13	0.0329	7	6	
14	0.0329	7	7	
15	0.0329	7	8	
16	0.0329	7	9	
17	0.0329	7	10	
18	0.0329	7	11	
19	0.0329	7	12	
20	0.0329	7	13	

 Table 7.2.
 Storage consumption with the MOFO queuing policy

 Table 7.3.
 Number of forwarded times for each bundle

Bundle ID	Forwarded Times
1	3
2	2
3	3
4	0
5	1

# 7.2 Application Layer Optimization

In this section we focus on the Application Layer implementation. We first test the functionalities to check that they were implemented correctly and then we measure the bundle transmission delays with and without the the proxy.

#### 7.2.1 Testing

The testbed consists of two nodes running with the DTNRG implementation (2.7.0) on two Ubuntu machines. It would be interesting to test it with more than two machines, but only two were available. Anyway, as they communicate with the Bundle Protocol, it should not make any difference when having intermediary nodes. The two nodes have dtn://village.bytewalla.com/ and dtn://city.bytewalla.com/ as

their EIDs. The city node is connected to Internet and to the village node. The village node is only connected to the city node. Of course, they only communicate with the Bundle Protocol.

The city node is the DTN SMTP server and the village node is the DTN SMTP client. The village node has Evolution [26] (a mail client) running on it. The Evolution application must be configured to use the local SMTP server. We send a mail from the Evolution application to an account that we have access to. The mail reaches the destination mail address after have traveled through the city node.

Thanks to the store-and-forward mechanism, this experiment will also success when the node is not connected to any other one. The mail would be delayed until the bundle finds its way to the DTN application server.

#### 7.2.2 Measurements

Some experiments have been conducted to measure the delay with or without the proxy. Although DTN is by definition tolerant to delay, a long transmission delay on a link between nodes could reduce the amount of data two nodes can exchange before the disconnection is disrupted. Hence it is important to ensure that our work does not add significant delay.

The results will depend on what process we perform. In this case, we will perform the minimal processing required. This means :

- 1. Capture the bundles,
- 2. Parse them,
- 3. And forward.

In the Table 7.4, we measure the time elapsed from the moment the data is sent to the proxy, to the moment the proxy forwards the data to the peer node. We made ten simulations runs from which we took the average. Knowing that two nodes will usually be linked for at least a couple of seconds, the average (1.181 ms) processing delay is not significant. Moreover, the implementation can still easily be improved to reduce the delay.

Measure Number	Time Elapsed (ms)
1	1.204
2	1.068
3	1.244
4	1.183
5	1.189
6	1.197
7	1.292
8	1.163
9	1.189
10	1.084
Average	1.181

 Table 7.4.
 Time elapsed in the proxy processing

\_\_\_\_\_

# Chapter 8

# Conclusion

### 8.1 Summary

The PRoPHET queuing mechanism has been implemented in the Bytewalla application. Two queuing policies, FIFO and MOFO, were chosen according to their efficiency evaluated by Anders Lindgren and Kaustubh S. Phans [33]. These two queuing policies are now part of the Bytewalla application and were tested in the Chapter 7. We saw how they help managing the bundles when the storage consumption becomes too high.

This thesis also replied to some of the issues met when developing applications over DTN. The implementation includes some tools developed with Python. As it has been experienced with SMTP, applications can now transparently, except for delays, communicate over DTN. The application known transmit its requests all-atonce instead of iteratively as it uses to be in Internet. Also, the whole infrastructure has been designed to support more services such as caching with the help of the intermediary servers. The later also support management tools for a better control of the network. The management tools also help to improve the reliability as the bundles are retransmitted until an acknowledgment is returned.

In the Chapter 7, we verified that the implementation was working according to the objectives and that they do not worsen the quality of service. However, it would certainly be interesting to test it in real-world situations.

## 8.2 Future Work

The PRoPHET implementation in Bytewalla is not complete yet. For example, it would be an improvement to implement the Forwarding Strategies mechanism. While the queuing mechanism aims to order the bundles by order of priority for deletion when the storage consumption becomes too high, Forwarding Strategies aim to order the bundles by the order in which they should be transmitted to another mule. The connection between two mules may be disrupted at any time, and so, the "most important" bundles should be transmitted first.

This thesis is a starting point for developing more tools and applications over DTN. Here, we have only integrated an mail application for testing the concept. Now, it would be interesting to integrate popular and useful applications for specific situations. For example, twitter and youtube for the people where the access to Internet has been shutdown. For education purposes in remote areas, or for mails delivery, it would be useful to have a subscription service implemented on top of this thesis work. This only requires to develop a client and a server application, running on a regular basis to send the most updated data. Caching may also be implemented in a generic fashion as well as by means of application-specific modules to provide better access to the content which was delivered recently in the area.

# Bibliography

- [1] Postfix. http://www.postfix.org/ accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [2] A. Doria A. Lindgren and O. Scheln. Probabilistic routing in intermittently connected networks. In Proceedings of the Fourth ACM International Symposium on Mobile Ad Hoc Networking and Computing (MobiHoc 2003), 2003.
- [3] E. Davies S. Grasic A. Lindgren, A. Doria. Probabilistic routing protocol for intermittently connected networks. Internet-Draft, October 2010. http:// tools.ietf.org/html/draft-irtf-dtnrg-prophet-08 accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [4] A. Hasson A. Pentland, R. Fletcher. Daknet: Rethinking connectivity in developing nations, 2004. http://www.firstmilesolutions.com/documents/ DakNet\_IEEE\_Computer.pdf accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [5] MySQL AB. Mysql. http://www.mysql.com/ accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [6] Abdullah Azfar. Installation of postfix and configuring village and city dns servers. Technical report, October 2009. http://www.tslab.ssvl.kth. se/csd/projects/092106/sites/default/files/Postfix\_and\_DNS.pdf accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [7] Abdullah Azfar. Integration of postfix with dtn2. Technical report, November 2009. http://www.tslab.ssvl.kth.se/csd/projects/092106/sites/default/files/Postfix\_DTN2\_Integration.pdf accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [8] et al. Berners-Lee. Uniform resource identifier (uri): Generic syntax. RFC 3986, January 2005. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3986 accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [9] Ellard & Brown. Dtn ip neighbor discovery (ipnd). Internet-Draft, March 2010. http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-irtf-dtnrg-ipnd-01 accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [10] Scott & Burleigh. Bundle protocol specification. RFC 5050, November 2007. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5050 accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [11] KTH Bytewalla 3. Network management tool. Technical report, October 2010. http://www.tslab.ssvl.kth.se/csd/projects/1031352/content/ network-management-tool accessed June 10th, 2011.

- [12] KTH Bytewalla 3. Sentinal surveillance application. Technical report, October 2010. http://www.tslab.ssvl.kth.se/csd/projects/1031352/content/ sentinal-surveillance-application accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [13] KTH Bytewalla I, TSLab. Bytewalla: Delay tolerant network on android phones. http://www.tslab.ssvl.kth.se/csd/projects/092106/ accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [14] B. Carpenter. Architectural principles of the internet. RFC 4838, June 1996. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4838 accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [15] et al. Cerf. Delay-tolerant network architecture: The evolving interplanetary internet. RFC 4838, August 2002. http://www.ipnsig.org/reports/ draft-irtf-ipnrg-arch-01.txt accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [16] Mozilla Corporation. Thunderbird. http://www.mozillamessaging.com/fr/ thunderbird/ accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [17] Sebastian Domancic. Security in Delay Tolerant Networks for the Android Platform. Master's thesis, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH) & Aalto University
   - School of Science and Technology (TKK), Stockholm, 2010.
- [18] K. Fall. A delay-tolerant network architecture for challenged internets. In Proceedings of the 2003 conference on Applications, technologies, architectures, and protocols for computer communications, pages pp. 27–34, NY, USA, 2003. ACM New York.
- [19] et al. Farrell. Delay-tolerant networking security overview. Internet-Draft, March 2009. http://tools.ietf.org/html/ draft-irtf-dtnrg-sec-overview-06 accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [20] Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems. About ccsds. http: //public.ccsds.org/about/default.aspx accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [21] Python Software Foundation. Python. http://www.python.org/ accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [22] N. Freed. Multipurpose internet mail extensions (mime) part five: Conformance criteria and examples. RFC 2049, November 1996. http://www.ietf.org/ rfc/rfc2049.txt accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [23] N. Freed. Post office protocol version 3. RFC 1939, May 1996. http: //tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1939 accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [24] N. Freed and N. Borenstein. Multipurpose internet mail extensions (mime) part one: Format of internet message bodies. RFC 2045, November 1996. http: //www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2045.txt accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [25] N. Freed and N. Borenstein. Multipurpose internet mail extensions (mime) part two: Media types. RFC 2046, November 1996. http://www.ietf.org/ rfc/rfc2046.txt accessed June 10th, 2011.

- [26] Gnome. Evolution. http://projects.gnome.org/evolution/ accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [27] Google. Android service. http://developer.android.com/reference/ android/app/Service.html accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [28] Delay Tolerant Networking Research Group. About delay tolerant networking research group. http://www.dtnrg.org/wiki/About accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [29] Delay Tolerant Networking Research Group. Code. http://www.dtnrg.org/ wiki/Code accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [30] The PHP Group. Php: Hypertext preprocessor. http://www.php.net/ accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [31] J. Schnitzer I. McFarland, J. Stevens. Subetha smtp is an easy-to-use serverside smtp library for java. http://code.google.com/p/subethasmtp/ accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [32] J. Klensin. Simple mail transfer protocol. RFC 5321, October 2008. http: //tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5321 accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [33] A. Lindgren and K. Phanse. Evaluation of queueing policies and forwarding strategies for routing in intermittently connected networks. In *Proceedings of COMSWARE 2006*, January 2006.
- [34] Ming-te Lu. Digital divide in developing countries. Journal of Global Information Technology Management, 4:3:1–4, 2001.
- [35] K. Moore. Mime (multipurpose internet mail extensions) part three: Message header extensions for non-ascii text. RFC 2047, November 1996. http://www. ietf.org/rfc/rfc2047.txt accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [36] J. Klensin N. Freed and J. Postel. Multipurpose internet mail extensions (mime) part four: Registration procedures. RFC 2048, November 1996. http: //www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2048.txt accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [37] N4C. N4c homepage. http://www.n4c.eu/Home.php accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [38] Kruse & Ostermann. Udp convergence layers for the dtn bundle and ltp protocols. Internet-Draft, November 2008. http://tools.ietf.org/search/ draft-irtf-dtnrg-udp-clayer-00 accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [39] Demmer & Ott. Delay tolerant networking tcp convergence layer protocol. Internet-Draft, February 2008. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5050 accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [40] Jörg Ott. Application protocol design considerations for a mobile internet. In 1st ACM MobiArch Workshop, San Francisco, December 2006.
- [41] R. Bush R. Elz. Clarifications to the dns specification. RFC 2181, July 1997. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2181 accessed June 10th, 2011.

- [42] Construx Software. Evolutionary prototyping, May 2002. http://www. construx.com/File.ashx?cid=814 accessed June 10th, 2011.
- [43] Internet World Stat. World internet users and population stats. http://www. internetworldstats.com/stats.htm accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [44] et al. Symington. Bundle security protocol specification. Internet-Draft, November 2009. http://tools.ietf.org/html/ draft-irtf-dtnrg-bundle-security-10 accessed June 1st, 2011.
- [45] Amin Vahdat and David Becker. Epidemic routing for partially connected ad hoc networks. Technical report cs-2000-06, Department of Computer Science, Duke University, April 2000. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5050 accessed June 1st, 2011.