

Motion-Adaptive Transforms based on Vertex-Weighted Graphs

Du Liu and Markus Flierl

School of Electrical Engineering KTH Royal Institute of Technology 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

Motivation



- Limitation of motion-compensated predictive coding for packet-based networks
- Our approach:
 - Design motion-adaptive temporal transforms
 - Use vertex-weighted graphs to represent the motion

Outline



3

- Motion and vertex-weighted graphs
- Constrained energy compaction
- Motion-adaptive transforms
- Experimental results
- Conclusion



Motion and Vertex-Weighted Graphs



- x₁, x₂, ..., x_n are pixels connected by block-based motion estimation
- A graph is formed by the connection of motion vectors
- Vertex weights is given by the values of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n
- Vector of actual pixel values: $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]^T$

Ideal Motion



- Energy compaction changes the magnitude of the pixels
- Ideal motion implies constant intensity of connected pixels
- Energy compaction + ideal motion
 - Use actual values for the vertex-weighted graph
 - Use scale factors to accommodate energy compaction^[1]

$$-x_k = c_k x'_k$$
 for $k = 1, 2, ..., n$

where x'_k is the original pixel value, c_k is the scale factor.

- For ideal motion: $x'_1 = x'_2 = \cdots = x'_n$
- Vector of scale factors: $\mathbf{c} = [c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n]^T$

[1] M. Flierl and B. Girod, "A motion-compensated orthogonal transform with energyconcentration constraint," *IEEE International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing*, 2006.

Ideal Motion







Design of Motion-Adaptive Transform

- Classic transform coding
 - Energy compaction
 - Karhunen-Loève Transform (KLT)
- Transform along motion trajectory
 - Invertibility
 - Example: Motion-Compensated Orthogonal Transform (MCOT)^[1]
- Our design goal
 - Invertible motion-adaptive transform
 - Optimal energy compaction given the graph



Constrained Energy Compaction

• Let *L* be the autocorrelation matrix of x

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{t}_k} & -\mathbf{t}_k^T L \mathbf{t}_k, & k = 2, \dots, n, \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{t}_k^T \mathbf{t}_k = \mathbf{1}, \\ & \mathbf{t}_k^T \mathbf{t}_j = \mathbf{0}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1, \\ & \mathbf{t}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\|\mathbf{c}\|_2}. \end{array}$$

• Unconstrained formulation using a Lagrangian cost



9

Subspace-Constrained Transform (SCT)



Subspace-Constrained Transform (SCT)



- Let $M' = B'^T L B'$ be the autocorrelation matrix based on B'. M' is an $(n 1) \times (n 1)$ matrix.
- We show that the rotation
 <u>A' is a matrix of eigenvectors for M'</u>
- We are free to choose any basis B' to construct our T
- $T = [t_1, T'] = [t_1, B'A']$

Discussion



- If t₁ is identical to the first basis vector of the KLT,
 SCT is the same as the KLT.
- In general, SCT approximates the KLT for a given graph.
- The following experiments illustrate
 - \mathbf{t}_1 approximates the first basis vector of the KLT
 - the energy compaction of SCT is close to that of the KLT



Experimental Setup

• Estimate the autocorrelation matrix L



- Consider samples that use the same vertex-weighted graph
- $L_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{N} x_i^{(r)} x_j^{(r)}$, where N is the total number of samples, r is an instance of a graph

Experimental Setup



- A hierarchical decomposition is performed on each GOP
- Results on energy compaction are given for comparison
 - MCOT: basis vectors are dependent on the motion vectors only two tap, hierarchical Haar
 - SCT: basis vectors $\mathbf{t}_2, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n$ are signal dependent
 - KLT: basis vectors $\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n$ are signal dependent

Experimental Results



• QCIF Foreman, relative energy in the first four temporal subbands, 1st decomposition level

	Lowband	Highband 1	Highband 2	Highband 3
MCOT	99.36%	0.12%	0.42%	0.10%
SCT	99.36%	0.54%	0.08%	0.02%
KLT	99.42%	0.49%	0.07%	0.02%

 QCIF City, relative energy in the first four temporal subbands, 1st decomposition level

	Lowband	Highband 1	Highband 2	Highband 3
MCOT	93.99%	1.54%	3.13%	1.34%
SCT	93.99%	4.08%	1.40%	0.53%
KLT	94.18%	3.95%	1.35%	0.52%



Experimental Results

 QCIF Foreman, GOP = 16, relative energy in the 2nd decomposition level

	Lowband	Highband 1	Highband 2	Highband 3
MCOT	98.32%	0.37%	0.72%	0.59%
SCT	98.32%	1.29%	0.30%	0.09%
KLT	98.35%	1.30%	0.28%	0.07%

 QCIF City, GOP = 16, relative energy in the 2nd decomposition level

	Lowband	Highband 1	Highband 2	Highband 3
MCOT	90.12%	2.95%	4.27%	2.66%
SCT	90.12%	7.47%	1.86%	0.55%
KLT	91.22%	6.50%	1.77%	0.51%

Conclusion



- We present a class of motion-adaptive transforms that is based on vertex-weighted graphs.
- The vertex-weighted graph determines uniquely the first basis vector of the linear transform.
- This first vector defines a subspace that constrains the energy compaction of our transform.
- SCT achieves optimal energy compaction, given our subspace constraint.