FEL3330 Networked and Multi-agent Control Systems Lecture 8: Formation Control 2

September 27, 2016

Today's lecture

- Distance based formations
- Rigidity
- Persistence
- Leader-follower networks

Formation Control

- Convergence to desired relative states with respect to neighbors
- Can be distinguished between position based and distance based
- Other relative states can be considered such as relative orientation

Distance-based formations

- Goal: Convergence to desired relative *distances* in \mathbb{R}^2 .
- Consider undirected and static graph $G_f = (V, E_f, w)$.
- A scalar weight $w(i,j) = d_{ij} > 0$ is associated to each edge $(i,j) \in E$ representing the desired relative distance of agents i, j.
- The formation configuration is called *feasible* if the set $D = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^{pN} \mid ||q_i - q_j|| = d_{ij}, \forall (i, j) \in E_f\}$ of feasible formation configurations is nonempty. p = 2, 3 typically.

Distance-based formations

- Scale invariance: D' = aD, a > 0.
- Translational invariance (not rotational): let $q \in D$, ie, $||q_i - q_j|| = d_{ij} \forall (i, j) \in E_f$. Then any configuration $x = [x_1^T, \dots, x_N^T]^T$ with $x_i = q_i + \tau$ for an arbitrary $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^p$ satisfies the formation specification.
- What about both translational and rotational invariance?

Rigidity

- Let $q = [q_1^T, \dots, q_N^T]^T$ a set of feasible points.
- Framework $G(q) = (G_f, q)$. Trajectory of framework is any x(t) starting from $x_i(0) = q_i, i = 1, ..., N$.
- Edge consistent if $||x_i x_j||$ is constant $\forall (i, j) \in E_f$.
- Rigid trajectory: if $||x_i x_j||$ is constant $\forall i, j \in V, i \neq j$.
- A framework is rigid iff all edge consistent trajectories are rigid trajectories.
- Maintaining formation graph edge distances maintains ALL distances!

Infinitesimal rigidity

• Edge consistency: $||x_i(t) - x_j(t)|| = d_{ij}$ and then

$$(x_i - x_j)^T (\dot{x}_i - \dot{x}_j) = 0, \forall (i, j) \in E_f$$

 Assigning constant+instantenuous x_i = u_i satisfying the above at t = 0: infinitesimal motion u of the framework.

• For
$$t = 0$$
, $x_i(0) = q_i$, $i = 1, ..., N$ and then
 $(x_i - x_j)^T (\dot{x}_i - \dot{x}_j) = 0$, $\forall (i, j) \in E_f$ becomes

$$R(G(q))u=0$$

where R is the rigidity matrix.

Infinitesimal rigidity

- G(q) infinitesimally rigid if R(G(q))u = 0 for all infinitesimal motions u.
- Rank condition for planar graphs: G(q) = (G_f, q) with N ≤ 2 and p = 2 infinitesimally rigid if and only if

$$\operatorname{rank} R(G(q)) = 2N - 3$$

• Result: infinitesimal rigidity implies rigidity but not necessarily the other way round.

Graph rigidity

- $G(q) = (G_f, q)$ particular realization of G_f .
- *G_f* is (generically) rigid if it has an infinitesimally rigid realization.
- For G_f rigid and $G(q) = (G_f, q)$ infinitesimally rigid, then q is called generic configuration and G(q) generic realization of G_f .
- If G_f is (generically) rigid, then the set of all generic configurations of G_f is a dense open subset of ℝ^{pN}.

Minimal rigidity

- *G_f* is minimally rigid if it is rigid but does not remain rigid after the removal of a single edge.
- Planar graphs: G_f with $N \le 2$ and p = 2 is minimally rigid if and only if
 - 1. $|E_f| = 2N 3$ and
 - 2. Any induced subgraph with $N' \leq N$ vertices has no more than 2N' 3 edges.

Persistence

- To distinguish directed case from undirected, the term graph persistence instead of graph rigidity is used.
- And now we look at directed graphs.
- $i \rightarrow j$: *i* responsible for maintaining the correct distance from *j*, and *j* may be unconscious of *i*.

Persistence

Theorem

A rigid graph is minimally persistent if and only if either

- 1. there are three vertices that have one outgoing edge, and the remaining vertices have two outgoing edges, or
- 2. there is one vertex that has no outgoing edge, one vertex that has one outgoing edge, and the remaining vertices have two outgoing edges.

Leaders can be important in various properties

- Network Controllability
- Containment control (not covered)
- Leader-follower ratio can be also a factor in various problems (see HW2)

Network Controllability

- Goal: how to control a multi-agent system to any configuration with some agents as free inputs.
- Simplest case: $\dot{x}_i = -\frac{1}{|N_i|} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} (x_i x_j), i = 1, \dots, N-1$

• One leader (free input):
$$\dot{x}_N = u_N$$

- Denote $y_i = x_i, i = 1, ..., N 1, z = x_N$.
- Then $\dot{y} = -Fy rz$ where F is the matrix obtained from $\Delta^{-1}L$ after deleting the last row and column, and r is the vector of the first N 1 elements of the deleted column.

Network Controllability

• Controllability of the followers through the motion of the leader z is examined through the controllability matrix of the system:

$$C = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} -r & Fr & -F^2r & \dots & (-1)^n F^{n-1}r \end{array}\right)$$

• Main result: The system is controllable iff (i) *F* has distinct eigenvalues and (ii) the eigenvectors of *F* are not orthogonal to *r*.

Remarks

- It can be shown that the complete graph is uncontrollable, whereas a tree graph is controllable (connectivity is not always good).
- Extensions to multiple leaders in later works.

Next Lecture

Sensing Constraints 2: Swarming-sensor networks

- Swarm aggregation
- Swarm dispersion
- Collision avoidance